

African Journal of Parasitology Research ISSN 2756-3391 Vol. 12 (1), pp. 001-008, January, 2024. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article

Full Length Research Paper

# Evaluation of antibacterial activity of Indian plant extracts against enterobacteriaceae pathogens in vitro

# Parekh J and Chanda S\*

Phytochemical, Pharmacological and Microbiological Laboratory, Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, 360 005, Gujarat, India.

### Accepted 6 November, 2023

Thirty four medicinal plants, belonging to twenty eight different families, were screened for potential antibacterial activity against six bacterial strains belonging to Enterobacteriaceae, viz. Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC13048, Escherichia coli ATCC25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae NCIM2719, Proteus mirabilis NCIM 2241, Proteus vulgaris NCTC8313, and Salmonella typhimurium ATCC23564. Antibacterial activity of aqueous and alcoholic extracts was tested by the agar disc diffusion and agar well diffusion methods. The ethanol/methanol extracts were more active than aqueous extracts for all the plants studied. The most susceptible bacterium was K. pneumoniae, while the most resistant bacteria were S. typhimurium and E. coli. From the screening experiment, Woodfordia fruticosa Kurz. showed best antibacterial activity. Hence, this plant may be used further to isolate and evaluate the therapeutic antimicrobials.

Key words: Medicinal plants, antibacterial activity, aqueous extracts, alcoholic extracts, Enterobacteriaceae

## INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are the leading cause of death worldwide. The clinical efficacy of many existing antibiotics is being threatened by the emergence of multidrug-resistant pathogens (Bandow et al., 2003). Bacterial and fungal pathogens have evolved numerous defense mechanisms against antimicrobial agents, and resistance to old and newly produced drugs is on the rise. The increasing failure of chemotherapeutics and antibiotic resistance exhibited by pathogenic microbial infectious agents has led to the screening of several medicinal plants for their potential antimicrobial activity (Colombo and Bosisio Scazzocchio et al., 2001). There are several rep-orts in the literature regarding the antimicrobial activity of crude extracts prepared form plants (El-Seedi et al., 2002; Rojas et al., 2003; Duraipandiyan et al., 2006; Parekh and Chanda, 2007a).

Risk factors for nosocomial Enterobacter infections include the prior use of antimicrobial agents, a prolonged hospital stay, a serious underlying illness, and immunosuppression. From a clinical point of view, *Klebsiella* 

pneumoniae is the most important member of the Klebsiella genus of Enterobacteriaceae and it is emerging as an important cause of neonatal nosocomial infection (Gupta et al., 1993). Escherichia coli causes septice mias and can infect the gall bladder, meninges, surgical wounds, skin lesions and the lungs especially in debilitate and immunodeficient patients (Black, 1996). Infection caused by Salmonella typhimurium is a serious public health problem in developing countries and represents a constant concern for the food industry (Mastroeni, 2002). Proteus mirabilis causes wound infections and urinary tract infections in the elderly and young males often following catheterization or cystoscopy, and it is a secon-dary invader of ulcers, pressure sores, etc. (Chees-brough, 2000).

There are various reports in the literature regarding characterization of medicinal plant extracts that may inhibit the above mentioned bacteria. For example, the antibacterial potential of *Mesua ferrea* Linn. flowers has been reported (Mazumder et al. 2004), and organic solvent extracts of *P. commutate* showed inhibitory activity against *E. coli, Enterobacter aerogenes* and *K. pneumoniae* (Ilhan et al., 2006). The methanol extract of *Phyllanthus amarus* inhibited *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: sumitrachanda@yahoo.com.

 Table 1. Ethnobotanical information of some plant species screened.

Botanical name	Vernacular	Habit	Voucher	Part(s)	Action/Therapeutic use
(family, genus, species)	name	· iabit	number	extracted	/ total in morapeans acc
AMARANTHACEAE	T				T
Celosia argentea L.	Lambadi	Herb	PSN645	Whole	anpy, aphro, bl dis, dia, gon, infl, sor
ASCLEPIADACEAE	T		T		
Tylophora indica (Burm.f.) Merr.	Damnivel	Climber	PSN462	Leaf	car, dip, em, expec, pur, stm, ath, bron, dia, dys, dyspep, fla, gou, ul, wo
ASTERACEAE					
Vernonia anthelmintica (L.) Willd.	Kalijiri	Herb	PSN415	Whole	fev, ath, co, ul, sk, leucd, lep, dyspep, infl, ast, anth, exp, dmu, diu, stm, feb, gal, ton, pur
BALANITACEAE					
					alex, anal, anth, pur, verm, bo, bu, co, fra,
Balanites aegyptiaca (L.) Del.	Engoria	Shrub	PSN112	Whole	leucd, sb, sk, sls
BIGNONACEAE					
Spathodea campanulata Beauv.	Kesudo	Tree	PSN563	Aerial parts	Pur, sk
CAESALPINIACEAE					
Cassia fistula L.	Garmalo	Tree	PSN250	Leaf	cat, em, feb, lax, pur, bil, bron, fev, rheu, rw
CHENOPODIACEAE					
			PSN654	Leaf	aphro, car, diu, emmen, exp, pur, ton, con, eac, hac, infl, itc, para, sor, ul
Beta vulgaris L.	Beet	Herb			·
Spinacia oleracea L.	Palak ni Bhaji	Herb	-	Leaf	cat, feb, stm, infl
COMMELINACEAE	l				T
Commelina benghalensis L.	Motishumliyu	Herb	PSN731	Whole	diu, sti, dia, fev, lep
CONNARACEAE	ı				
Rourea santaloides (Vahl.)	\	l l =le	-	Root	ton, diab, rheu, sk
Wight &. Arnott CONVOLVULACEAE	Vardharo	Herb			
	D-U···	I II-	DONIAGO	\A/I= = I =	anth anhar atm ath and tag
Cressa cretica L.	Paliyo	Herb	PSN496	Whole	anth, aphro, stm, ath, con, ton
CRUCIFERAE	Λ - l l / Λ i -	I II-	DONAG	0 1	
Lepidium sativum L.	Ashal/Aserio	Herb	PSN13	Seed	antc
CUCURBITACEAE	I	<b>.</b>	5011000		<u> </u>
Lagenaria vulgaris Seringe	Tumbada	Climber	PSN328	Fruit	ton, pur
Momordica charantia L.	Karela	Climber	PSN333	Fruit	anth, lax, sed, bron, co, elph, pil, ul
<i>Mukia maderaspatana</i> (L.) M.Roem.	Chadakachima	Climber	PSN335	Aerial parts	exp, sti
CYPERACEAE	0	•			
Cyperus scarious R.Br.	Nagarmoth	Herb	PSN765	Seed	aro, ast, dip, stm, dia
EHRETIACEAE	,g				,,,,
					anth, ast, diu, dmu, exp, pur, ton, co, dyspep,
Cordia dichotoma Forst.	Gunda	Tree	PSN472	Leaf	fev, hac, jp, rw, sb, ul
EUPHORBIACEAE			<u>-</u>	_30.	,, <u>Mai an</u>
		Shrub	PSN699	Leaf	anth, aphro, car, cat, diu, gal, pur, ath, bron, co, con, drop, dyspep, fev, hac, infla, lep, lum, para,
Ricinus communis L.	Erado				rheu, rw, sk
FABACEAE			<b>DOM:</b>		
Arachis hypogaea L.	Magfali	Herb	PSN152	Leaf	ast, adp, bron, con, fla
Canavalia gladiata DC.	Talvardi	Climber	PSN157	Leaf	can
Vigna radiata L.	Mag	Herb	PSN235	Whole	aphro, dig, feb, gal, ton, co, con, dia, dyspep, fev, fla, hae, infl, lep, pyr, sk

Table 1. Contd.

FUMARIACEAE					
			-	Seed	dip, diu
Fumaria indica (Haussk.) Pugsley.	Pitpopdo	Herb			
GUTTIFERAE	1			Į.	
Mesua ferrea Linn.	Nagkesar	Tree	-	Seed	aro, ast, col
LABIATAE	rtagnooai	1100			
	Kapurtulsi	Herb	-	Whole	col, diu
Ocimum kilimanjaricum L.	Napurtuisi	петь			
LAURACEAE			_	Leaf	car, diu, dip, gal, sti, co, dyspep, fev, fla
Cinnamomum tamala Nees &	Tomol potro	Troo			
Ebern.	Tamal patra	Tree			
LYTHRACEAE					anth, ast, em, feb, sed, sti, bil, bu, diab, hae,
			PSN303	Flower	lep, sk
Woodfordia fruticosa Kurz.	Dhawadi phool	Shrub			
MALVACEAE			PSN71	Leaf	ast, col, ath, chl, co, dia, diab, dys, gon, haem,
Thespesia populnea (L.) Sol ex			F3N/1	Leai	her, infl, lep, psor, rw, sca, ul, wo
Correa.	Paras piplo	Tree			
MORACEAE			_	Whole	abor, aphro, car, ton, bil, bo, dia, lep, sb, sk, ul,
			-	VVIIOLE	wo
Artocarpus hetrophyllus Lam.	Fanas	Tree	PSN705	Leaf	_
Ficus elastica Roxb.	Rubber plant	Tree	1 0117 00	Loai	
PIPERACEAE				1	anth appre ant agreed lay at ada ath hil
		Climber	-	Root	anth, aphro, apt car, col, lax, sti, adp, ath, bil, bron, co, fev, gou, ins, infl, jaun, lep, leucd, lum,
B					pil, tum
Piper longum L.	Piplimul				
POACEAE		Tree	PSN793	Leaf	aphro, ast, col, diu, emmen, feb, lax, sti, ton, bil,
		1100	1 011700	Loai	bron, bu, co, dia, eac, fev, gon, jp, lep, lum, pil,
Bambusa arundinaceae (Retz.) Roxb.	Vans, bamboo				rw
	vario, barriboo				
RUBIACEAE	Dikamari	Tree	PSN351	Gum exudate	car, fla, indi, sk
Gardenia resinifera Roth.	Dikamari				<u> </u>
SAPOTACEAE		Tree	PSN428	Leaf	aphro, col, ton, bil, bron, lep, ul, urd
Manilkara hexandra (Roxb.)	Davis		. 514120	Loui	apino, son, tori, sin, stori, top, til, titu
Dubard.	Rayan			l	<u> </u>
VITACEAE	Hadsankar	Climber	PSN127	Stem	anal, fra, mup, pil, tum, ul, wo
Cissus quadrangularis L.	i iaddaillai	J	. 514121	3.0.11	ana, na, map, pii, tain, ui, wo

Key to abbreviations in Table 1.

DISEASES										
Α	С	dys - dysentry	Н	L	pil - piles	swe - swellings				
abs - abscesses	calc - calculi	dysame -	hac - headache	leucd -	pim - pimples	syp - syphilis				
adp - abdominal	can - cancer	dysanenorrhoea	hae - haemmorrhage	leucoderma	pneu -	Т				
pain	cd - cold	dyspep - dyspepsia	haem - haemorrhoids	leuch-leucorrhoea	pneumonia	toac - tooth				
aly - allergy	chl- cholera	E	her - hernia	lep – leprosy	psor- psoriasis	ache				
ame - amentia	chp - chest pain	eac-earache	hp - hydrophobia	lum - lumbago	psy - psycopathy	tum - tumors				
amen -amenorrhoea	co - cough	ecz - eczema	hys - hysteria	М	pyr - pyrexia	typh – typhoid				
anm - anaemia	con - constipation	elph - elephantiasis	1	mal - malaria	R	U				
ano - anorexia	D	epi - epilpsy	indi - indigestion	mig - migraine	rheu -	ul - ulcers				
arth - arthritis	deli -delirium	F	infl - inflammations	mum - mumps	rheumatism	urd - urinary				
ath - asthma	den fev - dengue	fat - fatigue	ins - insomnia	mup - muscular pain	rw - ringworm	disorders				
В	fever	fev - fever	itc - itch	N	S	V				
bil - biliousness	der - dermatitis	fla - flatulence	J	neu - neuralgia	sb - snake bite	vom - vomiting				
bl dis - blood	dia - diarrohea	fra - fracture	jaun - jaundice	0	sca - scabies	W				
diseases	diab - diabetes	G	jp - joint pain	ob - obesity	scia - sciatica	wo - wounds				
bo - boils	diph - diphtheria	gin - gingivitis		P	sk - skin disease	wor - worms				
bron - bronchitis	drop - dropsy	gon - gonorrhoea		para - paralysis	sls - sleeping					
bu - burns		gou - gout		phg - pharyngitis	sickness					
					smp - small pox					
					sor - sores					
_	1		CINAL PROPERTIES	1		T				
Α	antpy - antipyretic	В	D	emmen -	Р	sed - sedative				
abor - abortifacient	antsp - antiseptic	b.ton - brain tonic	dig - digestive	emmenagogue	pec - pectoral	sti - stimulant				
alex - alexipharmic	antsp -	С	dip - diphoretic	exp - expectorant	pur - purgative	Т				
anal - analgesic	antispasmodic	c.ton - cardiotonic	diu - diuretic	F	R	ton - tonic				
antd - antidote	aphro -	car - carminative	dmu - demulcent	feb - febrifuge	rub - rubefacient					
anth - anthelmentic	aphrodisiac	cat - cathartic	E	G	S	V				
anthy -	apt - apetiser	col - coolant	em – emetic	gal - galactagogue	stm - stomachic	verm -				
antihypertensive	aro - aromatic					vermifuge				
antpr - antiperiodic	ast - astringent			lax - laxative						
	l			l .						

(Mazumder et al., 2006), while the flower heads and leaves of *Setaria italica* showed strong inhibition against *S. typhimurium, Proteus vulgaris* and *P. mirabilis* (Basile et al., 2006). Dabur et al. (2007) studied antibacterial activity of some Indian medicinal plants that could inhibit various bacterial strains, including *E. coli, S. typhimurium* and *P. vulgaris*. Various solvent extracts of *Aegle marmelos, Lawsonia inermis* and *Albizzia libbeck* showed good antibacterial activity against *E. coli and P. vulgaris* (Sudharameshwari and Radices, 2007), whereas *Emilia coccinea* inhibited *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli* (Teke et al., 2007). Thus, there is a continuous and urgent need to discover new antimicrobial compounds with diverse chemical structures and novel mechanisms of action.

Natural products, either as pure compounds or as standardized plant extracts, provide unlimited opportunities for new drug leads because of the unmatched availability of chemical diversity (Cos et al., 2006). Therefore, in the present study, 34 Indian plant species were screened for their antimicrobial potential against selected members of the Enterobacteriaceae.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS Ethno-medical**

## information and plant collection

Fresh plant or plant parts were collected randomly from the semi arid region of Rajkot Gujarat, India. The taxonomic identities of plants were confirmed by Dr. P.S. Nagar and Dr. N.K. Thakrar, Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India with the help of Flora of Bombay. The ethno-medical information is reported in Table 1. Fresh plant material were washed with tap water, air dried and then homogenized to a fine powder and stored in air-tight bottles.

# Plant extraction

For aqueous extraction, 10 g of air-dried powder was mixed with distilled water and boiled on slow heat for 2 h. It was then filtered through 8 layers of muslin cloth and centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected. This procedure was repeated twice more. After 6 h, the supernatant, collected at an interval of every 2 h, was pooled and concentrated to make the final volume one-fourth of the original volume. It was then autoclaved (121°C, 15 lbs pressure) and stored at 4°C. For solvent extraction, 10 g of air-dried powder was mixed with 100 ml of organic solvent (methanol

Table 2. Screening of some plant species for potential antimicrobial activity

Botanical name			Inhibition Of Zone (Mean ± SEM)						
(family, genus, species)	Extract	Ea**	Ec**	Кр**	Pm**	Pv**	St**		
AMARANTHACEAE									
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	3±0.1	-	-	-		
Celosia argentea L.	EtOH	-	-	1±0.0	-	-	-		
ASCLEPIADACEAE		,		_					
	H <sub>2</sub> 0								
	EtOH	-	-		-	-	-		
Tylophora indica (Burm.f.) Merr.		-	-	3±0.0	-	-	-		
ASTERACEAE									
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Vernonia anthelmintica (L.) Willd.	EtOH	-	-	4±0.0	-	-	-		
BALANITACEAE									
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	_	-		-	_	4±0.4		
Balanites aegyptiaca (L.) Del.	EtOH	_	-	$2.5 \pm 0.0$	-	_	-		
BIGNONACEAE	•	•		•	•				
	H <sub>2</sub> 0			_					
Spathodea campanulata Beauv.	MeOH	$3.0 \pm 0.0$	_	3±0.0	-	-	_		
CAESALPINIACEAE		= 5.0				· I			
OTTOTAL HIMOLAL	H <sub>2</sub> 0	1 . [		T _	_		_		
Cassia fistula L.	MeOH	1 -	- -	3±0.0	2±0.0	_	<u>-</u>		
CHENOPODIACEAE	WOOTT	1		020.0	220.0	<u> </u>			
CHENOFODIACEAE	110			4.00					
	H <sub>2</sub> 0 EtOH	-	-	1±0.6 1±0.0					
Beta vulgaris L.	H <sub>2</sub> 0		-	1±0.0	_	_	-		
Spinacia oleracea L.	MeOH	1 [ ]	-	1±0.0	2±0.0		-		
COMMELINACEAE	WICOIT	1		1±0.0	2±0.0	<u>                                       </u>			
COMMELINACEAE	Н.О	1		1.5 ± 0.3					
Commelina benghalensis L.	H <sub>2</sub> 0 EtOH	-	-	1.5 ± 0.3 2±0.0	-	_	-		
CONNARACEAE	Lion	<u> </u>		2±0.0	<u> </u>				
CONNARACEAE	T	Г		1	Ι				
Dourse contoloides (Vahl.) Wight 9 Arnott	H <sub>2</sub> 0	25.0		-	- 0.2 - 0.4				
Rourea santaloides (Vahl.) Wight &. Arnott	EtOH	2.5 ± .0	-	6±0.0	0.2 ± 0.1	-	-		
CONVOLVULACEAE									
Cressa cretica L.	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	EtOH	-	-	$04 \pm 0.0$	-	-	-		
CRUCIFERAE									
	H <sub>2</sub> 0								
Lepidium sativum L.	MeOH	-	-	-	-	-	2.06		
011011001740545		- 1	-	-	-	-	3±0.6		
CUCURBITACEAE		1		1	I	1			
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	-				
<i>Lagenaria vulgari</i> s Seringe	MeOH	-	-	7± 0.0	3± 0.6	-	-		
Managediae aboventia l	H <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	105.00	4.05 . 0.0	-	-		
Momordica charantia L.	MeOH	2±0.1	-	10.5 ± 0.3	1.25 ± 0.0	-	-		
Mukia maderaspatana (L.) M. Roem.	H₂0 EtOH		-	- 4± 0.0	_	-	-		
	EIUП	<u> </u>	-	4± U.U	<u> </u>		-		
CYPERACEAE	1	<del>                                     </del>		1	4 0 0	<u> </u>			
Company in accordance D. D.:	H <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	1± 0.0	-	-		
Cyperus scarious R.Br.	MeOH	1± 0.0	-	4± 0.0	4.5± 0.30	-	-		

Table 2. Contd.

EHRETIACEAE							
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	_	_	_	1 ± 0.0	_	-
Cordia dichotoma Forst.	EtOH	_	_	4±0.0	1 ± 0.3	_	_
EUPHORBIACEAE					0.0		
Ricinus communis L.	H <sub>2</sub> 0			1.7± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.2		
Memas communis E.	MeOH	_	_	4.5± 0.3	$1.0 \pm 0.2$ $1.3 \pm 0.3$	_	-
FABACEAE	WIGGIT			1.02 0.0	1.0 ± 0.0		
Arachis hypogaea L.	H <sub>2</sub> 0	1±0.6		1±0.6			
Arachis hypogaea L.	EtOH	1±0.0	_	3±0.0	_	_	_
0 " 1 " 1 " 2	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	_	3±0.0	-	_	-
Canavalia gladiata DC.		-	-	4.00			
	EtOH H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	1±0.0	-	-	-
Vigna radiata L.	_	-	-		1 ± 0.0	-	-
FUMARIAGEAE	EtOH	-	-	3±0.6	1 ± 0.0	-	-
FUMARIACEAE	11.0				4 . 0 0		
Fumaria indica (Haussk.) Pugsley.	H <sub>2</sub> 0 EtOH	_	_	- 2±0.0	1 ± 0.0	-	- -
GUTTIFERAE	ElOIT	-	-	2±0.0	-		
OUT III LIVAL	H <sub>2</sub> 0	_	_	4.5 ± 0.3	$3.5 \pm 0.3$	_	_
Mesua ferra Linn.	MeOH	1±0.0	-	19.5 ± 0.9	$22.5 \pm 0.9$	3±0.0	-
LABIATAE							
	H <sub>2</sub> 0					-	
	EtOH	-	-	-	-	3.25 ±	-
Ocimum kilimanjaricum L.		$1.5 \pm 0.3$	2.25 ± 0.1	$4.5 \pm 0.3$	5 ± 0.6	0.1	-
LAURACEAE							
Oissans and the Alasa O. Elasa	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	1 ± 0.0	-	-
Cinnamomum tamala Nees & Ebern.	EtOH	-	-	7±0.0	3.25 ± 0.01	-	-
LYTHRACEAE	11.0						
	H₂0 MeOH	$9.5 \pm 0.3$	1.0 ± 0.0	10 ± 0.0	6 ± 0.06	7.5 ±	8.5 ± 0.03
Woodfordia fruticosa Kurz.	IVICOTT	$7.5 \pm 0.9$	1.0 ± 0.0	10 ± 0.0	10 ± 0.06	0.3	$4.25 \pm 0.25$
MALVACEAE							
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	2±0.0			
Thespesia populnea (L.) Sol ex Correa.	EtOH	-	-	7±0.0	1 ± 0.6	-	-
MORACEAE							
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-			-		
Artocarpus hetrophyllus Lam.	EtOH	3.2± 0.2	-	-	$3.5 \pm 0.3$		
Figure election Dovin	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ficus elastica Roxb.  PIPERACEAE	MeOH	-	-	6±0.0	$3 \pm 0.0$	-	-
I II LINAULAL	H <sub>2</sub> 0		_	<u> </u>	I		
Piper longum L.	EtOH	4±0.0	-	8±0.0	5 ± 0.6	] [	- 6±0.0
POACEAE			1	3_0.0	_ = = = = =		0_0.0
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	_	-	-	-
Bambusa arundinaceae (Retz.) Roxb.	EtOH	-	_	4 ± 0.12	-	-	-
RUBIACEAE							
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	13 ± 0.0	6 ± 0.6	-	-
Gardenia resinifera Roth.	MeOH	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAPOTACEAE							

Table 2. Contd.

Manilkara hexandra (Roxb.) Dubard.  VITACEAE	H₂0 MeOH	- 2± 0.0	- 2± 0.0	2± 0.0 10± 0.0	- 7± 0.0	2± 0.0 5± 0.0	-
	H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cissus quadrangularis L.	MeOH	-	•	-	2±0.0	-	-

H20: aqueous extract, EtOH: ethanol extract, MeOH: methanol extract; #values are the mean of inhibition zone diameter and subtracted from the control; - means no activity. Ea: Enterobacter aerogenes, Ec: Escherichia coli, Kp: Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pm: Proteus mirabilis, Pv: Proteus vulgaris, St: Salmonella typhimurium;

or ethanol) in a conical flask, plugged with cotton and then kept on a rotary shaker at 190 - 220 rpm for 24 h. After 24 h, it was filtered through 8 layers of muslin cloth and centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected and the solvent was evaporated to make the final volume one-fourth of the original volume, and stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C in air-tight bottles.

# Microorganisms

The microbial strains used in this study were obtained from the National Chemical Laboratory (NCL), Pune, India. The studied bacterial strains comprised: *E. aerogenes* ATCC13048, *E. coli* ATCC25922, *K. pneumoniae* NCIM2719, *P. mirabilis* NCIM 2241, *P. vulgaris* NCTC8313 *and S. typhimurium* ATCC23564. Microorganisms were maintained at 4°C on nutrient agar slants.

## **Antibacterial activity**

The antibacterial assay was performed by two methods. The agar disc diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1966; Parekh and Chanda, 2006) was used for aqueous extracts and the agar well diffusion method (Perez et al., 1990; Nair and Chanda, 2005) was used for solvent extracts. The media (Mueller Hinton Agar No.2), along with the inoculum (108 cfu/ml), was poured into the Petri plate (Hi-Media). For the agar disc diffusion method, the disc (0.7 cm) (Hi-Media) was saturated with 100 µl of the test compound, allowed to dry and then placed on the upper layer of the seeded agar plate. For the agar well diffusion method, a well was prepared in the plates with a cup-borer (0.85 cm) and 100 µl of the test compound was pipetted directly into the well. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. Antibacterial activity was determined by measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition surrounding bacterial growth. For each bacterial strain, controls were included that comprised pure solvents instead of the extract (Parekh and Chanda, 2007b). The control zones were subtracted from the test zones and the resulting zone diameter is shown in the Table 2. The experiments were repeated three times and the mean values are presented with ± Standard Deviation (SD).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since ancient times, plants have been a veritable source of drugs. However, man tends to ignore the importance of herbal medicine. Different extracts from traditional medicinal plants have been tested to identify the source of the therapeutic effects. As a result, some natural products have been approved as new antibacterial drugs, but there is still an urgent need to identify novel substances that are active towards pathogens with high resistance. Conti-

nued further exploration of plant-derived antimicrobials is needed today.

Successive isolation of botanical compounds from plant material is largely dependent on the type of solvent used in the extraction procedure. The traditional healers use primarily water as the solvent, but we found in this study that plant extracts prepared with methanol and ethanol as solvents provided more consistent antimicrobial activity, as also reported earlier (Allero and Afolayan, 2006; Parekh and Chanda, 2007b). The antibacterial activity of the 34 Indian plants against seven members of Enterobacteriaceae are shown in Table 2. None of the aqueous extracts (except one or two) produced zones of inhibition in the Kirby- Bauer analysis. This might have resulted from the lack of solubility of the active constituents in aqueous solutions. Alternatively, active compound(s) may be present in insufficient quantities in the crude extracts to show activity with the dose levels employed (Taylor et al., 2001).

Alcoholic plant extracts, on the other hand, showed some activity. Maximum antibacterial activity was shown by *Mesua ferra*, but it was active only against *P. mirabilis* (23 mm) and *K. pneumoniae* (20 mm), while *Woodfordia fruitcosa* showed activity against all six members investigated, maximum activity being against *K. pneumoniae* (19 mm). *K. pneumoniae* was the most susceptible bacterium followed by *P. mirabilis*, while the most resistant bacteria were *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli.* Amongst *Proteus* species, *P. mirabilis* was susceptible, while *P. vulgaris* was resistant. Earlier work from this laboratory reported the inhibitory activity of some medicinal plant extracts on the studied members of Enterobacteriaceae (Nair and Chanda, 2007; Parekh and Chanda, 2007c).

Recently, multiple drug resistance has developed due to indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobial drugs that are commonly used in the treatment of infectious diseases, making it a global growing problem. There is an urgent need to develop new antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of infectious diseases from medicinal plants, which may be less toxic to humans and possibly with a novel mechanism of action. There are numerous examples of antimicrobials of plant origin that have an enormous therapeutic potential (Parekh and Chanda, 2007d).

From the screening experiment, *Woodfordia fruticosa* Kurz. Showed best antibacterial activity; and hence this

plant can be further subjected to isolation of the therapeutic antimicrobials and carry out further pharmacological evaluation. The potential of *W. fruticosa* has already been reported (Parekh and Chanda, 2007d; Das et al., 2007). The potential for developing antimicrobial drugs from higher plants appears rewarding, as it will lead to the development of a phytomedicine to act against mic-robes. Therefore, such screening experiments form a pri-mary platform for further phytochemical and pharmaco-logical studies that may open the possibility of finding new clinically effective antibacterial compounds.

### **REFERENCES**

- Allero AA, Afolayan AJ (2006). Antimicrobial activity of Solanum tomentosum. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 5: 369-372.
- Bandow JE, Brotz H, Leichert LIO, Labischinski H, Hecker M (2003).
  Proteomic approach to understanding antibiotic action. Antimicro.
  Agents. Chemotherap. 47: 948-955.
- Basile A, Senatore F, Gargano R, Sorbo S, Pezzo MD, Lavitola A, Ritieni A, Bruno M, Spatuzzi D, Rigano D, Vuotto ML (2006). Antibacterial and antioxidant activities in *Sideritis italica* (Miller) Greuter et Burdet essential oils. J. Ethnopharmacol. 107: 240-248
- Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Turck M (1966). Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 45: 493-496.
- Black JG (1996). Microbiology: Principles and Application, Prentice Hall NJ, pp.260.
- Cheesbrough M (2000). Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries. Microbiology, Linacre house, Jordan Hill Oxford, pp. 260.
- Colombo ML, Bosisio E (1996). Pharmacological activities of *Chelidonium majus* L (Papaveraceae). Pharmacol. Res. 33: 127-134.
- Cos P, Vlietinck AJ, Berghe DV, Maes L (2006). Anti -infective potential of natural products: How to develop a stronger in vitro 'proof-of-concept'. J. Ethnopharmacol. 106: 290-302.
- Dabur R, Gupta A, Mandal TK, Singh DD, Bajpai V, Gurav AM, Lavekar GS (2007). Antimicrobial activity of some Indian medicinal plants. Afr. J. Trad. Comp. Alt. Med. 4: 313-318.
- Das PK, Goswami S, Chinniah A, Panda N, Banerjee S, Sahu NP, Achari B (2007). Woodfordia fruticosa: traditional uses and recent findings. J. Ethnopharmacol. 110: 189-199.
- Duraipandiyan V, Ayyanar M, Ignacimuthu S (2006). Antimicrobial activity of some ethnomedicinal plants used by Paliyar tribe from Tamil Nadu, India. BMC Comp. Alt. Med. 6: 35-41.
- El-Seedi HR, Ohara T, Sata N, Nishiyama S (2002). Antimicrobial terpenoids from *Eupatorium glutinosum* (Asteraceae). J. Ethnopharmacol . 81: 293-296.
- Gupta P, Murali P, Murali MV, Faridi MMA, Kaul PB, Ramachandran VC, Talwar V (1993). Clinicl profile of Klebsiella septicaemia in neonates. Ind. J. Paediatr. 60: 565-572.
- Ilhan S, Savaroglu F, Colak F, Filik Iscen C, Erdemgil FZ (2006). Antimicrobial activity of *Palustriella commutate* (Hedw.) Ochyra extracts (Bryophyta). Turk. J. Biol. 30: 149-152.
- Mastroeni P (2002). Immunity to systemic Salmonella infections. Curr. Mol. Med. 2: 393-406.
- Mazumder R, Dastidar SG, Basu SP, Mazumder A, Singh SK (2004).

  Antibacterial potentiality of *Mesua ferrea* Linn . flowers. Phytother. Res. 18: 824-826.
- Mazumder A, Mahato A, Mazumder R (2006). Antimicrobial potentiality of *Phyllanthus amarus* against drug resistant pathogens. Nat. Prod. Res. 20: 323-326.
- Nair R, Chanda S (2005). Antibacterial activity of *Punica granatum* in different solvents. Ind. J. Pharm. Sci. 67: 239-243.
- Nair R, Chanda S (2007). In vitro antimicrobial activity of Psidium guajava L. leaf extracts against clinically important pathogenic microbial strain. Braz. J. Micro. 38: 452-458.

- Parekh J, Chanda S (2006). In vitro antimicrobial activities of extracts of Launaea procumbens Roxb. (Labiateae), Vitis vinifera L. (Vitaceae) and Cyperus rotundus L. (Cyperaceae). Afr. J. Biomed. Res. 9: 89-93
- Parekh J, Chanda S (2007a). *In vitro* antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analysis of some Indian medicinal plants. Turk. J. Biol. 31: 53-58.
- Parekh J, Chanda S (2007b). Antibacterial and phytochemical studies on twelve species of Indian medicinal plants. Afr. J. Biol. Res. 10: 175-181.
- Parekh J, Chanda S (2007c). *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Trapa natans* L. fruit rind extracted in different solvents. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 6: 766-770..
- Parekh J, Chanda S (2007d). In vitro antibacterial activity of methanol extract of Woodfordia fruticosa Kurz. flower (Lythraceae). Braz. J. Micro. 38: 204-207.
- Perez C, Paul M, Bazerque P (1990). An antibiotic assay by the agar well diffusion method. Acta Biol. Med. Exp. 15: 113-115.
- Rojas R, Bustamante B, Bauer J, Fernandez I, Alban J, Lock O (2003). Antimicrobial activity of selected Peruvian medicinal plants. J. Ethnopharmacol . 88: 199-204.
- Scazzocchio F, Comets MF, Tomassini L, Palmery M (2001). Antibacterial activity of *Hydrastis canadensis* extract and it's major isolated alkaloids. Planta Med. 67: 561-563.
- Sudharameshwari K, Radhika J (2007). Antibacterial screening of *Aegle marmelos*, *Lawsonia inermis* and *Albizzia libbeck*. Afr. J. Trad. Comp. Alt. Med. 4: 199.204.
- Taylor JLS, Rabe T, McGraw LJ, Jager AK, van Staden J (2001). Towards the scientific validation of traditional medicinal plants. Plant Growth Regul . 34: 23-37.
- Teke GN, Kuiate JR, Ngouateu OB, Gatsing D (2007). Antidiarrhoeal and antimicrobial activities of *Emilia coccinea* (Sims) G. Don extracts. J. Ethnopharmacol. 112: 278-286.