

*Full Length Research Paper*

# The effect of novel probiotic on blood parameters and performance in broiler chickens

Mehdi Ghaderi Jouybari\*, Vahid Rezaei Pour, Mehdi Mohammad Zadeh Nagharchi, Mohammad Reza Taghizadeh, and Naser Dehpanah

Department of Animal Science, Islamic Azad University Ghaemshahr Branch, Iran.

Accepted 09 April, 2017

In this experiment, effects of two phosphate solublizing bacteria as a novel probiotic on serum concentrations of cholesterol and triglyceride and performance factors were investigated. The experiment included 320 Ross broilers from 1 to 49 days of age. Birds were randomly allocated to 4 treatments, with 4 replicates of 20 birds. Treatments include T1. Negative Control (basal diet, with no added probiotic); T2 – Negative Control + Probiotic (in starter, grower and finisher); T3 – Negative Control + Probiotic (in grower and finisher) and T4 – Negative Control + Probiotic (in finisher). The results obtained in this experiment showed that the probiotic significantly increased body weight gain ( $p < 0.05$ ). Probiotic significantly improved feed conversion ratio ( $p < 0.05$ ). However, the probiotic supplementation did not affect on feed intake between treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). In addition, results showed that probiotic caused significantly decrease on the serum cholesterol and triglyceride ( $p < 0.05$ ). Mean total cholesterol was significantly lower in the T2 fed group ( $p < 0.05$ ) when compared to treatment without probiotic.

**Key words:** Probiotic, phosphate solublizing bacteria, cholesterol, broiler.

## INTRODUCTION

The efficiency of a poultry digestion depends on the microorganisms which live naturally in its digestive tract. Dietary certain feed additives are products which are incorporated into animal feed to create favorable conditions in the animal's intestine for the digestion of feed. Growth promoters have been used extensively in animal feeds and water all over world especially in the poultry and pig industries (Charles and Duke, 1978). Antibiotics improve the production results of meat producing chicks, and the utilization of energy particular is improved. However the use of growth-promoting antibiotics is being placed under more and more Pressure as consumers increasingly fear that their use in feed rations of productive live stocks leads to the formation of resistance against bacteria which are pathogenic to humans (Langhout, 2000). Some probiotic microorganisms are an alternative to antibiotic to be used exclusively as a growth stimulant and for improvement of the feed conversion rate in farm animals (Esteive et al., 1997).

Probiotics are organisms and substances which help to improve the environment of the intestinal tract. It may be defined as living microorganism which, given to animals, assist in the establishment of an intestinal population which is beneficial to the animal and antagonistic to harmful microbes (Green and Sainbury, 2001). By producing acids (such as acetic acid and lactic acid) and other compounds which inhibit the growth of "bad" bacteria which produce toxins, lactic acid and other useful bacteria have demonstrated probiotic effects (Honma et al., 1987). Studies with broiler chicks were indicated a positive response to dietary supplementation of probiotic (Midilli and Tuncer, 2001; Mohan et al., 1996).

Yeo and Kim (1997) observed significant improvements in daily gain and feed intake for broiler chicks fed probiotic. Probiotics reduce production of toxic components by bacteria and a change in the morphology of the intestinal wall and reduces colonization of pathogens on the intestinal wall, thus preventing damage to the epithelial cells (Langhout, 2000). The review of literature showed that some probiotics are alternative to the treatment of broiler growth performance, thus to a certain feed additives take over the role of antibiotics.

Phosphate solublizing bacteria are group of bacteria

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [ghaderi689@yahoo.com](mailto:ghaderi689@yahoo.com). Tel.: 00989111292087. Fax: +981243463461.

**Table 1.** Diet composition.

Ingredients (%)	Starter		Grower		Finisher	
	Diet without probiotic	Diet with probiotic	Diet without probiotic	Diet with probiotic	Diet without probiotic	Diet with probiotic
Corn	57/75	57/6	58/55	58/55	61/45	61/45
Soybean	36/65	36/61	35/30	35/3	31/48	31/48
Fat	1/6	1/66	2/2	2/2	3/17	3/17
DCP	1/56	1/56	1/3	1/3	1/15	1/15
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	1/26	1/23	1/24	1/24	1/09	1/09
Salt	0/2	0/2	0/25	0/2	0/32	0/32
Methionine	0/2	0/2	0/25	0/25	0/3	0/3
Lyzine	0/04	0/04	0/07	0/07	0/07	0/07
Additive	0/48	0/55	0/67	0/54	0/73	0/64
Sodium bi carbonate	0/1	0/1	0/15	0/1	0/24	0/15
Probiotic	0	0/25	0	0/25	0	0/25
<b>Calculated analysis</b>						
ME (kcal/kg)	2900	2900	2950	2950	3050	3050
CP %	21	21	20/5	20/5	19	19
CA %	0/94	0/94	0/87	0/87	0/78	0/78
AP%	0/42	0/42	0/38	0/38	0/34	0/34
MET %	0/52	0/52	0/5	0/5	0/48	0/48
LYZ %	1/3	1/3	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1

that can solve phosphate from its resource. These bacteria also can hydrolyze phytate. *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pantoea agglomeran* are phosphate solublizing bacteria that may be candidate for probiotic. These bacteria isolated with screening soil samples collected from various region of Iran. In the present study; we used two microorganisms (*P. putida* and *P. agglomerans*) as new probiotic on performance and serum concentration of cholesterol and triglyceride.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, totally 320, day-old Ross 308 chicks were used. The chicks were divided into 4 groups 3 treatment groups and a control group, with 20 chicks in each. Each group was housed separately in individual. The chicks were fed standard starter (from 1 to 21 d), grower (from 22 to 35 d) and finisher (from 36 to 49) diets according to NRC (1994) (Table 1). Groups were randomly assigned to following treatment groups,

- (1) Basal diet-no additives (control).
- (2) Basal diet + 0.25 % probiotic in starter, grower and finisher.
- (3) Basal diet + 0.25 % probiotic in grower and finisher.
- (4) Basal diet + 0.25 % probiotic in finisher.

Each experimental group was allowed *ad libitum* access to its own diet and water for 49 d. The temperature of the room with continuous lighting was maintained at 33 C initially, and reduced by 3° C / wk until reached 21°C, at which the room temperature was maintained for the end of experiment. Light was provided 24 h a day. Body weight gain, feed consumption and feed efficiency (feed: gain) were checked weekly. At the end of the study period (day 49), one bird was randomly selected from each replicate (each cage) of each treatment group and blood samples (totally 16) were collected from the bronchial vein during slaughter. The collected blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min and the sera were decanted into aseptically treated vials and stored at -20°C until further analysis. Serum samples were analyzed for total cholesterol and

triglycerides concentrations by diagnostic kits (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) using an automated biochemical analyzer (Reflotron Manual, Germany).

## Statistical analysis

The data obtained were analyzed by SAS (1990) with a General Linear Models procedure for ANOVA, for each experiment. Differences between means were analyzed with Duncan's multiple gaps test. The significant difference statements were based on the possibility  $p < 0.05$ , unless explained in another way.

## RESULTS

Performance results from 1 to 21 days of age are presented in Table 2. There were no feed intake differences among treatment groups and the control group ( $p > 0.05$ ), nor in weight gain of broilers fed the different diets.

As a result, the best feed conversion ratios were found for the groups in which the broilers were fed the diet containing probiotic in starter, grower and finisher. From 22 to 35 days of age, feed intake was higher in treatment 4 as compared with those fed the diet with probiotic (Table 2) . Also in this period, treatments affected weight gain and treatments that consumed the feed containing probiotic in total period and in grower and finisher presented better feed conversion ratios as compared to other treatments.

In the finisher phase (36 - 49 days), only birds fed the diets without probiotic (control) presented different feed intake as compared to the other treatments. When the entire rearing period was evaluated, feed intake of broilers fed probiotic in finisher was significantly lower than in the other treatments. It is also evidenced that the

**Table 2.** Feed intake (FI), weight gain (WG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), Cholesterol, Triglyceride and standard error of mean (SEM) of broilers fed probiotic from 1 to 49 days of age.

Treatments Factors		1	2	3	4	SEM
FI (kg)	Starter	0.891ab	0.858ab	0.873b	0.891a	0.007
	Grower	1.440b	1.418a	1.360a	1.462a	0.015
	Finisher	1.911	2.008	1.982	1.844	0.011
WG (kg)	Starter	0.519b	0.543a	0.522b	0.543b	0.007
	Grower	0.698c	0.798a	0.740b	0.714b	0.007
	Finisher	0.854	1.094	0.988	0.968	0.015
FCR	Starter	a 1.68	b1.53	a1.66	ab1.60	0.027
	Grower	a2.08	b1.85	b1.86	a2.09	0.033
	Finisher	a2.53	c1.82	b2.02	ab2.16	0.063
Cholesterol(mg/dl)		a127.00	b106.87	a117.62	a123.50	3.268
Triglyceride(mg/dl)		a93.25	c61.85	b83.37	ab88.75	2.557

Means without a common superscripts in per row are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

best feed conversion ratio in this period was presented by the broilers fed the diet with probiotic in starter, grower and finisher.

At the end of experiment, results about blood cholesterol and triglyceride shown that treatments significantly affected on serum cholesterol and triglyceride ( $p > 0.05$ ), lower cholesterol and triglyceride observed in treatment that consumed the feed containing probiotic in starter, grower and finisher ( $p > 0.05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

The addition of probiotic to diets may influence broiler weight gain (Jones and Ricke, 2005). However, the main objective of using these compounds in broiler diets is to improve their feed conversion ratio (Dibner and Richards, 2005), as was observed in this study. The mechanism that explains the action of probiotics is focused on gastrointestinal tract, as most of these products are not absorbed, and are not efficient as growth promoters in germ-free animals (Coates et al., 1955; Coates et al., 1963). Therefore, it may be speculated that there is a strong interaction between probiotics and the intestinal micro flora. This improvement in performance due to the action of probiotics on the micro flora can be interpreted in two ways: the first is related to the reduction in the utilization of nutrients by microorganisms and the second is the decrease of microbial metabolites that interfere with host growth (Visek, 1978; Anderson et al., 1999).

In addition, maintaining the integrity of the intestinal mucosa results in high energy requirements, and the decrease of pathogens and intestinal metabolites can also decrease intestinal cell turnover, resulting in more energy available for production. Finally, the reduction of opportunistic pathogens and subclinical infections can also be associated with the use of probiotics (Dibener and Richards, 2005). At this time, however, the use of

these products is being debated due to a possible relation with the resistance to antibiotics used in human antibiotic therapy (Maiorka et al., 2001).

The improvement in performance (feed conversion ratio) of birds fed with diets containing the tested probiotic shows that the use of these products is a feasible alternative to antibiotics used as growth promoters. Similar results were also found by Maiorka et al. (2001), Pelicano et al. (2004), Pelícia et al. (2004). Edens (2003) reported that the addition of a probiotic, did not affect weight gain of broilers at 42 days of age; however, it improved feed conversion ratio. There was also a significant reduction in carcass contamination by enteric bacteria, potentially pathogenic for humans (Marutta et al., 1996; Fritts et al., 2000), as they are present in smaller numbers in broilers feces.

The inclusion of desirable microorganisms (probiotics) in the diet allows the rapid development of beneficial bacteria in the digestive tract of the host, improving its performance (Edens, 2003). As a consequence, there is an improvement in the intestinal environment, increasing the efficiency of digestion and nutrient absorption processes (Pelicano et al., 2004), which may explain the improvement in feed conversion ratio observed in the present study. The efficiency of probiotics, however, will depend on the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of microorganisms used in the production (Tournut, 1998), making it difficult to conduct comparative studies between different products.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors were grateful to the Green biotech company for providing the financial support to carry out this research work and. We thank Eng. Amini, Eng. Kalaki Jouybari and Mr. Hydari for their kind attention during this investigation.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson DB, McCracken VJ, Aminov RJ, Simpson JM, Mackie RJ, Vestegem MWA, Gaskins HR (1999). Gut microbiology and growth promoting antibiotics in swine. *Pig News and Information*; 20:115N-122N
- Charles OW, Duke S (1978). The response of laying hens to dietary fermentation products and probiotic-antibiotic combinations. *Poult. Sci.* 57:1125.
- Coates ME, Davies MK, Kon SK (1955). The effect of antibiotics on the intestine of the chick. *Br. J. Nut.* 9:110-119.
- Coates ME, Fuller R, Harrison GF, Lev M, Suffolk SF (1963). Comparison of the growth of chick in the Gustafsson germ -free apparatus and in a conventional environment, with and without dietary supplementations of penicillin. *Br. J. Nut.* 17:141-151.
- Dibner JJ, Richards JD (2005). Antibiotic growth promoters in agriculture: History and mode action. *Poult. Sci.* 84:634-643.
- Edens FW (2003). An alternative for antibiotic use in poultry: Probiotics. *Braz. J. Poultry Sci.* 5(2):75-97.
- Esteive GE, Brufau J, Perez VAMAK (1997). Bioefficacy of enzyme preparations containing betaglucanase and xylanase activities in broiler diets based on barley or heat, in combination with flavomycin. *Poult. Sci.* 76: 1728-1737.
- Fritts CA, Kersey JH, Moti MA, Kroger EC, Yan F, Si J, Jiang Q, Campos MM, Waldroup AL, Waldroup PW(2000). *Bacillus subtilis* C-3102 (Calsporin) improves live performance and microbiological status of broiler chickens. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 9(2):149-155.
- Green AA, Sainsbury DWB (2001). The role of probiotic in producing quality poultry products. XV European Symposium on the quality of poultry meat 9-12 September 2001 kusadasi/Turkey pp.245-251.
- Honma N, Ohtani K, Kikuchi H (1987). On effects of lactic acid bacteria. Part-II. Clinical effects. *New Med. Clin.* 36: 75.
- Langhout P (2000). New additives for broiler chickens. *Feed Mix.* pp.24-27.
- Maiorka A, Santin E, Sugeta S, Almeida JG, Macari M(2001). Utilização de prebióticos, probióticos ou simbióticos em dietas para frangos. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola* 3: 75-82.
- Maruta K, Miyazaki H, Masuda S, Takahashi M, Marubashi T, Tadano Takahashi YH (1996). Exclusion of intestinal pathogens by continuous feeding with *Bacillus subtilis* C-3102 and its influence on the intestinal microflora in broilers. *Anim. Sci. Technol.* 67(1-2): 273-280.
- Midilli M, Tuncer SD(2001). The effects of enzyme and probiotic supplementation to diets on broiler performance. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* pp.895-903.
- Mohan B, Kadirvel R, Natarajan A, Bhaskaran M (1996). Effect of probiotic supplementation on growth, nitrogen utilization and serum cholesterol in broilers. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 37: 395-401.
- Moreira J, Garcia RG, Quinteiro RR, Paz ICLA, Komiyama CM (2004). Use of prebiotics and probiotics of bacterial and yeast origin for free-range broiler chickens. *Br. J. Poult. Sci.* 6:163-169.
- Pelicano ERL, Souza PA, Souza HBA, Leonei FR, Zeola NMBL, Boiogo MM (2004). Productive traits of broiler chickens fed diets containing different growth promoters. *Braz. J. Poult. Sci.* 6:177-182.
- Pelícia K, Mendes AA, Saldanha ESPB, Pizzolante CC, Takahashi SE, Moreira J, Garcia RG, Quinteiro RR, Paz ICLA, Komiyama CM. (2004). Use of prebiotics and probiotics of bacterial and yeast origin for freerange broiler chickens. *Br. J. Poult. Sci.* 6:163-169.
- Tournut JR(1998). Probiotics. In: 35a Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia; Botucatu, São Paulo, Brasil pp.179-199.
- Yeo J, Kim KI (1997). Effect of feeding diets containing an antibiotic, a probiotic or yucca extract on growth and intestinal urease activity in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 76: 381-385.