

Full Length Research Paper

Clostridium difficile infection- an overview on recurrences over two years

Alexandra Lacatusu^{1*}, Ioana Iordan¹, Carmen Manciuc^{1,2}

¹Sf. Parascheva" Infectious Diseases Hospital Iasi, Romania. ²Grigore T. Popa "University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iasi, Romania.

Accepted 20 November, 2022

Introduction: Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is the leading infectious cause of antibiotic-associated diarrhea. The annual rate of the recurrences can be over 100.000 cases and the costs per episode can be over 10.000 euros. Despite the prevention programs implemented in many countries, the rate of the infection continues to increase Purpose: The aim of the study was to evaluate the rate of recurrence of CDI in the patients admitted in "Sf Parascheva" Infectious Diseases Hospital from lasi, Romania over a period of 2 years (January 1st 2017 to December 31st 2018). Results: Of all the patients addmited in our hospital for CDI in 2017 and 2018, 30,56% were hospitalized for the recurrence of the infection. After analyzing the number of recurrence of the infection 64,89 % of the patients were at the first recurrence, over 19% had a second recurrence of the CDI and the rest, had a 3rd to 6th recurrence. Conclusion: CDI is still a big problem that causes a major burden on the healthcare system and also on the patient. Over 65% of the patients included in the study presented for the first recurrence of CDI, and the rest for second to sixth episode.

Keywords: Clostridium difficile infection, recurrence, treatment

INTRODUCTION

One of the most common causes of nosocomial diarrhea is *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) which increases mortality and morbidity in hospitalized patients (Khanna and Pardi 2010). Clinical symptoms of CDI can start from asymptomatic carrier to mild and severe diarrhea, which can lead to fulminant colitis, toxic mega colon, bowel

*Corresponding Author's Email: alexandra.lacatusu@gmail.com

perforation, sepsis, and ultimately death (Nitsan et al., 2018).

Approximately 25% to 33% of antibiotic-associated diarrhea and 90% of pseudomembranous enteritis are caused by CDI (Evans and Safdar 2015).

Although there are guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of CDI, the rate of the infection continues to increase in Europe and United States. It is a known fact that there has been an enormous worldwide increase in the incidence and severity of CDI over the past 2 decades despite the prevention programs implemented in many countries (Nitsan et al., 2018). A wide range of CDI costs in



Figure 1 Distribution of medical speccialties

Europe have been reported ranging from 5790 euros to 11,202 euros per episode. (Lessa et al., 2015) and the annual rate of RCDI is between 75,000 to 175,000 cases (Shields et al., 2015).

Major risk factors for CDI in the general population are well known and they include exposure to antibiotics (O'Donoghue and Kyne 2011), usage of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), previous and prolonged hoospitalizations, (Kwok et al., 2012; Loo et al., 2011), chemotherapy, immuno compromised states, advanced age (Meyer et al., 2004).

Studies showed that the risk for recurrencee after the first episode of CDI is between 20to 35% and, of these, 40–60% will have a second recurrence (Roy et al., 2018).

The evolution of the infection is dictated by the individual characteristics of the patient (risk factors, associated diseases) and the speed and accuracy of the treatment (loana and Carmen 2018).

The recurrences of CDI reduce the quality of life and also can place a major burden on healthcare syystem.(16)Also the social burden that comes with CDI can put the patient in a low psychological state.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We conducted an observational retrospecctive study to patients admitted to the "Sf.Parascheva" Infectious Diseases Hospital for a period of one year (January 1st 2017 to December 31st 2018). The studdy group was formed from 615 patients diagnosed with CID. '

We analyzed the anamnestic data and all the previous admittances in the hospital and we included in our study188 patients that declared/ were previouus admitted for CDI.

Data about the number of the recurrencce, associated diseases, days of hospitalization, the presencce or absence of the specific toxins, administrated treatment, and if they

were transferred from another hoospital were taken from the observation sheets of the patients.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Of all 615 patients addmited in o ur hospital for CDI in 2017 and 2018, 30,56% were hospitalized for the recurrence of CDI. The age of the patients varies from 15 to 90 years old, with a median of 62. There has been a predominance of female gender, as well as people from urban areas.

Analyzing the number of recurrence of the infection 64,89 % of the patients were at the first recurrence, 18,61% had a second recurrencee of the CDI, 16,5% had a 3 to 6 recurrence.

In 78 (41,48%) cases, the recurrence of the infection occurred in another medical deppartment, fact that required transfer to our hospital. We obseerved a large addressability from other small hospitals of infectious diseases in the territory, gastroenterology, pn eumology, surgical and cardiology departments. (figure.1).

Associated comorbidities, th ese are represented in figure. 2. Leading pathologies are cardiovascular, and metabolic followed by the hepattic and gastroenterological diseases. Associated pathologie s represent risk factors of the disease, aggravating the prrogression and prolonging hospitalization (Carmen et al., 2012; Ioana and Carmen 2018).

Analyzing the number of dayys that the patients were hospitalized, in majority of the cases, patients required more than 10 days of hospitalization, with an average of 11 days (figure 3). The prolonged hoospitalization increases the medical burden and also adds a psychological and social burden to the patient.

Studies showed that the majority of relapses are due to recurrences of CDI with the origginal strain, rather than reinfection with a different strain. The management of an initial CDI recurrence includes repeat administration of



Figure 2 Comorbidity of the patients



Figure 3 Number of days of hospitalization



Figure 4 Treatment ad ministrated

either oral Metronidazole (500mg every 8 hours) or Vancomycin (125mg every 6 hours) for 10–144 days (Roy et al., 2018).

In our group study, the majority of the patients received Vancomycin, followed by an association between Vancomycin and Metronidazole. In a few casses Rifaximine

was administered alongside Vancomyc in treatment. Cadazolid and Vancomycin was associated in 2 cases and also in 2 cases Fecalmicrobiota transplannt (FMT) was performed.

A complete diagnosis of CDI requires both clinical symptoms and a positive laboratory tests. Several methods are recommended for the diagnosis of CDI, including toxicogenic culture, enzyme immunoassays (EIA) for toxins A, B, and/or glutamate dehydrogenase (GD H) etc (Zhong et al., 2018).In the group that we selected forr our study, 21 cases came positive just for toxin A, in 5 cases just the toxin B was identified, in 7 cases nether of the toxin was present, 6 cases presented just the GDH and the rest of 149 had both toxins present.



Multiple recurrences of the disease and the prolonged hospitalization can create a stigma around the patients in society and even in their home. A lot off the patients required psychological support because of the depression created by this "chronic" suffering (Carmen et al., 2016).

CONCLUSIONS

Over the last 20 years there has been a substantial increase of CDI. The first recurrence of CDI causes substantial morbidity and is a major burden on health care systems.

The rate of recurrence in our hospital is at the same level as we can find in studies (30,56%). Appr oximately two thirds (64,89%) of the patients included in the study presented for the first recurrence of CDI, annd the rest for second to sixth episode.

Every new recurrence of CDI requires moree attention and careful management according to protocols. Minimizing the

number of the relapses we can reduce the social, psychological and medical burden on patients and the health care system.

Medical world should start foccusing in new molecule for treatment of the disease. Thhe presence of multiple comorbidities on elderly populatiion makes us expect to an explosion of CDI that could ultimately can become resistant to usual treatment.

REFERENCES

- Carmen M, Florina Filip-Ciubotaru, Aidda B, Letitia DD, Alexandra ML (2016). The patient-doctor-psychologist triangle in a case of severe imunosupression in the hiv infection- Vol 120 No 1 (2016): The Medical-Surgical Journal
- Carmen MD, Mihaela CL, Egidia M, C armen M, Daniela L, Mihnea H (2012). Boli infecțioase diagnostic și tratament "Gr. T. Popa" UMF Iași 2012
- Evans CT, Safdar N (2015). Current trends in the epidemiology and outcomes of Clostridium difficile infection. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2015;60:S66–S71
- Ioana FI, Anca MA, Irina AS, Nastase E, Florina Filip-Ciubotaru, Carmen Manciuc (2017). Increasing incidence of recurrent Clostridium difficile infection Vol 121 No 3 (2017): The Medical-Surgical Journal
- Ioana FI, Carmen M (2018). Double Problem—Clostridium difficile and Diabetes, Journal of Pharmacy and Phharmacology 6 (2018) 170-174
- Khanna S, Pardi DS (2010). The groowing incidence and severity of Clostridium difficile infection in inpatient and outpatient settings. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010;4:4099–16.
- Kwok CS, Arthur AK, Anibueze CI (20012). Risk of Clostridium difficile infection with acid suppressing drugs and antibiotics: meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2012;107:1011–9
- Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM (2015). Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. The Neew England Journal of Medicine. 2015; 372(9):825–834.
- Loo VG, Bourgault AM, Poirier L (2011). Host and pathogen factors for Clostridium difficile infection and collonization. N Engl J Med 2011; 365:1693–703.
- Meyer AM, Ramzan NN, Loftus EV Jr, (2004). The diagnostic yield of stool pathogen studies during relapses of inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2004;38:772–5
- Nitsan M, Idan B, Hasya Z, Keren H, Iris D (2018). Clostridium difficile infection in hospitalized patients wiith inflammatory bowel disease Prevalence, risk factors, and prognoosis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018 Feb; 97(5): e9772.
- O'Donoghue C, Kyne L (2011). Update on Clostridium difficile infection. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2011;27:38–47
- Roy J Hopkins Robert B Wilson (2018). Treatment of recurrent Clostridium difficile colitis: a narrative review, Gastroenterology Report, Volume 6, Issue 1, 1 February 2018, Pages 21–28
- Shields K, Araujo-Castillo RV, Theethira TG, Alonso CD, Kelly CP (2015). Recurrent Clostridium difficile inffection: from colonization to cure.Anaerobe 2015;34:59–73.
- Zhong P, Lifen L, Charles W. Stratton, Chunhui Li, Christopher R. Polage, Bin Wu & Yi-Wei Tang (2018). Emerging Microbes & Infections volume 7, Article number: 15 (2018)