

African Journal of Parasitology Research ISSN 2756-3391 Vol. 8 (4), pp. 001-003, April, 2021. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

# Influence of five industrial pollutants on mycorrhizal colonization, nodulation and growth of *Acacia nilotica* and *Leucaena leucocephala*

# Pavan Kumar Pindi

Department of Microbiology, Palamuru University, Mahabubnagar – 509001, Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: pavankumarpindi@gmail.com.

### Accepted 09 February, 2021

An attempt was made to investigate the effect of different polluted soils on the mycorrhizal association, nodulation and subsequent growth of *Acacia melanoxylon* and *Leucaena leucocephala* tree species. Five industrial pollutant affected soils viz., Organic chemicals, pesticide, detergent, paper and neem seed oil cake were employed in this study and Palamuru University Campus soil used as a control. Among the five types of effluents, Adarsha Paper Industries and Vidyadhari neem extract effluents exerted less negative effect. Corey organics and Rhyme organics factory effluents have shown maximum inhibitory effect, K. K. Detergent factory effluents exerted moderate inhibitory effect. Both agro forestry tree species were shown similar response towards AM colonization, nodulation and growth against five industrial effluents.

Key words: Mycorrhizae, pollutants, Acacia and Leucaena.

# INTRODUCTION

Soil fertility, vegetation, and soil stability drastically affected by industrial pollutants (Rajannan and Oblisami, 1979; Young et al., 1981). Mycorrhizal fungi are known to improve plant growth and development under nutrient deficient conditions. AM fungi are present in most of the soils and generally not considered to be host specific (Bowen, 1987). Industrial effluents or natural soil pollutants may interfere with the possible benefits of mycorrhizal association on plant nutrition and its health (Pavan et al., 2005). Very less information is available on the effect of effluents on indigenous AM fungi (Laxminarayan et al., 2006; Kehri and Chandra, 1990; Raman et al., 1995; Reddy et al., 1995; Srinivas et al., 1996). Therefore, the present investigations, an effect of five industrial effluents on the AM colonization, nodulation and growth of two legume plants were investigated.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present investigations five industrial effluents namely phenol and methyl chloro acetate (Corey organics), Pesticides formulation (Rhyme organics), detergent cake (K.K. detergents), paper industry (Adarsha Paper Industries) and neem seed oil cake (Vidyadhari

neem extracts) from Kothur, Mahabubnagar District were selected for these studies. Physico-chemical characteristics of the effluents were analyzed (APHA, AWWA and WPCF, 1975). Two Agroforestry legume plants namely Acacia melanoxylon and Leucaena leucocephala, seeds were shown in polythene bags containing different polluted soils. Sterile water used for the experiments. AM colonization, number of resting spores, nodulation and biomass of the nodules and plant were estimated after 180 days of growth. To assess the mycorrhizal colonization, the procedure of clearing and staining of roots was carried out by the method suggested by Phillips and Hayman (1970). The percentage of infection was calculated by the formula given by Giovannetti and Mosse (1980). The resting spores of AMF were extracted by wet sieving and decanting methods of Gerdemann and Nicolson (1963) and Pacioni (1992) and later they were identified by following the key provided by Hall and Fish (1979) and Schenck and Perez (1987). Plant biomass, nitrogen (AOAC: Official method of analysis, 1950), phosphorus (Jackson, 1973) were estimated.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

An effect of five polluted soils on the mycorrhizal colonization, nodulation and subsequent growth of *A. melanoxylon* tree species was studied. The results are

|       | Pollutant                | Mycorrhizal colonization | No. of<br>spores/ | Nodulation |           |                | Height of the<br>plant (cm) |      | Plant dry<br>weight (g) |      |           |           |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|
| S/No. |                          |                          |                   |            |           |                |                             |      |                         |      | N content | P content |
|       |                          | (%)                      | 100 g soil        | No.        | Size (cm) | Dry weight (g) | Shoot                       | Root | Shoot                   | Root | (%)       | mg/g      |
| 1     | P.U. Campus              | 41.7                     | 123               | 20         | 0.2       | 0.12           | 78.3                        | 41.6 | 2.31                    | 0.91 | 1.4       | 2.19      |
| 2     | Adarsha paper Industries | 27.4                     | 73                | 22         | 0.3       | 0.14           | 59.6                        | 31.2 | 1.85                    | 0.88 | 1.0       | 1.55      |
| 3     | K.K. detergents          | 21.3                     | 64                | 13         | 0.2       | 0.09           | 44.2                        | 25.8 | 1.43                    | 0.78 | 0.9       | 1.10      |
| 4     | Rhyme organics           | 14.9                     | 39                | 8          | 0.3       | 0.04           | 37.2                        | 24.2 | 1.38                    | 0.74 | 0.8       | 0.69      |
| 5     | Corey organics           | 11.9                     | 28                | 5          | 0.2       | 0.03           | 25.2                        | 18.2 | 1.04                    | 0.65 | 0.5       | 0.51      |
| 6     | Vidyadhari neam extracts | 31.4                     | 91                | 18         | 0.3       | 0.11           | 61.4                        | 35.4 | 2.11                    | 0.91 | 1.4       | 1.81      |

Table 1. Effect of different polluted soils on AM colonization nodulation and growth of A. melanoxylon.

Table 2. Influence of different polluted soils on AM colonization nodulation and growth of L. leucocephala.

| S/No. | Pollutant                | Mycorrhizal colonization | No. of spores/ | Nodulation |           |                | Height of the<br>plant (cm) |      | Plant dry weight<br>(g) |      | N<br>content | P<br>content |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|
|       |                          | (%)                      | 100 g soil     | No.        | Size (cm) | Dry weight (g) | Shoot                       | Root | Shoot                   | Root | (%)          | mg/g         |
| 1     | P.U. Campus              | 57.1                     | 139            | 31         | 0.3       | 0.18           | 44.1                        | 27.8 | 3.11                    | 1.02 | 1.6          | 2.27         |
| 2     | Adarsha paper Industries | 36.5                     | 101            | 23         | 0.3       | 0.16           | 40.8                        | 25.9 | 2.38                    | 0.96 | 1.2          | 1.59         |
| 3     | K.K. detergents          | 23.8                     | 92             | 14         | 0.2       | 0.12           | 36.8                        | 25.1 | 2.30                    | 0.74 | 1.1          | 1.43         |
| 4     | Rhyme organics           | 17.7                     | 67             | 12         | 0.3       | 0.10           | 31.4                        | 22.6 | 1.95                    | 0.71 | 1.0          | 1.14         |
| 5     | Corey organics           | 14.3                     | 49             | 9          | 0.3       | 0.08           | 28.9                        | 18.9 | 1.71                    | 0.62 | 0.7          | 0.87         |
| 6     | Vidyadhari neam extracts | 41.3                     | 105            | 18         | 0.3       | 0.15           | 41.6                        | 26.4 | 2.96                    | 0.98 | 1.4          | 1.97         |

presented in Table 1. Results presented in table reveal that Corey organics effluents exerted maximum negative effect on mycorrhizal colonization. It was followed by Rhyme organics factory effluents. Least negative influence was observed with Vidyadhari neem extracts effluents. The present effluents exhibited a similar type of influence on the resting spore population of mycorrhizal fungi. Corey organics and Rhyme organics factory effluents have shown drastic inhibitory effect on the nodulation of this plant. Nodulation was not affected by the effluents of Adarsha paper Industries. Nitrogen content of the

plants decreased when grown under the influence of different effluents. A positive correlation was observed between the extent of nodulation and nitrogen content of the plants. Phosphorus content of the plants grown in different polluted soils decreased when compared to the normal control soil. Maximum phosphorus inhibition was observed in the Corey organics and Rhyme organics factory effluent polluted soils.

Influence of different polluted soils on AM colonization, nodulation and growth of *L. leucocephala*. Results pertaining to this aspect are presented in Table 2. Based on these results

the following observations could be made. In all the effluent affected soils mycorrhizal colonization was inhibited. However, the extent of inhibition differed according to the effluent. Corey organics effluents followed by Rhyme organics factory and K.K. Detergent factory exerted more inhibitory effect. Vidyadhari neem extracts effluent exerted least effect. In accordance with the mycorrhizal colonization, spore population was also reduced in different effluent affected soils.

Similar to mycorrhizal colonization nodulation was also adversely affected by the effluents, and the same tendency was observed. Nitrogen and phosphorus contents of the plants grown in soils polluted by different effluents decreased over the control plants. Uptake of these two nutrients to a large extent can be correlated with mycorrhizal colonization and nodulation. Seedling growth was also drastically effected by different effluents. Maximum inhibitory effect was recorded in Corey organics effluent polluted soils. Adarsha paper and Vidyadhari neem extracts effluents have shown least inhibitory effect on the seedling growth.

A positive correlation was observed between AM colonization, nodulation and uptake of phosphorus and nitrogen respectively. This variation may be attributed to the physico-chemical characteristics of the effluents. The drastic negative effect of Corey organics effluents may be due to high pH, presence of amino phenols and methyl chloro acetate. Similarly, inhibitory effect of Rhyme organics factory effluents may be due to pesticide formulation. These factors may influence the AM fungi directly and hamper the AM mediated beneficial effects or indirectly through the host plant growth. Results of these investigations are in contrary to the observations of Raman et al. (1994) . From these investigations, two conclusions can be made. Firstly, Adarsha Paper Industries and Vidyadhari neem extract effluents could be used safely to raise the nurseries. Secondly, it is desirable that AM and Rhizobium strains adapted to these polluted soils should be isolated propagated and used for artificial inoculations in order to reclaim the pollution affected soils.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Prof. Gopal Reddy, Vicechancellor and Prof. K. Venkata Chalam, Registrar, Palamuru University for the encouragement and support.

#### REFERENCES

- AOAC (1950). Association of official agricultural chemists (AOAC) Official methods of Analysis. 7th edn., 910 p, Washington D.C.
- APHA AWWA, WPCF (1975). Standard methods for the examination of Water and Waste water, 7th edn., APHA, Washington D.C.

- Bowen G (1987). The biology and physiology of infection and its development in ecophysiology of VA mycorrhizal plants. Safir, G. R. (ed.) Boca Raton, Fla: CRC Press, pp. 27-57.
- Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH (1963). Spores of mycorrhizal *Endogone* species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 46: 235-244.
- Giovannetti M, Mosse B (1980). An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytologist, 84: 489-500.
- Hall IR, Fish BJ (1979). A key to the endogonanceae. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 73: 261-270.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India. New Delhi.
- Kehri HK, Chandra S (1990). Mycorrhizal association in crops under sewage farming. J. Indian Bot. Soc., 69: 267-270.
- Laxminarayan B, Kishore N, Ram Reddy S (2006). Influence of some industrial effluents on AM colonization and nodulation and growth of Green gram (CV.WGG2). Poll. Res., 25(2): 347-352.
- Pacioni G (1992). Wet-sieving and Decanting Techniques for the extraction of spores of vesicular arbuscular fungi. Meth. Microbiol., 24: 317-322.
- Phillips JM, Hayman DS (1970). Improved procedure for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 55: 158-160.
- Pindi PK, Kishore N, Ram Reddy S Reddy SM (2005). Distribution and Occurrence of AM fungi in Polluted Soils. J. Mycol. Plant Pathol., 35(1): 137-140.
- Rajannan G, Oblisami G (1979). Effect of paper factory effluents on the soil and crop plants. Indian J. Environ. Health, 16: 20.
- Raman N, Ravi I, Gnanaguru M (1994). Enhancement of indole-3-acetic acid in nodules of Prosopis juliflora inoculated with *Glomus mosseae* and *Rhizobium*. Indian J. Microbiol., 34: 33-35.
- Raman N, Sambandan K, Sahadevan C, Selvaraj T (1995). Distribution of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in tannery effluent polluted soils of Tamilnadu, India. Proc. of the 3rd Nat. Con. on Mycorrhizae, pp. 168-173.
- Schenck NC, Perez Y (1987). Manual for the identification of VA mycorrhizal fungi. INVAM. Florida University, Gainesville, U.S.A., p. 245.
- Srinivasan D, Mathukumar T, Udaiyan K (1996). Influence of effluent irrigation on VA mycorrhizae. Indian J. Microbiol., 36: 37-40.
- Young JCGN, Dermott Mc, Jenkins DJ (1981). Water Pollution control, 53: 1253-1259.