

Advanced Journal of Environmental Science and Technology ISSN 2756-3251, Vol. 16 (1), pp. 001-008, January, 2025. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

Impact of UV-B Radiation on Cryptogamic Plant Pigments in the Central Himalayas

Jaswant Singh*, Rudra P. Singh and Anand K. Dubey

Department of Environmental Sciences, Dr. R. M. L. Avadh University, Faizabad-224001, U.P., India.

Accepted 18 December, 2024

Chlorofluorocarbons are mainly responsible for the depletion of stratospheric ozone layer which results in increase of UV-B radiation on earth's environment and causing adverse effects on flora. In the present study we have investigated the effect of ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation on two cryptogamic plants (*Xanthoria elegans* and *Bryum argenteum*) growing at high altitude of central Himalayan region of India. These plants were naturally receiving UV-B radiation and were analyzed for photosynthetic pigments, UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics. In the field experiments, both of these plants contain higher amounts of UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics and no major changes in total chlorophyll and carotenoid under UV-B exposed conditions were recorded. *B. argenteum* contains higher amounts of total chlorophyll, carotenoids, UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics was 4.38 Minimal Erythemal Dose per hour (MED/ h) at the experimental site while minimum average UV-B irradiance was 1.72 MED/ h. The UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics provide protection to these plants duration.

Key words: Total chlorophyll, high altitude, pigments, UV-B absorbing compounds, UV-B radiation.

INTRODUCTION

Depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer results in to increase in the ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation (280 to 320 nm) reaching the earth surface. Destruction of ozone layer is due to release of chlorofluorocarbons, resulting in stratospheric ozone thinning (Anderson et al., 1991; Schoeberl and Hartmann, 1991), consequently UV-B levels increases (McKenzie et al., 2003). The high intensity of UV-B radiation on earth's surface causes adverse effects on flora. The potential effects of UV-B radiation on phototrophic organisms may be grouped into three categories: (a) changes in photosynthesis and growth (Xiong and Day, 2001), (b) increased investment in UV-B absorbing or screening compounds (Cockell and Knowland, 1999; Searles et al., 2001) and (c) DNA damage, repair and photoreactivation (Lud et al., 2001a). UV-B radiation varies naturally with the latitude, season and depends on vegetation canopy, clouds etc., (Aphalo, 2003). According to Madronich et al. (1995) at high latitudes the relative ozone depletion is higher. Many of the studies conducted with vascular plants and bryophytes reveals that the UV-B effects were often highly variable, and depends on the species tolerance and UV-B doses. The naturally induced UV-B affects plant growth, morphology, secondary metabolism and photosynthesis (Allen et al., 1998; Searles et al., 2001; Pancotto et al., 2003). Plants are able to deal with UV-B induced stress because of UV-B absorbing compounds which are widespread and are found in lower to higher plants, including aquatic to terrestrial life forms (Rozema et al., 2002). One of the many roles of UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics appears to be the protection of organisms from harmful effects of UV-B radiation by means of their direct absorption of 280 nm to 320 nm wavelengths. The phenolics were also protecting the plants from exposure of UV-B radiation, it may contribute

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: jaswant1983@yahoo.co.in. Tel: +91-5278-246223. Fax: +91-5278-246330.



Figure 1. Map indicating selected sites for field experiments near Mana village, district Chamoli, Uttrakhand, India (www.mapsofindia.com).

to the decrease in active oxygen species by acting as antioxidants (Husain et al., 1987; Markham et al., 1998; Ryan et al., 2002).

In the present study, we have measured the UV-B radiation and their effects on the pigments of two cryptogamic plants (*Xanthoria elegans* and *Bryum argenteum*) growing at high altitude of central Himalayan region (near Mana village, district Chamoli of Uttrakhand), India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site selection

For the UV-B measurements, the sites near Mana village (3219 m, altitude) of district Chamoli, Uttrakhand, central Himalayan region of India were selected. For the UV-B filter frame studies, with *X. elegans*, site 1 was selected at Bheempul (30°44'577N; 70°29'628E) of Mana village, and for the *B. argenteum*, site 2 (30°44'540N; 70°29'598E) was selected at the down side of the Bheempul (Figure 1).

Selection of plant species

Two plant species, lichen (*X. elegans*) and moss (*B. argenteum*) were selected and both were growing naturally on the mountains with other cryptogamic vegetation (Figure 2a and b). We have selected these plants for the field experiments because of their uniform growth, availability and UV-B filter frames can be placed

over these plants.

UV-B filter frames

The UV-B filter frames were made up of iron stands which were covered by plexiglas acrylic sheet, so that plants will get PAR (photosyntheticaly active radiation) but not UV-B radiation. The acrylic sheet (3 mm thick and 30.5 cm squire) absorbs about 98% of total UV-B radiation. Iron frames having holes for gaseous exchange and the other environmental factors were same for both the conditions. At five sites, the UV filters frames (30.5 cm length × 30.5 cm width × 30.5 cm height) were placed over the selected plant species to develop UV-B unexposed conditions.

Analysis of pigments

All the analysis performed with two different experimental set up (i) UV-B exposed (plants without UV-B filter frame) and (ii) UV-B unexposed (plants covered with UV-B filter frame) conditions. Plant samples were harvested after 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h and washed with doubled distilled water, blotted dry on Whatman filter paper No.1 and their weight recorded. For the estimation of both pigments following standard methodologies used.

Analysis of photosynthetic pigments (total chlorophyll and carotenoids)

The plant samples were crushed with 80% acetone, maintained at 4° C and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min under refrigerated centrifuge at 4°C temperature. The centrifuged samples were



Figure 2. Naturally growing plants of (a) *Bryum argenteum* and (b) *Xanthoria elegans* under UV-B exposed conditions.

filtered by Whatman filter paper No. 1 and the supernatant was collected. The absorbance of supernatants was recorded at 663, 645, 480 and 510 nm by using UV-VIS spectrophotometer 117. The chlorophyll content was calculated from absorbance values at 663 and 645 nm (Arnon, 1949) and the carotenoid content from absorbance values at 480 and 510 nm (Parsons et al., 1984).

Estimation of UV-B absorbing compounds

For the estimation of UV-B absorbing compounds, methodology of Ruhland and Day (2001) was used. The plant samples were placed in 25 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 5 ml of acidified methanol (MeOH:HCI:H₂O, 90:1:1 v/v). The supernatants were heated (60°C) and stirred for 10 min, cooled at room temperature for 15 min and filtered through 90 μ m screens. Concentrations of soluble UV-B absorbing compounds were estimated by measuring absorbance at 300 nm with spectrophotometer (Systronics UV-VIS spectrophotometer 117).

Estimation of phenolics

For phenolics estimation methodology of Pirie and Mullins (1976) was used. A ten percent (w/v) homogenate prepared in methanolic HCI (50% methanol, 0.05% concentrated HCI, pH 3.5). The precipitate was allowed to settle for 15 h in the dark at 0-4°C and filtered through Whatman filter paper No.5. The absorbance of supernatant was recorded at 280 nm and gallic acid (Sigma chemicals, Germany) was used as standard.

Measurement of UV- B radiation

The UV-B radiation recorded by the UV- Biometer (Solar UV-Biometer, SOLAR LIGHT CO. 501, recorder S. No. 9343 and sensor S. No. 10402, U. K.), from 31st August 2008 to 5th September 2008 at the site of experiments during the clear sunny days.

Statistical analysis

The mean values along with standard error were calculated. The relative standard derivations of means were less than 5%. The

student 't' test described by Fisher (1950) was employed to calculate the statistical significant values.

RESULTS

UV-B radiation

UV-B radiation (280 to 320 nm) were measured for the continuous 120 h at the selected sites and the average UV-B irradiances was 3.426 MED/h. The maximum average UV-B irradiance (4.38 MED/ h) was recorded at 72 h where as minimum was 1.72 MED/ h at 120 h (Figure 3). It was observed that the UV-B irradiance values were increasing from morning to noon and then decreased till evening.

Photosynthetic pigments

There was decrease (p<0.02) in total chlorophyll of UV-B exposed plants of *B. argenteum* at 96 h as compared to UV-B unexposed plants (Table 1) and at that time the UV-B irradiance was 3.67 MED/h. In UV-B exposed plants of *X. elegans*, the decrease (p<0.05) in total chlorophyll was found at 120 h as compared to UV-B unexposed plants (Table 1) and at that time the UV-B irradiance was 1.72 MED/h. The increase (p<0.02) in carotenoids of UV-B exposed plants of *B. argenteum* and *X. elegans* was recorded at 120 h as compared to UV-B unexposed plants (Table 2) and at that time the UV-B irradiance was 1.72 MED/h.

The significant increase (p<0.02) in UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics of *X. elegans* were recorded at 120 h under the UV-B exposed conditions (Figures 4 and 5) and the values of UV-B irradiance was 1.72 MED/h. In *B. argenteum*, significant increase (p<0.02) in UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics (p<0.05) were found at 120 h under UV-B exposed condition (Figures 6 and 7)



Figure 3. UV-B irradiance (MED/ h) from 0 h to 120 h. Inset: (a) Daily irradiance curve for one clear sunny day.

Table 1. Changes in total chlorophyll of B. argenteum and X. elegans (mg/g fresh weight) in UV-B exposed and unexposed.

Plant species		Time (h)							
		0	24	48	72	96	120		
B. argenteum	Unexposed	0.660±0.006	0.658±0.012	0.655±0.009	0.657±0.013	0.659±0.010	0.656±0.008		
	Exposed	0.660±0.007	0.657±0.019	0.659±0.024	0.658±0.015	0.651±0.013	0.649±0.014		
X. elegans	Unexposed	0.540±0.011	0.539±0.014	0.537±0.021	0.538±0.011	0.535±0.007	0.538±0.003		
	Exposed	0.540±0.009	0.537±0.018	0.535±0.033	0.539±0.019	0.538±0.012	0.532±0.013		

Above values are the mean±SE of three replicates.

Table 2. Changes in total carotenoid of B. argenteum and X. elegans (mg/g fresh weight) in UV-B exposed and unexposed.

Plant species		Time (h)							
		24	48	72	96	120			
Unexposed	0.360±0.005	0.358±0.009	0.355±0.011	0.358±0.013	0.359±0.006	0.355±0.008			
Exposed	0.360±0.009	0.355±0.012	0.359±0.017	0.357±0.022	0.358±0.012	0.363±0.021			
Unexposed	0.220±0.003	0.219±0.014	0.216±0.011	0.218±0.009	0.219±0.011	0.217±0.009			
Exposed	0.220±0.007	0.217±0.012	0.219±0.013	0.216±0.016	0.217±0.015	0.224±0.019			
	Unexposed Exposed Unexposed Exposed	0 Unexposed 0.360±0.005 Exposed 0.360±0.009 Unexposed 0.220±0.003 Exposed 0.220±0.007	0 24 Unexposed 0.360±0.005 0.358±0.009 Exposed 0.360±0.009 0.355±0.012 Unexposed 0.220±0.003 0.219±0.014 Exposed 0.220±0.007 0.217±0.012	Image: Description of the system Description of the system Time of the system Unexposed 0.360±0.005 0.358±0.009 0.355±0.011 Exposed 0.360±0.009 0.355±0.012 0.359±0.017 Unexposed 0.220±0.003 0.219±0.014 0.216±0.011 Exposed 0.220±0.007 0.217±0.012 0.219±0.013	Image: Description of the system Description of the system Time (h) Image: Description of the system 0.360±0.005 0.358±0.009 0.355±0.011 0.358±0.013 Image: Description of the system 0.360±0.009 0.355±0.012 0.359±0.017 0.357±0.022 Image: Description of the system 0.220±0.003 0.219±0.014 0.216±0.011 0.218±0.009 Image: Description of the system 0.220±0.007 0.217±0.012 0.219±0.013 0.216±0.016	Time (h) 0 24 48 72 96 Unexposed 0.360±0.005 0.358±0.009 0.355±0.011 0.358±0.013 0.359±0.006 Exposed 0.220±0.003 0.219±0.014 0.216±0.011 0.218±0.009 0.219±0.014 Unexposed 0.220±0.007 0.217±0.012 0.219±0.013 0.216±0.016 0.217±0.015			

Above values are the mean±SE of three replicates.

and the UV-B irradiance was 1.72 MED/h. However, increase in the phenolics in *B. argenteum* is more or less same at 72, 96 and 120 h. The UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics of both plants were positively associated with UV-B radiation exposure. In both the UV-B unexposed plants, there were no significant changes in UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics during the course of the study.

DISCUSSION

The experimental evidences suggests that the ultraviolet radiation reaching on earth surface varies with altitude, atmospheric condition and types of instrument used for UV-B radiation measurement. Zaratti et al. (2003) reported that the erythemally weighted UV radiation increases with altitudes at an approximate rate of 7% per



Figure 4. UV-B absorbing compounds in *X. elegans* under the UV-B exposed and unexposed conditions.



Figure 5. Phenolics in *X. elegans* under the UV-B exposed and unexposed conditions.

km. Mckenzie et al. (2003) also reported that the erythemally weighted UV irradiances increases by approximately 5 to 7% per km with the greatest increase occurring at solar zenith angle (SZA) ~ 60-70°.

In *B. argenteum* and *X. elegans*, total chlorophyll and carotenoids concentration showed no major changes at 24 h interval under UV-B exposed and unexposed conditions. Decrease in chlorophyll of *B. argenteum* and



Figure 6. UV-B absorbing compounds in *B. argenteum* under the UV-B exposed and unexposed conditions.



Figure 7. Phenolics in *B. argenteum* under the UV-B exposed and unexposed conditions.

X. elegans was found at 96 and 120 h respectively while increase in carotenoid of both the plants were found at 120 h. Newsham et al. (2002) conducted the similar UV related onsite study with two Antarctic plants (*Cephaloziella varians* and *Sanionia uncinata*) and found no change in photosynthetic pigments except increase in

carotenoid. It was observed by Newsham et al. (2005) that the chlorophyll concentrations were reduced in sun exposed *C. varians* tissues. Robinson et al. (2005) found that the concentration of chlorophyll in *Grimmia antarctici* under near ambient UV radiation was lower and correspondingly high relative concentration of carotenoids was recorded under reduced UV radiation. A similar study with lichen was conducted by Larsson et al. (2009) and documented no significant reduction in chlorophyll a and b on *Lobaria pulmonaria* and *Xanthoria aureola* at different UV-B levels (0, 0.1, 0.3 and 1.0 W m⁻²) under the laboratory conditions. Lud et al. (2001b) did not found any differences in chlorophyll, carotenoid, UV-B absorbing compounds and photosystem II efficiency in *Turgidosculum complicatulum* exposed to various combinations of UV radiation and temperature. Day et al. (1999), Searles et al. (2001), Lud et al. (2002), and Newsham (2003) have found no effects of UV-B radiation on chlorophyll concentration of the plants.

We have found that UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics in the exposed plants were increasing under the influence of UV-B radiation. Dunn (2000) reported that out of the three dominant mosses (Bryum psudotriquetrum, Ceratodon purpureus and G. antarctici) only B. psudotriquetrum produced UV-B absorbing pigments in response to increased UV-B radiation. Newsham et al. (2002) reported that the UV-B screening pigment concentrations of C. varians and S. uncinata were positively associated with daily doses of UV-B radiation in an in situ study conducted at Rothera point under 4 to 6 week. de la Rosa et al. (2001) reported that the concentrations of total phenolics were significantly increases by UV-B radiation. Dunn and Robinson (2006) reported that the higher concentration of UV-B absorbing compounds in two cosmopolitan moss with В. psudotriquetrum and C. purpureus, while Schistidium antarctici showing the lower concentration of UV-B absorbing compounds in response to UV-B radiation over the season (November 1999 - March 2000). Cockell and Knowland (1999) reported that the plants are accumulating the UV screening compounds in response to UV-B radiation stress. Rozema et al. (2002) and Singh et al. (2011) reported that the atronin, usnic acid, perlatolic acid and fumarphotocetraric acid were appeared to be constitutive in lichen, these all are UV-B absorbing compounds, takes a major part in lichens and are particularly induced by UV-B radiation. The UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics are produced by the plants under the influence of UV-B radiation and thereby provides protection against the UV-B radiation. Therefore, in B. argenteum and X. elegans UV-B absorbing and phenolic might be responsible for providing protection against UV-B radiation.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrates the changes in pigments of two cryptogamic plants under UV-B exposed conditions at high altitude of central Himalayan region of India. *B. argenteum* and *X. elegans* were naturally exposed to UV-B radiation at study sites with a maximum average UV-B irradiance of 4.38 MED/ h and minimum average UV-B irradiance of 1.72 MED/ h during the study period. In both

the UV-B exposed plants, UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics were increasing during the study period. These findings suggest that the UV-B radiation induces synthesis of UV-B absorbing compounds and phenolics, therefore, these plants are able to deal with negative effects of UV-B radiation.

REFERENCES

- Allen DJ, Nogoues S, Baker NR (1998). Ozone depletion and increased UV-B radiation: is there a real theat to photosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot., 49: 1775-1788.
- Anderson JG, Toohey DW, Brune WH (1991). Free radicais within the Antarctic vortex: the role of CFCs in Antarctic ozone loss. Science, 251: 39-46.
- Aphalo PJ (2003). Do current level of UV-B radiation affect vegetation? The importance of longterm experiments. New Phytol., 160: 273-280.
- Arnon DI (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts Polyphenyl oxidase in *Beta vulgaris.* Plant Physiol., 24: 1-15.
- Cockell CS, Knowland J (1999). Ultraviolet radiation screening compounds. Biol. Rev., 74: 311-345.
- Day TA, Ruhland CT, Grobe CW, Xiong F (1999). Growth and reduction of Antarctic vascular plants in response to warming and UV radiation reductions in the field. Oecologia, 199: 24-35.
- dela Rosa TM, Julkunen-Tiitto R, Lehto T, Aphalo PJ (2001). Secondary metabolics and nutrient concentrations in silver bitch seedling under five levels of daily UV-B exposure and two relative nutrient addition rates. New Phytol., 150: 121-131.
- Dunn JL (2000). Seasonal variation in pigment content of three species of Antarctic bryophytes. BSc. Honours, University of Wollongong.
- Dunn JL, Robinson SA (2006). Ultraviolet B screening potential is higher in two cosmopolitan moss species than in a co-occurring Antarctic endemic moss: Implication of continuing ozone depletion. Glob. Change Biol., 12: 2282-2296.
- Fisher RA (1950). Statistical methods for research workers. 11th ed. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh.
- http://www.mapsofindia.com. Mana site in Chamoli district of Uttrakhand State, India.
- Husain SR, Cillard J, Cillard P (1987). Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of flavonoids. Phytochem., 26: 2489-2491.
- Larsson P, Vecerova K, Cempirkova H, Solhaug KA, Gauslaa Y (2009). Does UV-B influence biomass growth in lichen deficient in sunscreening pigment? Environ. Exp. Bot., 67: 215-221.
- Lud D, Buma AGJ, Van de Poll W, Moerdijk TCW, Huiskes AHL (2001a). DNA damage and photosynthetic performance in the Antarctic terrestrial alga *Prasiola crispa* sp. Antarctica (Chlorophyta) under manipulated UV-B radiation. J. Phycol., 37: 459-467.
- Lud D, Huiskes A, Moerdijk T, Rozema J (2001b). The effects of altered levels of UV-B radiation on an Antarctic grass and lichen. Plant Ecol., 154: 89-99.
- Lud D, Moerdijk T, Van de Poll W, Buma AGJ, Huiskes AHL (2002). DNA damage and photosynthesis in Antarctic and Arctic Sanionia uncinata (Hedw.) Loeske under ambient and enhanced levels of UV-B radiation. Plant Cell Environ., 25: 1579-1589.
- Madronich S, McKenzie RL, Bjornand L, Caldwell MM (1995) Changes in ultraviolet radiation reaching the Earth's surface. Ambio, 24: 143-152.
- Markham KR, Tanner GJ, Caasi-Lit M, Whitecross MI, Nayudu M, Mitchell KA (1998). Possible protective role for 3,4-dihydroxyflavones induced by enhanced UV-B in a UV-tolerant rice cultivar. Phytochem., 49: 1913-1919.
- McKenzie RL, Bjorn LO, Bais A, Hays M. (2003). Changes in biologically active ultraviolet radiation reaching the earth's surfaces. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2: 5-15.
- Newsham K (2003). UV-B radiation arising from stratospheric ozone depletion influences the pigmentation of the moss *Andreaea regularis*. Oecologia, 135: 327-331.
- Newsham KK, Geissler PA, Nicolson MJ, Peat HJ, Lewis-Smith RI (2005). Sequential reduction of UV-B radiation in the field alters the

pigmentation of an Antarctic leafy liverwort. Environ. Exp. Bot., 54: 22-32.

- Newsham KK, Hodgson DA, Murray AWA, Peat HJ, Lewis Smith RI (2002). Response of two Antarctic bryophytes to stratospheric ozone depletion. Glob. Change Biol., 8: 972-983.
- Pancotto VA, Sala OE, Cabello M, Lopez NI, Robson TM, Ballare CL, Caldwell MM, Scopel AL (2003). Solar UV-B decreses decomposition in herbaceous plant litter in Tierra del Fuego, Argentina: Potential role of an altered decomposer community. Global Change Biol., 9: 1465-1474.
- Parsons TR, Maita Y, Lalli CM (1984). A manual of chemical and biological methods for sea water analysis. Pergamom, Oxford.
- Pirie A, Mullins MG (1976). Changes in anthocyanin and phenolics content of Grapevine leaf and fruit tissue treated with sucrose nitrate and abscisic acid. Plant Physiol., 58: 468-472.
- Robinson SA, Turnbull JD, Lovelock CE (2005). Impact of changes in natural ultraviolet radiation on pigment composition, physiological and morphological charecterastics of the Antarctic moss, *Grimmia antarctici*. Glob. Change Biol., 11: 476-489.
- Rozema J, Bjorn LO, Bornman JF, Gabeascik A, Hader DP, Trost T, Germ M, Klisch M, Groniger A, Sinha RP, Lebert M, He YY, Buffoni-Hall R, Bakker de NVJ, Staaij de van J, Meijkamp BB (2002). The role of UV-B radiation in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem - An experimental and functional analysis of the evolution of the UVabsorbing compounds. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol., 66: 2-12.

- Ruhland CT, Day TA (2001). Size and longevity of seed banks in Antarctica and the influence of ultraviolet-B radiation on survivorship, growth and pigment concentrations of *Colobanthus quitensis* seedlings. Environ. Exp. Bot., 45: 143-154.
- Ryan KG, Ewald EE, Świnny E, Markham KR, Winefield C (2002). Flavonoid gene expression and UV photoprotection in transgenic and mutant *Petunia* leaves. Phytochem., 59: 23-32.
- Schoeberl MR, Hartmann DL (1991). The dynamics of the stratospheric polar vortex and its relation to springtime ozone depletions. Sci., 251: 46-52.
- Searles PS, Flint SD, Caldwell MM (2001). A meta analysis of plant field studies simulating stratospheric ozone depletion. Oecol., 127: 1-10.
- Singh J, Dubey AK, Singh RP (2011). Antarctic terrestrial ecosystem and role of pigments in enhanced UV-B radiations. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol., 10: 63-67.
- Xiong FS, Day TA (2001). Effect of solar ultraviolet-B radiation during springtime ozone depletion on photosynthesis and biomass production of Antarctic vascular plants. Plant Physiol., 125: 738-751.
- Zaratti F, Forno RN, Fuertes JG, Andrade MF (2003). Erythemally weighted UV variations at two high- altitude locations. J. Geophys. Res., 108(D9): 4263. doi: 10.1029/2001JD000918.