Full Length Research Paper

Location of anti-infection resistance genes of Escherichia coli from residential livestocks in south east Nigeria with DNA microarray

Nnaji W. Ngozi

Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Port-Harcourt, Rivers, Nigeria. Email:prof.nnaji1@yahoo.com

Accepted 18 September, 2014

DNA microarray was developed for detection of up to 90 antibiotic resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* by hybridization. Each antibiotic resistance gene was represented by two specific oligonucleotides chosen from consensus sequences of gene families. A total of 203 oligonucleotides (50-100 base) were spotted onto the microarray. The sequence identity of each gene was compared with GenBank sequences, biotin was used as the positive control and 16s rRNA as orientation. Of the 40 *E. coli* isolates analyzed in this study, 37 were identified as having, at least, one antibiotic resistance gene. Among the different antibiotic resistance genes detected, *bla-CMY-2* and *strA* were the most prevalent occurring in 28 (70%) of the isolates, respectively. Other common genes included were *TEM1* 11(27.5%), *Sul2* 14 (35%) and *TetA* 21(52.5%). The microarray genotyping corresponded with the phenotype of the strains. The disposable microarray presents the advantage of rapidly screening bacteria for the pre-sence of known antibiotic resistance genes. This technology has a large potential for applications in basic research, food safety, and surveillance programs for antimicrobial resistance.

Key words: DNA microarray, antibiotic resistance, Escherichia coli.

INTRODUCTION

During the past decades, the worldwide use of antibiotics in animal husbandry for purposes of prophylaxis, chemotherapy and growth promotion has created enormous pressure for the selection of antibiotic resistance among bacteria (Vincent et al., 2005). Today, there is increasing concern about the severity of antibiotics resistance in *Escherichia coli*, which is an important reservoir of antibiotic resistance genes; many other enteric pathogens and commensal bacteria may also play a role as reservoirs for antibiotics genes (Greg et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2007). It is therefore important to follow the evolution of antibiotic resistance in the bacterial population in order to prevent and repress the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains of those bacteria that can still be treated with antibiotics.

The disc diffusion assay technique is commonly used to determine the resistance of pathogenic or commensal bacteria because of its simplicity and because it provides information that is useful in prescribing appropriate antibiotics. Phenotypic testing such as disc diffusion assay technique, however, will not detect "silent" antibiotics resistance genes that might be expressed *in vivo* or disseminated to other bacteria (Frye et al., 2006; Nsofor and Iroegbu 2012, 2013). Molecular testing methods offer similar information more quickly and provides for more discriminatory information. Because of the large numbers of recognized antibiotics resistance genes, parallel detection systems such as microarray are well suited to this task (Call et al., 2003).

Presently, PCR and hybridization analysis are common methods used to detect antibiotic resistance genes in bacteria. However, the detection of specific resistance genes remains a tremendous amount of work if every possible resistance gene has to be assessed, and therefore microarray technology is most suitable for resistance gene analysis (Holzman, 2003). A few microarrays have been developed for identifying antibiotics resistance genes (Call et al., 2003; Frye et al., 2006; Moneeke et al., 2003). This study describes a microarray technique for detecting the genes that confer resistance to aminoglycosides, beta-lactam, chloramphenicol, sulfonamide and tetracycline.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen collection, cultivation and identification of Escherichia coli

Fresh fecal droppings were randomly collected from goats, cattle, pigs and chicken; and care was taken to avoid collecting more than one fecal sample per individual animal. One gram of each animal's feces was homogenized in 9 ml of sterile saline solution, then the volume of the homogenate was made up to 10 ml to get a 10% suspension. The contents were mixed thoroughly and 10-fold serially diluted and 0.2 ml inoculums from each dilution plated out on Eosin Methylene Blue agar (EMB) (Oxoid, England). No antibiotic was included in the EMB agar plates used for the cultivation. The inoculated plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. A single colony on EMB with green metallic sheen taken to be E. coli was selected from an individual fecal sample for further characterization. E. coli was fully identified using conventional microbiological tests-Indole positive, methyl red positive and citrate negative (Cheesbrough, 2000). The cattle and goat specimens came from the herd at Obinze Owerri, Imo State while the Madonna University Poultry Okija, Anambra State was the source of poultry specimens. The specimens from swine came from a farm located at the Ogborhil area of Aba, Abia state.

Antibiotics susceptibility testing

The antibiotics susceptibility pattern of the isolates was determined using the disk diffusion method (Cheesbrough, 2000), on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, England). Inhibition zone diameter values were interpreted using standard recommendations of the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI, 2006). Susceptibility was tested against ampicillin (10 μ g), amoxycillin/clavulanic acid (20/10 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g), gentamicin (10 μ g), cefpodoxime (10 μ g), cefoxitin (30 μ g), cefpirome (30 μ g), streptomycin (10 μ g), chloramphenicol (30 μ g), nalidixic acid (30 μ g), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (10 μ g), cephalothin (30 μ g), nitrofurantoin, ceftriaxone (30 μ g), and cefotaxine (30 μ g) (Oxoid, England). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was included as a reference strain.

Preparation of microarray slides

Multiple DNA microarrays were printed on glass slides so that independent arrays were contained within ten individual wells defined by Teflon masking slides (Erie Scientific, Portsmouth, N.H. USA); the hydrophobic nature of the masking permitted independent samples to be hybridized within each well. Slides were derivatized with epoxysilane (3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane; (Sigma-Aldrich, Milwaukee, WS, USA) as described by Call et al. (2001). Prior to printing, the slides were soaked in 2.5% Contrad 70 detergent (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.) for 2 min, rinsed three times with distilled water, and dried using compressed air. Slides were then soaked for 1 h in 3 N HCI, rinsed three times with deionized water, and dried with compressed air.

Construction of DNA microarray

Oligonucleotide probes of known antibiotics resistance genes were reconstituted in TE buffer, diluted to 60 µm in print buffer (0.1 M Na₂HPO₄, 0.2 M NaCl, 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate) with a pH of 11 and transferred to 384-microwell plates for printing. Arbitrary biotinylated oligonucleotides (70-mer; 5 µM) were included with every array. These biotin pseudoprobes served as positive controls for the detection chemistry and to orient the array for image processing. All probes were deposited as four replicates at a fixed location within each masked well using a Robotic Microgrid II arrayer (Bio-Robotics, Woburn, Mass.USA) with humidity held at 45%. Printing parameters included washing the pins in a recirculating bath (four pins washed twice for 4 s each time), followed by 0.5 s of flushing and 6 s of drying. This washing procedure was repeated twice between probes to minimize possible probe carryover. Printed slides were baked under vacuum (22 Hg/mm) for 1 h (130°C) and stored away from light at room temperature until used.

Genomic DNA extraction

The bacterial total DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy silica-gel adsorption method (Qiagen, Valencia, CA USA).

A 1.0-ml volume of overnight broth culture of the test isolate was pelleted in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge at 10000 rpm for 10 min and resuspended in180 μ l of buffer ATL from the Qiagen DNeasy kit. Then 20 μ l of Qiagen proteinase K solution was added, mixed by vortexing and the cell was incubated for 3 h in a 55°C shaker water bath for lysis. After the lysis, 20 μ l of RNase A (100mg/mL)

(Qiagen, Valencia, CA USA) was added to each tube (to degrade RNA) and the tubes were incubated at room temperature for two minutes. This was followed by the addition 200 μ I of buffer AL, vortexing, and incubation at 70°C for 10 minutes. Then, the genomic

DNA (gDNA) was concentrated by the addition of 200 μ l of 100% ethanol. To separate the DNA from other cellular contaminants, the treated DNA lysate was pipetted into a DNeasy column in a collection tube, and centrifuged for 1 min at 10,000 xg. The remaining contaminants were washed out by using 500 μ l each of buffer AW1 and AW2 in a new collection tube at each time. The purified gDNA was eluted in a fresh1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tube by using 200 μ l AE buffer and centrifugation for 1 min at 10,000 xg. Finally, the nanodrop spectrophotometer was used to quantify the DNA. DNA was quantified to properly scale the subsequent nick translation and any sample that failed to reach the value of A260/A280 ratio of 1.7 to 2 or below 25 ng/ μ l was re-extracted. All the buffers, enzymes and columns used in this extraction came from the Qiagen DNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA USA; Cat. No. 69504).

Nick translation: Biotinylation and fragmentation of DNA

This reaction is designed to generate small (50-100 base) biotinlabeled DNA probes by nick translation which are important for successful *in situ* hybridization.

Approximately 1.0 µg (up to 40ul) of the quantified gDNA, 5 µl of 10X dNTP mix [(0.2 mM each of dCTP, dGTP, dTTP; 0.1mM of dATP;

0.1mM of biotin-14-dATP; 500mM of Tris-HCl, pH 7.8; 100mM of βmercaptoethanol and 100 µg/ml of nuclease-free BSA) (Invitrogen, USA)] and 5 µl of 10X enzyme mix [0.5U/µl of DNA polymerase 1, 0.007 U/µl of DNase 1, 50 mM of Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM of magnesium chloride, 0.1 mM of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5% (v/v) of glycerol and 100 µg/ml of nuclease-free BSA) (Invitrogen, USA)] were combined in 0.2 ml PCR tubes on ice. The total volume was brought to 50 µl with PCR water. The mixture was incubated at 16°C in a thermal cycler for 2 h and then held at 4°C for nick translation of DNA. To precipitate the nick translated DNA, the samples were transferred to 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tubes followed by the addition of 5 µl of 3 M sodium acetate, (pH 5.2), 110 µl of 100% ethanol and incubation at -80°C for 30 min. After the incubation, the DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 14000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. Then, the pellets were resuspended with 400 µl of 70% ethanol. For more purification, the above steps were repeated once and the pellets were dried with a vacuum centrifuge for 10 min. Finally, the purified nick-translated DNA was resuspended with 100 µl 1x hybridization buffer.

Microarray slide pre-hybridization preparation

Microarray slides were prepared by immersing them in 50 ml of 1% BSA blocking solution in a Coplin staining jar followed by incubation at room temperature for 10 min, with shaking at 80 rpm to eliminate bubbles on the slide surface. The slides were rinsed 20 times in double de-ionized after which their back and edges were wiped with a Kimwipe and spin dried with slide centrifuge for 15 s.

Sample application/hybridization

The nick translated gDNA was boiled for 3 min, chilled on ice and briefly vortexed for 15 s. Then, the microarray slides were placed on a humidified chamber (200 μ l tip box and lid with de-ionized water covering the bottom of the box) and 45 μ l of the gDNA sample was placed in each well (2 wells per nick translated gDNA sample) on the microarray slide. The droplets were carefully spread to fully cover the well without touching the slide surface with the pipette. Carefully, the slide was sealed (face-up and frosted end toward the cap) in a hybridization chamber (50 ml conical tube with filter paper moistened with 1x hybridization chamber without allowing the damp filter paper to touch the wells. The hybridization chamber was placed in a rack and lead weight on top of the rack, then the rack was submerged in the 55°C water bath. Finally, the sample DNA was allowed to hybridize with the probes on the array for 16 h.

Post-hybridization stringency washes

After hybridization, the slides were removed from the hybridization chamber with forceps and excess hybridization solution was aspirated off the slides. Then, the slides were completely immersed (frosted end up) in a 55°C pre-warmed low stringency array wash solution (1X SSC, 0.2% SDS) contained in a Coplin jar. The above procedure was repeated in medium stringency (0.1XSSC, 0.2% SDS) and high stringency (0.1XSSC) array wash solutions, respectively. At each time, the slides were washed for 4 min at room temperature on an Orbital shaker at 80 rpm. After the stringency washes, the slides were transferred to a horizontal staining jar that contains enough TNT buffer to cover the slide and were shaken for 1 min at 80 rpm at room temperature to remove the stringency wash buffers. This TNT buffer washing was repeated three times.

Microarray development

For the following applications, 45 μ I of each solution was added directly to each well. The slides were gently tapped to distribute the reagent over the full well surface without allowing the reagents to cross over to other wells. The slides were spin-dried for 5 s using a slide centrifuge followed by incubation with 1:100 Streptvadin-Horseredish peroxidase (SA-HRP) in TNB for 30 min. After the incubation, the slides were washed 3 times for 1 min each in horizontal staining jars at 80 rpm shaking. The above procedure was repeated with 10% FES, 2XSSC; 1:50 BioT, 1xAmp Dil; and 1:500 SA-Alexa 555, 1XSSC, 5X Den. This last incubation was done for one hour in the dark. All incubation was done at room temperature in a humidified chamber (made from a covered tip box with ~10 ml PCR water in the bottom). At the end of these development reactions, the slides were spin-dried for 15 s using the slide centrifuge and were stored in the dark prior to scanning.

Scanning/imaging of slides

After hybridization and development, slides were scanned or imaged by standard DNA microarray slide scanners. The florescence marker used in this experiment (Alexa555) has an optimal excitation wavelength of 555 nm and emission wavelength of 565 nm. The scanner/imager we used (Applied Precision arrayWoRx scanner) has a white light source and an emission filter for Cy3 that functions well for Alex555. We used an excitation wavelength of 540 nm (25 nm bandwidth) and an emission wavelength of 595 nm (50 nm bandwidth).

There were five pairs of Teflon-masked wells on each slide, with each well containing a full array and our normal protocol calls for two wells to be hybridized to the same sample. Within each well there were two spots per probe so in effect there are four individual probe-target hybridizations (2 wells total). Each full array has dimensions of 22 horizontal and 20 vertical spots. The distance between spots is approximately 250 µm. Table 1 shows the oligonucluotide probes sequences used in constructing the DNA microarray.

RESULTS

Antimicrobial resistance genes for microarray construction

Ninety antimicrobial resistance genes oligonucluotide probes were employed in the microarray, they include 21 aminoglycoside resistance genes, aac(3)-Id, aac(3)-III, aac(3)-Iva, aac(6')-Ib, aac(6')-IIa, aacC2, aacCA5, aadA1, aadA2, aadA21, aadA5, aadA7, aadB, aadE, aph(3)-la, aph(3)-IIa, aphA7, aphD, AphE, strA and strB; 21 betalactam resistance genes, blaACC-01, bla-CMY-2, blaCTX-M-1, blaCTX-M-12, blaCTX-M-15, blaCTX-M-2, blaCTX-MblaDHA-1, blaFOX-2, blaIMP-2, blaKPC-3, blaMIR, 8. blaOXA-1, blaOXA-2, blaOXA-7, blaOXY-K1, blaPSE-1, blaPSE-4, blaROB-1, blaSHV-37, and TEM1; 10 chloramphenicol resistance genes, cat4, catB2, catB3, catB8, catl, catll, catP, cmIA, cmIB, and floR; 2 integrase genes, intl1, and intl2, 4 ginolone resistance genes, gac delta E, anrA1, anrB and anrS: 11 trimethoprim resistance genes. dfrA1, dfrA14, dfrA16, dfrA21, dhfrII, dhfrV, dhfrVI, dhfrVII, dhfrXII, dhfrXIII, and dhfrXV; 3 sulfonamide resistance genes, Sul1, Sul2, and sul3; and 18 tetracycline resistance

Table 1. The oligonucluotide probes used in constructing the DNA microarray (Call et al., 2001, 2003).

Gene	Sequence	Description
aac(3)-la	CGTAGCCACCTACTCCCAACATCAGCCGGACTCCGATTACCTCGGGAACTTGCTCCGTAG	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(3)-lb	AAACAAAGTTAGGTGGCTCAATGAGCATCATTGCAACCGTCAAGATCGGCCCTGACGAAA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(3)-ld	TCAAGGCTATAGGCGCAGCGCGTGGAGCTTATGTGATTTACGTCCAAGCTGATAAAGGCG	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(3)-III	CGACTGGCACTGTGATGGGATACGCGTCGTGGGACCGATCACCCTACGAGGAGACTCTGA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(3)-IVa	ACCATTCTTCAGGATGGCAAGTTGGTACGCGTCGATTATCTCGAGAATGACCACTGCTGT	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(3)-Vb	ACCCTTCGATCTGGCCACATCCGGTACCTATCCCGGCTTCGGCCTGCTCAACCGGTTTCT	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(6')-I30	TGGCCTGATATGAAAAGTGCCACCAAAGAAGTTGAAGAATGTATTGAGAAGCCAAACATA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(6')-lb	CAATACACAGCATCGTGACCAACAGCAACGATTCCGTCACACTGCGCCTCATGACTGAGC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(6')-lla	TGCTCCATGATTGGCTCAACCGGCCGCACATCGTTGAGTGGTGGGGGTGGTGACGAAGAGC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aac(6')-la	TGGCCAGATATGACGAGTGCAACAAAAGAAGTAAAAGAATGTATTGAGAGTCCAAACCTT	Aminoglycoside resistance
aacC1	CCTGACCAAGTCAAATCCATGCGGGCTGCTCTTGATCTTTTCGGTCGTGAGTTCGGAGAC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aacC2	CGACTGGCACTGTGATGGGATACGCGTCGTGGGACCGATCACCCTACGAGGAGACTCTGA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aacCA5	TTGCGTTGGCTGCGGTTGACGAGCAAAAAGTCATTGGCGCTATCGCCGCGTATGAGTTGC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadA1	GGCCTGAAGCCACAGTGATATTGATTTGCTGGTTACGGTGACCGTAAGGCTTGATGAA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadA2	GTTCCTGAACAGGATCTATTCGAGGCGCTGAGGGAAACCTTGAAGCTATGGAACTCGCAG	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadA21	GAGCGCCATCTGGAATCAACGTTGCTGGCCGTGCATTTGTACGGCTCCGCAGTGGATGGC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadA5	CGGTGATCGAGCGCCATCTGGCTGCGACACTGGACACAATCCACCTGTTCGGATCTGCGA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadA7	GGATCTCTTCAGCTCAGTCCCAGAAAGCGATCTATTCAAGGCACTGGCCGATACTCTGAA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadB	TACTTTTACTATGCCGATGAAGTACCACCAGTGGACTGGCCTACAAAGCACATAGAGTCC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aadE	GAAGCATTATTTCTATGCCATCAATTGTTCAGGGCGGTATCCGGTGAGGTGGCGGAAAGG	Aminoglycoside resistance
aafA	CGTTGACAGGAGCGCAAATATCGACCTGAGTTTTACTATTAGACAACCGCAACGCTGCGC	E. coli pathotype
aap	GGGACGGGTCCACATTATCTGCGTTCCAACCGCTACCACCCGCAAAGGCATTCAGGCTGA	E. coli pathotype
aatA	ACAGGGAGGTGCATTGGGTAATATGAGTCTCAGAAAAATGGATTATAGTGCTAGTCTGGG	E. coli pathotype
abe (C2- C3)	TGTCCTATTACCAACAAGACTGCTTGAGTTAATGCCAGCGCTTAAAACGAAATTCTTTAT	Serogrouping
aggA	CGACGACAGAGCAATGTGCTAAAAGCGGTGCAAGGGTCTGGTTATGGGGAACAGGTGCCG	E coli pathotype
aidal	GGCCTACAGTATCATATGGAGCCACTCCAGACAGGCCTGGATTGTGGCCTCAGAGTTAGC	Virulence
aph(3)-la	ATCGGGCTTCCCATACAATCGATAGATTGTCGCACCTGATTGCCCGACATTATCGCGAGC	Aminoglycoside resistance

aph(3)-lla	TAGCCGAATAGCCTCTCCACCCAAGCGGCCGGAGAACCTGCGTGCAATCCATCTTGT TCA	Aminoglycoside resistance
aph4	GGCGTGGATATGTCCTGCGGGTAAATAGCTGCGCCGATGGTTTCTACAAAGATCGTT ATG	Aminoglycoside resistance
aphA-3	TCTTTCACTCCATCGACATATCGGATTGTCCCTATACGAATAGCTTAGACAGCCGCTTA G	Aminoglycoside resistance
aphA7	CCTGGAATGCTGTTTTCCCGGGGATCGCAGTGGTGAGTAACCATGCATCATCAGGAG TAC	Aminoglycoside resistance
aphD	CTGCAGAACACCCTGTGGGACATCGAGGACGGGCTGACGGCGATCGCCCCCCCC	Aminoglycoside resistance
AphE	GTCGTCTGCCACGGTGATCTCTGCCTGCCCAACATCGTCCTCCATCCGGAGACCCTG GAG	Aminoglycoside resistance
aphIII	CTCCTGCTAAGGTATATAAGCTGGTGGGAGAAAATGAAAACCTATATTTAAAAATGAC GG	Aminoglycoside resistance
bfpA	GGTGCTTGCGCTTGCTGCCACCGTTACCGCAGGTGTGATGTTTTACTACCAGTCTGC GTC	E coli pathotype
bla carb-2	GCGTTACGCCGTGGGTCGATGTTTGATGTTATGGAGCAGCAACGATGTTACGCAGCA GGG	Beta-lactam resistance
blaACC-01	CAGCCGCTGATGCAGAAGAATAATATTCCCGGTATGTCGGTCG	Beta-lactam resistance
bla-CMY-2	TTATGCTGCGCTCTGCTGCTGACAGCCTCTTTCTCCACATTTGCTGCCGCAAAAACAG AA	Beta-lactam resistance
blaCTX-M- 1	GCGGCACACTTCCTAACAACAGCGTGACGGTTGCCGTCGCCATCAGCGTGAACTGAC GCA	Beta-lactam resistance
blaCTX-M- 12	GGGTGTGGCATTGATTAACACAGCGGATAATTCGCAAATACTTTATCGTGCTGATGAG CG	Beta-lactam resistance
blaCTX-M- 14	CGATCGGCGATGAGACGTTTCGTCTGGATCGCACTGAACCTACGCTGAATACCGCCA TTC	Beta-lactamase CTX-M- 14
blaCTX-M- 2	GCAACGCTGCATGCGCAGGCGAACAGCGTGCAACAGCAGCTGGAAGCCCTGGAGAA AAGT	Beta-lactam resistance
blaCTX-M- 8	TTTCGCTGTTGCTGGGGAGTGCGCCGCTGTATGCGCAGGCGAACGACGTTCAGCAAA AGC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaDHA-1	CGGATTCTATGACAGCCATCCGCATATTGATCTGCATATCTCCACCCATAACAATCATG T	Beta-lactam resistance
blaFOX-2	CAAGATGCAAACTTACTATCGGAGCTGGTCACCGGTTTATCCGGCGGGGACCCATCG CCA	Beta-lactam resistance
blaIMP-2	TTTGTGGAGCGCGGCTATAAAATCAAAGGCACTATTTCCTCACATTTCCATAGCGACA GC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaKPC-3	GTTACGGCAAAAATGCGCTGGTTCCGTGGTCACCCATCTCGGAAAAATATCTGACAAC AG	Beta-lactam resistance
blaMIR	TCCGAAAAACAGCTGGCTGAGGTGGTGGAACGTACCGTTACGCCGCTGATGAACGCG CAG	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXA-1	ACCTTCAGTTCCTTCAAATAATGGAGATGCGACAGTAGAGATATCTGTTGATGCACTG GC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXA-2	CCACAATCAAGACCAAGATTTGCGATCAGCAATGCGGAATTCTACTGTTTGGGTGTAT GA	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXA-27	GAAAAGGTCATTTACCGCTTGGGAAAAAGACATGACACTAGGAGAAGCCATGAAGCTT TC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXA-7	GCAGGCTAATTTACTGCTACTTTTACAAAGCACGAAAACACCATTGACGGCTTCGGCA GA	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXA-9	GCTCGTCTTTTAAACTTCCATTGGCAATCATGGGGTTTGATAGTGGAATCTTGCAGTC GC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXY-2b	TAAAGAGGTGGTAAATAAAAGGCTGGAGATTAACGCAGCCGATTTGGTGGTCTGGAG CCC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaOXA-61	GGAAAAACTTGGGCGAGTAACGACTTTTCAAGGGCTATGGAGACTTTCTCTCCCGCTT CC	Beta-lactam resistance

blaOXY-K1	ACCAATGATATTGCGGTTATCTGGCCGGAAGATCACGCTCCGCTGATATTAGTCACCT AC	Beta-lactam resistance
blaPER-2	GAAATGGATGGTTGAAACCACCACAGGACCACAGCGGTTAAAAGGCTTGTTACCTGC TGG	Beta-lactam resistance
blaPSE-1	AGTGAGCATCAAGCCCCAATTATTGTGAGCATCTATCTAGCTCAAACACAGGCTTCAA TG	Beta-lactam resistance
blaPSE-4	CGTTCAGTATTGCCGGCGGGATGGAACATTGCGGATCGCTCAGGTGCTGGCGGATTT GGT	beta lactam resistance
blaROB-1	TTGCTGACATTAACGGCTTGTTCGCCCAATTCTGTTCATTCGGTAACGTCTAATCCGCA G	Beta-lactam resistance
blaSHV-37	GCAAATTAAACTAAGCGAAAGCCAGCTGTCGGGCCGCGTAGGCATGATAGAAATGGA TCT	Beta-lactam resistance
Cat	CGACATGAAGAGTTCAGGACCGCATTAGATGAAAACGGACAGGTAGGCGTTTTTTCA GAA	Phenicol resistance
cat4	CCTTTATTCACATTCTTGCCCGCCTGATGAATGCTCATCCGAAATTCCGTATGGCAATG A	Phenicol resistance
catB2	TCGGCAGCTTCTGCTCCATCGGATCAGGCGCAGCTTTTATTATGGCTGGGAATCAAG GCC	Phenicol resistance
catB3	GGGCGGTACAGCTATTACTCTGGCTACTATCATGGGCACTCATTCGATGACTGCGCA CGG	Phenicol resistance
catB8	GCTTTTGTTCTATAGGAAGCGGGGCTTCCTTCATCATGGCTGGC	Phenicol resistance
catl	GGTCTTTAAAAAGGCCGTAATATCCAGCTGAACGGTCTGGTTATAGGTACATTGAGCA AC	Phenicol resistance
catll	TAATATCGAGTTTGGTGGTCAGGCTGAATCCGCATTTAATCTGCTGACGATAAAGGGC AA	Phenicol resistance
catll	TTGTTAAGCTAAAACCACATGGTAAACGATGCCGATAAAACTCAAAATGCTCACGGCG AA	Phenicol resistance
catP	TGGCAATTCAAGTTCATCACGCAGTATGTGACGGATTTCACATTTGCCGTTTTGTAAAC G	Phenicol resistance
cbIA	AAACATATCAATGACTATATCCACCGGTTGAGTATCGACTCCTTCAACCTCTCGGAAAC A	Beta-lactam resistance
Cif	TGAAAGACATTACCCTTCCCCCCCGACGTCCGCGTCCTGTCTGACAGGGGCCATAT CTG	Virulence
cmIA	GGCATCACTCGGCATGGACATGTACTTGCCAGCAGTGCCGTTTATGCCAAACGCGCT TGG	Phenicol resistance
cmlB	TCATCTACGGCTTGCTTGGCTCTATGCTTGCTATGGTTCCGGCGATAGGCCCATTGCT GG	Phenicol resistance
Dfr1	AGCCGGAAGGTGATGTTTACTTTCCTGAAATCCCCAGCAATTTTAGGCCAGTTTTTAC CC	Trimethoprim resistance
dfrA1	GCGGTCGTAACACGTTCAAGTTTTACATCTGACAATGAGAACGTAGTGATCTTTCCAT CA	Trimethoprim resistance
dfrA14	ACCTACAATCAGTGGCTTCTGGTGGGTCGCAAGACGTTTGAATCTATGGGCGCACTC CCC	Trimethoprim resistance
dfrA16	ATGGCTGCCAAGTCGAAGAACGGTATTATCGGTAATGGACCAGATATTCCATGGAGC GCC	Trimethoprim resistance
dfrA19	GCAGTTAGAAAAGGATGGCGCCGAGGAGCGAATCAAGGAGAAAGGAATTCTCCCCGA ACG	Trimethoprim resistance
dfrA21	GGTCGTTATGGGCCGCAAGACATTTGAGTCCATAGGCAAGCCCTTACCAAACCGCCA CAC	Trimethoprim resistance
dfrA23	TGGCTTGTGCATTACCGTCATGTGGACTTTTGTGGCAGATGCGAGGGCTTGCACGTA CAG	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrl	GGTTAAAGCATCTTTAATTGATGGAAAGATCAATACGTTCTCATTGTCAGATGTAAAAC	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrll	GCACAAAACTCACTCCTGAAGGCTATGCGGTCGAGTCCGAATCCCACCCA	Trimethoprim resistance

dhfrIII	ACTTGATTGGCAAAGATAATCTTATTCCATGGCATCTACCTGCCGATCTGCGTCATTTCA	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrIX	AAACAAAACTTATTTTCCAAATTTGGATTAACCCTAACCCTATTAGTGAGGAACCCACAT	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrV	TTCCGAATATTCCCAATACCTTCGAAGTTGTTTTTGAGCAACACTTTAGCTCAAACATTA	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrVI	TCTTTGTTTCTGGTGGTGGTGAAATATATAAAGCTTTAATCGATCAAGCAGATGTTATCC	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrVII	GAACACCCATAGAGTCAAATGTTTTCCTTCCAACAAGGAGCCACTGATTATATGTGAGCG	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrVII	AATGGCATGGAAGAACATGACCTTCACACTTACTTCACTTACCGTAAAAAGGAGCTTACA	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrX	ATGTGTATGTACCGGTAGAACTAATGAATAAACTCTATAGTGATTTCAAATATCCAGAAA	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrXII	ATTGGCAATGGTCCTAATATCCCCTGGAAAATTCCGGGTGAGCAGAAGATTTTTCGCAGA	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrXIII	AGTGCTTAACGCAGCAGAATTCGAGGTTGTCTCATCCGAAACCATTCAAGGCACAATCAC	Trimethoprim resistance
dhfrXV	CGATAAAGTTGATACTTTACATATTTCAACAATCGACATTGAGCCAGAAGGTGATGTCTA	Trimethoprim resistance
DT104	CTAATGCGTTTGGTCTCACAGCCGATGCGGTGCTGGCGGAATATCGTCACTGGCGTAACG	DT104 marker
Eae	TTATGCGGCACAACAGGCGGCGAGTCTCGGTAGCCAGCTTCAGTCGCGATCTCTGAACGG	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
Eaf	CGTGCAGGTCGCCTGTTCGAAACGCTGGCTCAGGGACGGGTGGATGGTAGCTGGCTTAAT	E. coli pathotype
ehxA	TACCAGACCTGGGCCCCCTGGGGGATGGGCTGGATGTTGTCTCCGGAATTCTTTCT	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
Ent	TTAATCGCGCCGCCATGCTGTTCGATGATATTTTGCACCACAGCCAGC	Virulence
espC	TGGCAGCTTTGTCAACAGCAGCCTGACCCTCGAAAAAGGAGCAAAACTAACGGCTCAGGG	E coli pathotype
estA	AGCTAATGTTGGCAATTTTTATTTCTGTATTATCTTTCCCCTCTTTTAGTCAGTC	Virulence
f165(1)A	CTGGGCCACAAGTAACGGGGCAGGCTGAAGAATTAGCAACTAACGGCGGTACGGGCACAG	E. coli pathotype
fliC	ATGAAGTTTCCGTTGATAAGACGAACGGTGAGGTGACTCTTGCTGGCGGTGCGACTTCCC	Virulence
fliCH7	CCCGCGGTAAACCCAATAGTTTTGCTCAGTACACCGGAATTAAAGGTAATTGAAGATGTC	Virulence
floR	GCGTGGGATGGCGTTGCTTGTTGCGGAGCGGTCCTGTTGGGGATCGGCGAACTTTACGG	Phenicol resistance
fotA	CCTCTGCGCGCATACATTGGTACCTTAAATGGCCAGCCAG	E. coli pathotype
hlyA	TAGTGCTGCTGCAACGACATCTCTGGTTGGTGCACCGGTAAGCGCGCTGGTAGGGGCTGT	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
IncFII / Ori	TAGCGCTAACCGATGGTTTTGCAAAGCGCTAACCGTCAGTCTTTCAGGGTGCGTGGTTCC	Replicon typing
IncN / kikA	CTTCAATATCGTTAAAAAGAACAAGCACGGCTTTTTACCCAACCACGAAGGATGCTAG	Replicon typing
IncP / trfA2	ACGGATGTTCGACTATTTCAGCTCGCACCGGGAGCCGTACCCGCTCAAGCTGGAAACCTT	Replicon typing
IncW / trwAB	AGCGTATGAAGCCCGTGAAGGGCGAATTGAAGCGCCTTGGCATTGAGGTTTGGACACCGG	Replicon typing
intl1	CTACTTGCATTACAGTTTACGAACCGAACAGGCTTATGTCAACTGGGTTCGTGCCTTCAT	Integrase gene

intl2	ATGAATGCTTGCGTTTGCGGGTTAAAGATTTTGATTTGA	Integrase gene
invA	GTACCAGCCGTCTTATCTTGATTGAAGCCGATGCCGGTGAAATTATCGCCACGTTCGG GC	Virulence
invX	CAGACAGTGACTCAACTTCAAGAGCAGACACTTCCTTTTGGTATAAAGCTTATAGGTG TC	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
ipaB	GGGGGCAATCGCAGGCGCTCTTGTCTTGGTTGCAGCAGTCGTTCTCGTAGCCACTGT TGG	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
Iterons	CGCGAATCGTCCAGTCAAACGACCTCACTGAGGCGGCATATAGTCTCTCCCGGGATC AAA	Replicon typing
Iterons	AACGGGACGACTATGACAACGGTAGTGACTTGCTGGGCTCACTACCATTGTCACCCT GTG	Replicon typing
Iterons	CGGCGTTGTGGATACCTCGCGGAAAACTTGGCCCTCACTGACAGATGAGGGGCGGA CGTT	Replicon typing
leoA	TGTCCTGCGTATTGCTCTGTTGGGGGGCGTTCTCCGATGGCAAAACCAGCGTTATCGC CGC	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
Lt	TTTTATGTTTTATTACGGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTATGTGCACACGGAGCTCCTCAGTC T	Virulence
LTIIa	GTGTGCCGAATAATAAAGAATTTAAAGGAGGGGTGTGCATTTCAGCGACAAATGTGCT AT	Virulence
Mpha	ACCCACCGACGTCCATCGTCGACGGTGGCGATCACGATCCTATAGTCGAGCCCAAGC TCA	macrolide 2'- phosphotransferase
ori y	GCTGATTTATATTAATTTTATTGTTCAAACATGAGAGCTTAGTACGTGAAACATGAGAG C	Replicon typing
OtrB	GATCAACCTTGACGACACGTCCCTGCTGAACGGCATCGACGCCCGGCTGATGCAGCC GGT	Tetracycline resistance
pagC	TGGTTGGGCCAGCCTATCGATTGTCTGACAATTTTTCGTTATACGCGCTGGCGGGTGT CG	Virulence
papGI2	GCTCAGGTCCAGATGTTGCGAGCGGCGTATATTTCCAAGAGTACCTGGCCTGGATGG CAG	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
parA-parB	TGCTGGTAGACCGCCATCACGGATTCTTCGGCAACATCAAGCTGTTTGGGAGAGCAG AGC	Replicon typing
Pet	ATCTATGTCGCCGGTGGCCCGGGCACAGTACAACTCAATGCAGAGAACGCCCTGGGT GAG	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
Pir	AATTCGCCACCGAAACGAGCTAAATCACACCCTGGCTCAACTTCCTTTGCCCGCAAAG CG	Replicon typing
qac delta E	GCAGTCTGGTCGGGACTCGGCGTCGTCATAATTACAGCCATTGCCTGGTTGCTTCAT GGG	Disinfectant resistance
qnrA1 qnrB	CAGCAAGAGGATTTCTCACGCCAGGATTTGAGTGACAGCCGTTTTCGCCGCTGCCGC	Qinolone resistance
	AACTCCGAATTGGTCAGATCGCAATGTGTGAAGTTTGCTGCTCGCCAGTCGAAAGTCG AA	Qinolone resistance
qnrS	CGTGCTAACTTGCGTGATACGACATTCGTCAACTGCAAGTTCATTGAACAGGGTGATA TC	Qinolone resistance
repA FIB	ACACCGTACAACCTGTGGCGCTGATGCGTCTGGGCGTTTTTGTACCGACCCTTAAATC AC	Replicon typing
repA FIC	CATTTGGGACCAAAAGCGTGAGCACGAAGACCTGTCCAACGCCGTAGTGACGCGACA ATG	Replicon typing
repA FIIS	CTGATGGCGAAAGCCGAAGGGTTCACGTCCCGTTTTGATTTTTCCGTCCATGTGGCGT TC	Replicon typing
repA L/M	ACCTACAGCTTTCTGACATTGAGTCAGTAGAAGGTCTTTCGCCGGAGTTCATCTCCTG GC	Replicon typing
repA N	AGCCGTTCTGCGGTAATCTTTTACCCGAAAGAAGGGAGTTTTGACTGCGTCGCGCGC CCC	Replicon typing
repA T	AAGCCCTTCCACGTCTAGAAGTTGCACAAGCCCTGTATACCTTCCTT	Replicon typing

repA W	AACAAAGCCCCCGGCCATCGTATCAACGAGATCATCAAGACGAGCCTCGCGCTCGAA ATG	Replicon typing
repA Y	ACACTGTGCAGCCTGTAGCGTTGATGCGCTTGGGGGGTATTCGTGCCGAAGCCATCAA AGA	Replicon typing
repA2 FIC	GATGAGGAAGGTATTACCCAGGCGCAGATGCTTGAAAAACTGATTGAATCAGAGCTG AAA	Replicon typing
repAB L/M	ATGCGTACCCTATTGCAATACAGCCCGGCCAATATGTGCAGGGGCTGGTGAATCAAA AGA	Replicon typing
repC L/M	GTAGTTGAGCGGCAGGTGCATAAGAGTAACCTGGATAAGCAGAAGGATTACAGGAAT CGC	Replicon typing
rfbE	ATGTCTGTTAGTGACATAGAACAAAAAATCACTAATAAAACTAAAGCTATTATGTGTGT C	Virulence
rfbE (A_D)	CCTACCCAGCCTTGATCATAAGTAGCAAACTGTCTCCCACCATACATTGATGAATGCC TG	Serogrouping
RNAI RNAI	AACGGCAGAATGCGCCATAAGGCATTCAGGACGTATGGCAGAAACGACGGCAGTTTG CCG	Replicon typing
RNAI	CAGGAGAGATGGCATGTACGGGCAGTAAGTCAGAAGACTGAAGATGTTCCGGAAGCC ATA	Replicon typing
RNAI/repA	AGAATGCGCCATAAGGCATTCAGGATGTATGGCAGAAACGACGGCAGTTTGCCGGGG CCG	Replicon typing
Saa	TGGCTGGCCACGCCGTAAGGTGGCAAGGAACTGGTTCTGATGTGGATTTACAGGAGC CAG	Replicon typing
sefA	CTTGGTAGCGGTAAAACGGAGGCAGGGGGAAGAGCATCTGCTACAGGAGTTGATTCG ACC	E coli pathotype
sfaA	GGGAGCCAATATTAATGACCAAGCAAATACTGGAATTGACGGGCTTGCAGGTTGGCG AGT	Salmonella-specific
sfaD	GCCCTGACCTTGGGTGTTGCGACAAATGCGTCTGCTGTCACCACGGTTAATGGTGGT ACA	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
sfaHII	TCCCGCTGCACTGGCCGGAAACCACTGGCATGTCATGCTTCCGGGAGGAAACATGCG CTT	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
sipA	GACCTTCCGTCCTATCCCGGAGGGCCGGTAACAGTCCCTCTTACTGTACGTTGCGAC CAG	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
sipB	CTCAGCCCCCGTCATAATGCCAGGTATGCAGACCGAGATCAAAACGCAGGCCACGA ATC	Virulence
sipC	GTGGCAACGAAAGCGGGCGACCTTAAAGCCGGAACAAAGTCCGGCGAGAGCGCTAT TAAT	Virulence
sopA	AGCGCTAAAGATATTCTGAATAGTATTGGTATTAGCAGCAGTAAAGTCAGTGACCTGG GG	Salmonella-specific
spvC	CCCCTCAGGTATGGACCGACCAGAGCTGGCATCCCAATACGCATCTCCGTGATGCTA ACG	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
spvR	GCGGAAGATGCCGGTATCCCACTTTAAAGAGGCGCTGGATGTGCCTGACTATTCAGG GAT	Virulence
SSpp	CTGCCAGAAATTATTTTCATCGGGAATCGCTTGTCTGCCGGACATCAGTGGAGGGTG GGG	Virulence
Stb stll	CGTCAAAAAGTGAAGGAAATTACGCTGCATTTATTATGGATCAGAATACGCCCCGTTC GG	Salmonella-specific
strA	AGAATATCGCATTTCTTCTTGCATCTATGTTCGTTTTTCTATTGCTACAAATGCCTATG	Virulence <i>E_coli</i> pathotype
strB	ACGCGCCGTTGATGTGGTGTCCCGCAATGCCGTCAATCCCGACTTCTTACCGGACGA GGA	Aminoglycoside
stx1A	GGTGCCTTTCCGCAGCTTGGAACGCGGATGGAGAAGAGGAGCAACGCGATCTAGCT ATCG	Aminoglycoside resistance
stx1B	CTGGTGACAGTAGCTATACCACGTTACAGCGTGTTGCAGGGATCAGTCGTACGGGGA TGC	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
	CGCTTTCATTTTTTCAGCAAGTGCGCTGGCGACGCCTGATTGTGTAACTGGAAAGGT GG	E. coli pathotype

Stx2A Stx2B	CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTTATACCACTCTGCAACGTGTCGCAGCGCTGGAACGTTCCG GCAATGGCGGCGGATTGTGCTAAAGGTAAAATTGAGTTTTCCAAGTATAATGAGGATGAC	<i>E. coli</i> pathotype
Sul1	CCCGCACCGGAAACATCGCTGCACGTGCTGTCGAACCTTCAAAAGCTGAAGTCGGCGTTG	Sulfonamide
Sul2	GCGCTCAAGGCAGATGGCATTCCCGTCTCGCTCGACAGTTATCAACCCGCGACGCAAGCC	Sulfonamide resistance
sul3	GATTGATTTGGGAGCCGCTTCCAGTAATCCTGATACAACTGAAGTGGGCGTTGTGGAAGA	Sulfonamide resistance
TEM1	CCTTGATCGTTGGGAACCGGAGCTGAATGAAGCCATACCAAACGACGAGCGTGACACCAC	Beta-lactam resistance
tet(C)	GACTGGCGATGCTGTCGGAATGGACGATATCCCGCAAGAGGCCCGGCAGTACCGGCATAA	Tetracycline resistance
tet(Y)	GCGTTTATGCAGGTCTTTTGCGCGCCCGTTTTAGGGCGGTTATCTGACCGCTATGGACGG	Tetracycline resistance
Tet30	CGACCGGTTCGGTCGGCGCCCGGTCTTGTTGCTTTCTTTGGCCGGTACCCTGCTTGATTA	Tetracycline resistance
TetA	GCGGCTTCTATAACAACGTGGAACGGGTGGGCATGGATTGCAGGCGCTGCCCTCTACTTG	Tetracycline resistance
tetB	TGGATGCTGTATTTAGGCCGTTTGCTTTCAGGGATCACAGGAGCTACTGGGGCTGTCGCG	Tetracycline resistance
TetD	GGCTATCGGCGGACTGGCGGGGGGATATCTCACCGCATCTGCCGTTTGTCATTGCGGCAAT	Tetracycline resistance
TetE	GTTGAGGCTGCAACAGCTCCAGTCGCACCGGTAATACCAGCAATTAAGCGTCCCAAATAC	Tetracycline resistance
tetG	ACGGGTTCGCGTTCCTGCTTGCCTGCATTTTCCTCAAGGAGACTCATCACAGCCATGGCG	Tetracycline resistance
TetH	GGCGCATCATTGCGGGGGATCACAGGCGCAACAGGTGCCGTATGTGCATCAGCGATGAGTG	Tetracycline resistance
TetJ	CCCATGTTAGGGGGGATTACTCGGTGAGATCAGCGCCCATACGCCATTTATCTTTGCGGCT	Tetracycline resistance
TetK	TTGGTAGGTTAGTACAAGGAGTAGGATCTGCTGCATTCCCTTCACTGATTATGGTGGTTG	Tetracycline resistance
TetM	GGATATTAAAGAGAAACTTTCTGCCGAAATTGTAATCAAACAGAAGGTAGAACTGTATCC	Tetracycline resistance
TetO	ACGGAACGTTATTTCCCGTTTATCACGGAAGCGCTAAAAACAATCTGGGGACTCGGCAGC	Tetracycline resistance
TetQ	GTGCCGCCCAACCCTTATTGGGCCACAATAGGGCTGACTCTTGAACCCTTACCGTTAGGG	Tetracycline resistance
TetS	CAGAAATGTATACTTCAATAAATGGAGAATTACGCCAGATAGAT	Tetracycline resistance
TetT	GCTACAACGACAACGGATTCGATGGAACTTGAAAGAGATAGGGGGAATAACTATACGGGCG	Tetracycline resistance
TetU	GCAGCTAAGACGTGGCAAAGCAACGGATTGGCATGCGATGGTTCAGGAAAGCTTAGATAG	Tetracycline resistance
TetV	CGTCGCGAAGATCACCTCCATCGAGACCACCTTCGACAGCGGACCCACGATCGCGAATGA	Tetracycline resistance
TetW	AACGATGTATTAGGGGACCAAACCCGGCTCCCTCGTAAAAGGTGGCGCGAGGACCCCCTC	Tetracycline resistance
TetX	CGACCGAGAGGCAAGAATTTTTGGTGGAACCCTTGACCTACACAAAGGTTCAGGTCAGGA	Tetracycline resistance

genes, tet(C), tet(Y), Tet30, TetA, tetB, TetD, TetE, tetG, TetH, TetJ, TetK, TetM, TetQ, TetS, TetT, TetV, TetW and TetX. with GenBank sequences, therefore, all the 90 genes were used to construct the DNA microarray; biotin was used as the positive control and 16s rRNA as orientation. Few virulence and virulence related genes were also

The sequence identity of each gene was compared

Ganas	SORC	ESOF	SAM	PLE	S
Genes	Pig N=12	Goat N=10	Poultry N=8	Cattle N=10	Total N=40
aac(3)-ld	03(25)	00	05(62.5)	01(10.0)	08(20)
aac(3)-III	04(33.3)	00	03(37.5)	02(20)	09(22.5)
aac(3)-IVa	00	00	00	00	00
aac(6')-lb	00	00	01(12.5)	00	01(2.5)
aac(6')-Ila	00	00	00	01(10)	01(2.5)
aacC2	00	00	00	00	00
aacCA5	02(16.7)	04(40)	02(25)	02(20)	10(25)
aadA1	01(8.3)	02(20)	01(12.5)	05(50)	09(22.5)
aadA2	01(8.3)	02(20)	01(12.5)	05(50)	09(22.5)
aadA21	01(8.3)	02(20)	01(12.5)	04(40)	08(20)
aadA5	01(8.3)	00	02(25)	01(10)	04(10)
aadA7	00	00	00	00	00
aadB	00	00	00	00	00
aadE	07(58.3)	07(70)	07(87.5)	07(70)	28(70)
aph(3)-la	02(16.7)	00	01(12.50	00	03(7.5)
aph(3)-lla	04(33.3)	00	05(62.5)	02(20)	11(27.5)
aphA7	02(16.7)	01(10)	03(37.5)	00	06(15)
aphD	05(41.7)	03(30)	06(75)	02(20)	16(40)
AphE	06(50)	01(10)	04(50)	03(30)	14(35)
strA	08(66.7)	07(70)	07(87.5)	06(60)	28(70)
strB	03(25)	04(40)	03(37.5)	03(30)	1332.5)

 Table 2. The Prevalence of aminoglycosides resistance genes in E. coli Isolates.

N = Number of isolates hybridized.

included in the array for differentiating the isolates into various pathotypes. To determine the specificity of microarray hybridization, all of the labeled genes probes were hybridized to the microarray. In most cases there was a one-to-one correspondence for hybridization signal to res-pective target, orientation gene, and positive control gene spots. There was minor cross-hybridization between some genes and they were marked as abnormal during analy-sis, thus these genes are not included in the net results shown here.

Detection of antimicrobial resistance gene with microarray

Forty (40) *E. coli* isolates were tested for antimicrobial resistance genes with the microarray. Thirty seven isolates were identified as having at least one antimicrobial resistance gene. Three remaining isolates (CA2, cow; GO3, goat; PL18, poultry) did not hybridize to any of the resistance genes presented on the array. Multiple antimicrobial resistance genes belonging to same category of antimicrobials were detected in most isolates.

Among the aminoglycosides, the most prevalent resistance genes were *aadE* and *strA*, 28 (70%) respectively, the most prevalent host were the isolates from poultry 07 (87.5%) (Table 2). The most encountered beta-lactam gene in this study was bla-CMY-2, 28(70%). However, blaCTX-M-12 and blaIMP-2 were detected only in isolates from poultry specimens (Table 3). The most prevalent chloramphenicol resistance genes observed in this study was floR, 22 (55.0%), while Integrase gene, int1 had the highest occurrence rate of 37.5% (15 isolates) (Table 4). In the trimethoprim and sulfonamide resistance gene families, the most prevalent was *dhfrV*, which was detected in 9 isolates (22.5%). For sulfonamide resistance genes, 14 isolates (35%) of the animal specimens harbored Sul2 at highest rate. The dhfrll gene was only detected in isolates from pigs and poultry (Table 5). Among the tetracycline resistance genes, TetA was most with 21 isolates (52.5%) of animal specimens bearing this gene (Table 6). A sample micrograph of microarrays hybridized with genomic DNAs of the E. coli isolates are shown in Figure 1.

DISCUSSION

DNA microarrays have been used previously to detect resistance genes in bacteria (Call et al., 2003; Frye et al., 2006; Moneeke et al., 2003; Van Hoek et al., 2005; Ma et

Cono	SO	UES	SAM	O F	PLE
Gene	Pig N=12	Goat N=10	Cattle N=10	Poultry N=8	Total N=40
blaACC-01	01(8.3)	00	01(10)	01(12.5)	03(7.5)
bla-CMY-2	09(75)	06(60)	06(60)	07(87.5)	28(70)
blaCTX-M-1	00	00	01(10)	04(50)	05(12.5)
blaCTX-M-12	00	00	00	01(12.5)	01(2.5)
blaCTX-M-15	02(16.7)	01(10)	00	03(37.5)	06(15)
blaCTX-M-2	00	00	00	00	00
blaCTX-M-8	01(8.3)	02(20)	02(20)	04(50)	09(22.5)
blaDHA-1	00	00	00	02(25)	02(5.0)
blaFOX-2	00	00	00	00	00
blaIMP-2	00	00	00	01	01(2.5)
blaKPC-3	06(50)	03(30)	02(20)	06(75)	17(42.4)
blaMIR	02(16.7)	02(20)	01(10)	02(25)	07(17.5)
blaOXA-1	03(25)	00	00	04(50)	07(17.5)
blaOXA-2	00	00	00	00	00
blaOXA-7	00	00	00	00	00
blaOXY-K1	01(8.3)	00	00	00	01(2.5)
blaPSE-1	02(16.7)	01(10)	00	02(25)	05(12.5)
blaPSE-4	07(58.3)	07(70)	04(40)	07(87.5)	25(62.5)
blaROB-1	01(8.3)	00	00	00	01(2.5)
blaSHV-37	01(8.3)	02(20)	01(10)	02(25)	06(15.0)
TEM1	02(16.7)	01(10)	03(30)	05(62.5)	11(27.5)

Table 3. The prevalence of beta-lactam resistance genes in *E. coli* isolates.

N = Number of isolates hybridized.

al., 2007; Greg et al., 2010). Several types of DNA templates can be used to construct microarrays, depending on the intended use. For example, short oligonucleotide probes can be used to detect single nucleotide polymorphism, long oligonucleotide probes can be used to detect sequences that contain a few mismatches, and PCR probes can be used to detect moderately divergent genes. In the present study, oligonucleotide probes were used to construct microarrays that could identify up to ninety genes that confer resistance to variety of antibiotics used in combating Gram-ve bacteria like *E. coli.*

When compared with phenotypic testing, microarrays have the advantage of detecting the presence of antibiotic resistance genes that are not phenotypically expressed (Peterson et al., 2009). In this study, antibiotic resistance genes of 40 *E. coli* isolates from variety of domestic live stock viz cattle, goats, swine and poultry in south eastern states of Nigeria were detected. It was observed that microarray detected genes that were not phenotypically expressed in the following isolates, PG6, PG 11-Swine (*aadE, floR, OtrB, qnrA1, strA, TetD, strA*); CA 12-Cattle (*Aph E*) and PL 7-Poultry (*aadE, aphA7, bla-CMY-2, blaOXA-1, blaPSE-4, floR, IncFII/OriB, IncP / trfA2, qnrA1, strA, TetE, TetJ*). Ma et al. (2007) observed that two isolates of *Salmonella* which did not phenotypically express resistance to aminoglycosides were harboring *aadA1* and *aadA2* genes, while Maynard et al. (2003) found that two *E. coli* isolates harboring the aph(3)-la gene, which confer resistance to Kanamycin and Neomycin, were susceptible to Kanamycin and Neomycin. Thus, our results and those of Ma et al. (2007) and Maynard et al. (2003) indicate that some antibiotic resistance genes are silent in bacteria *in vitro*; however, these silent genes can spread to other bacteria or turn on *in vivo*, especially under antibiotic pressure.

Furthermore, there were also discrepancies between the absence of the antibiotic gene test on the microarray and the phenotypic resistance (false negative). This was observed in isolates GO13-Goat (Am-C-Sxt-S-T-Amc); CA 9-Cattle (Am); and PL 18-Poultry (Am-C-Sxt-S). Resistance was phenotypically observed against the antibiotics written against each of the isolates but the genes were not detected by the microarray. This could be attributed to the non inclusion of the oligonucleotide probes encoding theses genes in the construction of the microarray or the genes encoding the resistance are novel. However, more research is needed in this area before conclusion can be established.

In conclusion, the microarray technique employed in this study proved to be an efficient method that allows for rapid detection and identification of resistance genes in *E. coli* isolates.

Gono	SO	UES	O F	SAM	PLE
Gene	Pig N=12	Goat N=10	Poultry N=8	Cattle N=10	Total N=40
cat4	00	01(10)	03(37.5)	01(10)	05(12.5)
catB2	00	00	00	00	00
catB3	00	00	00	00	00
catB8	00	00	02(25)	01(10)	03(7.5)
catl	00	01(10)	03(37.5)	01(10)	05(12.5)
catll	00	00	00	00	00
catP	03(25)	00	02	00	05(12.5)
cmIA	01(8.3)	010)	01(12.5)	02(20)	05(12.5)
cmlB	00	01(10)	02(25)	00	03(7.5)
floR	06(50)	05(50)	07(87.5)	04(40)	22(55.0)
intl1	04(33.3	03(30)	04(50)	04(40)	15(37.5)
intl2	01(8.3)	00	02(25)	01(10)	04(10)
qac delta E	07(58.3)	03(30)	06(75)	04(40)	20(50)
qnrA1	08(66.7)	05(50)	07(87.5)	04(40)	24(60)
qnrB	01(8.3)	00	00	00	01(2.5)
qnrS	00	00	00	01(10)	01(2.5)

 Table 4. The prevalence of chloramphenicol and qinolone resistance genes in E. coli isolates.

N = Number of isolates hybridized.

Gene	S O	UES	0 F	SAM	PLE
Oche	Pig N=12	Goat N=10	Poultry N=8	Cattle N=10	Total N=40
dfrA1	00	01(10)	00	02(20)	03(7.5)
dfrA14	01(8.3)	03(30)	03(37.5)	00	07(17.5)
dfrA16	00	01(10)	01(12.5)	01(20)	03(7.5)
dfrA21	00	00	00	00	00
dhfrll	03(25)	00	01(12.5)	00	04(10)
dhfrV	03(25)	03(30)	03(37.5)	00	09(22.5)
dhfrVI	00	01(10)	00	00	01(2.5)
dhfrVII	00	00	02(25)	00	02(5)
dhfrXII	01(8.3)	00	01(12.5)	02(20)	04(10)
dhfrXIII	01(8.3)	00	01(12.5)	01(20)	03(7.5)
dhfrXV	00	00	00	00	00
Sul1	01(8.3)	01(10)	03(37.5)	02(20)	07(17.5)
Sul2	02(16.7)	04(40)	05(62.5)	03(30)	14(35)
sul3	01(8.3)	02(20)	00	02(20)	05(12.5)

Table 5. The prevalence of trimethoprim and sulfonamide resistance genes in E. coli isolates.

N = Number of isolates hybridized.

Gene -	S O	UES	O F	SAM	PLE
	Pig N=12	Goat N=10	Poultry N=8	Cattle N=10	Total N=40
tet(C)	02(16.7)	01(10)	03(37.5)	02(20)	08(20)
tet(Y)	00	00	02(25)	00	02(5.0)
Tet30	00	00	01(12.5)	00	01(2.5)
TetA	05(41.7)	05(50)	04(50)	07(70)	21(52.5)
tetB	01(8.3)	05(50)	03(37.5)	00	09(22.5)
TetD	05(41.7)	02(20)	06(75)	02(20)	15(37.5)
TetE	04(33.3)	00	05(62.5)	02(20)	11(27.5)
tetG	01(8.3)	00	05(62.5)	00	06(15)
TetH	01(8.3)	00	01(12.5)	00	02(5.0)
TetJ	07(58.3)	04(40)	06(75)	02(20)	19(47.5)
TetK	01(8.3)	00	01(12.5)	00	02(5.0)
TetM	00	00	00	00	00
TetQ	00	00	00	00	00
TetS	00	00	00	00	00
TetT	00	00	02(25)	00	02(5.0)
TetV	00	00	02(25)	00	02(5.0)
TetW	00	00	00	00	00
TetX	00	00	02	00	02(5.0)

Table 6. The prevalence of tetracycline resistance genes in *E. coli* isolates.

N = Number of isolates hybridized.



Figure 1. Microphotograph of microarrays hybridized with genomic DNAs of E. coli Isolates from cattle.

REFERENCES

Call DR, Bakko MK, Krug MJ, Roberts MC (2003). Identifying antimic-robial resistance genes with DNA microarrays. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 47:3290-3295

- Call DR, Chandler DP, Brockman F (2001). Fabrication of DNA microarrays using unmodified oligonucleotide probes. BioTechniques 30:368-379.
- Cheesbrough M (2000). District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries, Part 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; 434pp.
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (2006): Performance standards for Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. National committee for clinical laboratory standards, Wayne pa.
- Frye JG, Jesse T, Long F (2006) DNA Microarray detection of antimic-robial resistance genes in diverse bacteria. Int. J. Antimicrobial. Agents. 27:138-151.
- Greg P, Jianfa- Bai, TG, Nagaraja, S N (2010) Diagnostic microarray for human and animal bacterial diseases and their virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes. J. Microbiol Methods. 80:223–230
- Holzman, D. 2003. Microarray analyses may speed antibiotic resistance testing. ASM News 69:538–539.

- Ma M, Hongning W, Yong Y, Dong Z, Shigui L (2007). Detection of antimicrobial resistance genes of pathogenic Salmonella from Swine with DNA Microarray. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest.
- Maynard C, Fairbrother JM, Bakal S (2003) Antimicrobial resistance genes in enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* 0149:K91 isolates obtained over a 23-year period from pigs. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:3214-3221

Moneeke S, Leube L, Ehricht R (2003). Simple and robust array-based methods for the parallel detection of resistance genes of

Staphylococcus aureus. Genome Lett. 2:116-126

- Nsofor CA, Iroegbu CU (2012) Antibiotic resistance profile of *Escherichia coli* isolated from apparently healthy domestic livestock in South-East Nigeria. J. Cell Anim. Biol. 6(8). 129-135
- Nsofor CA, Iroegbu CU (2013) Antibiotic Resistance Profile of *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Five Major Geopolitical Zones of Nigeria. J. Bacteriol. Res. 5(3):29-34.
- Peterson G, Bai J, Narayanan S (2009). A co-printed oligomer to enhance reliability of spotted microarrays. J. Microbiol. Methods 77:261-266.

Van Hoek AH, Scholtens IM, Cloeckaer A, Aarts HJ (2005). Detection of antibiotic resistance genes in different *Salmonella* serovars by oligonucleotide microarrays analysis. J. Microbiol. Methods 62:13-23

Vincent P, Lorianne V, Peter S, Ralf E, Peter K, Joachim F (2005). Microarray-Based Detection of 90 Antibiotic

Resistance Genes of

Gram-Positive Bacteria. J Clin. Microbiol. 2291-2302.