

*Full Length Research Paper*

# Clinical evaluation of herbal coded formulation Pharinjaline in the treatment of Pharyngitis and Sore throat

<sup>1</sup>Aamir Abd-ur-Rahman Soomro, <sup>1</sup>Halima Nazar, <sup>1</sup>Afzal Ahmad, <sup>1</sup>Ejaz Mohiuddin, <sup>1</sup>Khan Usmanghani, <sup>2</sup>Muhammad Akram, <sup>2</sup>S. M. Ali Shah, <sup>2</sup>H. M. Asif

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Eastern Medicine, Hamdard University, Karachi, Pakistan.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Eastern Medicine and Surgery, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, The University of Poonch, Azad Jammu and Kashmir.

Accepted 09 July, 2015

This study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of herbal coded formulation (Pharinjaline) compared with erythromycin for the treatment of sore throats and acute pharyngitis. One hundred patients with sore throat and pharyngitis were randomly divided into two groups, 50 in each group. Test group was treated with Pharinjaline and control group was treated with erythromycin. The effect of both drugs for the treatment of sore throats and acute pharyngitis were seen before and after treatment. Comparison of data recorded by the physician on the variables showed significant differences between test and control groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). The efficacy of the test treated medication (Pharinjaline) was superior as  $p = 0.03$ . Pharinjaline is more effective than the Erythromycin in the treatment of sore throat and acute pharyngitis

**Key words:** Pharyngitis, phainjaline, erythromycin, efficacy, sore throats.

## INTRODUCTION

Pharyngitis and sore throat most often are caused by direct infection of the pharynx (pharyngitis), primarily by viruses or bacteria (Robert et al. 2002; Lawrence et al. 2001). The pharynx is responsible for adjoining the nasal cavity and the oral cavity to the larynx (which belongs to the respiratory system) and the esophagus (which belongs to the digestive system) (Gray et al. 1992, Sinnatamby, 1999; John, 2000). There are many agents which cause pharyngitis. Viral infections account for approximately 70% of all pharyngitis, with bacteria causing 20 to 30% of pharyngitis and 30-40% idiopathic. There is no clinical evidence that bacterial sore throats are more severe than viral ones or that the duration of the illness is significantly different in either case (Barbara et al. 2006). Clinical presentation of pharyngitis generally includes sudden onset of throat pain, difficulty in swallowing and fever higher than 101 degree Fahrenheit,

tender anterior cervical nodes, and soft palatal petechiae, congestions over the posterior pharynx, tonsillar enlargement and purulent tonsillar exudate. There are some atypical symptoms which may also be present with the infected individual such as mouth breathing, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea (Pechère et al. 2004; Peltola, 1982).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a case control direct, unicenter, prospective randomized authentic allopathic controlled, two arm parallel group clinical trial. The clinical assessment included the improvement cough, fever, odynophagia, tender anterior cervical nodes, and tonsillar swelling or exudate.

**Table 1.** Age group distribution.

Age Group	Treatment Group		Total (n)
	Test (n) (Pharinjaline)	Control (n) (Erythromycin)	
18 – 21 Years	4	1	5
22 – 25 Years	8	2	10
26 – 29 Years	4	0	4
30 – 33 Years	8	2	10
34 – 37 Years	9	13	22
38 – 41 Years	10	12	22
42 – 45 Years	6	16	22
46 – 50 Years	1	4	5
Total	50	50	100

**Table 2.** Fever in Total Patients at Baseline.

Complaint at Baseline		Treatment Group		Total (n)	p value
		Test (Pharinjaline)	Control (Erythromycin)		
Fever	100 to < 101	4	4	8	0.058
	101 to < 102	20	20	40	
	102 to < 103	26	21	47	
	103 to < 104	0	5	5	
	Total	50	50	100	

**Table 3.** Fever in Total Patients after Treatment.

Complaint at Baseline		Treatment Group		Total (n)	P value
		Test (Pharinjaline)	Control (Erythromycin)		
After Treatment	98 to < 99	50	49	99	1.00
	Above 99	00	01	01	
	Total	50	50	100	

## Subjects

One hundred patients, who had no health problem records in the physical check up, participated in this study. The ethics committee at Hamdard University Karachi approved the study protocol. All subjects signed informed consent documents. Sample size estimated in clinical assessment on pharyngitis and sore throat has been carried out based on general physical examination, general appearance of the patients, age, sex, and local examination of the mouth and throat in a pilot study at

Shifa ul Mulk Memorial Hospital. Trial was conducted on 100 patients suffering from pharyngitis and sore throat from both groups (50 patients from control and 50 from experimental group) between ages of 18 to 50 years irrespective of socioeconomic status (Table 1, 2 and 3).

## Sample selection

The sample was selected from the out patient department registered and enrolled in Shifa ul Mulk Memorial Hospital and on the basis of clinical sign and symptoms

**Table 4.** Odynophagia in total patients at baseline.

Complaint at Baseline		Treatment Group		Total (n)	P value
		Test (Pharinjaline)	Control (Erythromycin)		
Odynophagia	Severe	16	17	33	0.695
	Moderate	30	31	61	
	Mild	4	2	6	
	Total	50	50	100	

**Table 5.** Odynophagia in total patients at after treatment.

Complaint at After Treatment		Treatment Group		Total (n)	p value
		Test	Control		
Odynophagia	Complete Improvement	48	41	89	0.02
	Mild Odynophagia	02	09	11	
	Total	50	50	100	

**Table 6.** Tonsillar Pillar in Total Patients at Baseline.

Complaint at Baseline		Treatment Group		Total (n)	P value
		Test (Pharinjaline)	Control (Erythromycin)		
Tonsillar Pillar	Congested	33	28	61	0.581
	Exudated	09	11	20	
	Normal	08	11	19	
	Total	50	50	100	

and fulfilling the pharyngitis and sore, inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected. Among this population, all the patient suffering from pharyngitis and sore throat were interviewed immediately and upon their consent to participate they were grouped as test and control groups. The analysis and evaluation on an intention to treat basis was included and only those participants who were willing to undergo treatment and were willing as well to attend all the follow up visits during the clinical trial were chosen.

The 100 patients were randomized to the Pharinjaline and Erythromycin groups: 50 were treated with coded herbal formulation Pharinjaline and 50 were treated with Erythromycin ( Table 4, 5 and 6).

#### Data collection

Data collected for this research work included filling of clinical trial proforma through personal interview, personal

**Table 7.** Tonsillar Pillar in Total Patients at After Treatment.

Complaint at After Treatment		Treatment Group		Total (n)	P value
		Test	Control		
Tonsillar Pillar	Complete Improvement	42	34	76	0.02
	Congested	00	05	05	
	Total	42	39	81	

observation and use of case record, file and documents.

The designed clinical trial proforma specified the clinical feature and information to be filled by the physician for record and utilized in statistical assessment.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis were performed using SPSS and excel software, the Chi Square Test was determined. All differences were considered statistically significant by generating a 'p-value' from test statistics. The significant result with 'p-value' less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### Inclusion Criteria

The cases were selected on the following lines:  
 The patients suffering from pharyngitis and sore throat  
 Patients living in Gadap Town, Karachi  
 Patients having no obvious pathological finding on routine examination  
 All socioeconomic classes including lower middle and higher (Table 7).  
 Male and female patients between 18 to 50 years of age

### Exclusion Criteria

#### The major exclusion criteria for this trial were

Patients belonging to the distant area outside Karachi were excluded because of inherent difficulty in follow up.  
 Chronic and secondary infectious cases were excluded.  
 Patients having chronic infections e.g. tuberculosis, leprosy or neoplastic events in the medical history were considered reason for exclusion.  
 Patient having history of adverse reaction to any of the study drugs

### Patient characteristics

All of the patients recruited in this study were categorized in different class interval ranging from 18 to 50 years of age.  
 All patients had one or more pretreatment symptoms of Pharyngitis and sore throat.

### DISCUSSION

Products from natural sources have been replaced because

of their efficacy and fewer and no side effects (Bertels, et al., 1999; Henkel, et al., 1999; Verdine, 1996). The coded herbal formulation Pharinjaline for Pharyngitis and sore throat treatment comprises of, Aconitum heterophyllum and Atropa acuminata. The medicinal plants Aconitum heterophyllum and Atropa acuminata have been used traditionally in medicine for decades. Their anti-inflammatory, antispasmodics and anti-pyretic effects have been used very effectively other than their use in coryza, and other illnesses. It was so discovered with research and clinical trial that the two new compounds isolated from the Aconitum heterophyllum displayed a significant antibiotic activity. These two compounds are 6-dehydroacetylsepacontinine and 13-hydroxylappacontine along with other known norditerpenoid alkaloids namely lycoctonine, delphatine and lappaconitine(Manzoor et al, 2008). This comparative study was conducted to explore the pharyngitis and sore throat patients with herbal formulation as test drug and allopathic as control drug to assess their efficacy.

### CONCLUSION

Pharinjaline (test drug) is more effective than the Erythromycin(control drug). in the treatment of pharyngitis and sore throat. Control drug showed lesser efficacy than the test drug in its compliance to treat pharyngitis and sore throat. Moreover the patient's satisfaction to cure pharyngitis and sore throat was very well received in patients prescribed test drug and found greater acceptability. The results of the current study demonstrate that treatment with Pharinjaline (test drug) reduces signs and symptoms as well as eradication of infection and that these effects are significantly greater than those produced by the commonly used erythromycin. The efficacy of Pharinjaline (test drug) in subjects with liver impairment is promising and warrants further study.

### REFERENCES

- Barbara B, Jane J (2006). Infection: Microbiology and Management; upper respiratory tract infection; pp. 122-135.  
 Bartel S, Frommann S, Jas G, Bindseil KU (1999). Synergistic

- use of combinatorial and natural product chemistry. In Drug discovery from nature: (S. Grabley and R. Thiericke, Eds), Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 72-105.
- Gray RF, Hawthorne M (1992). Anatomy of the mouth and pharynx in synopsis of otolaryngology, Eds. Butterworths, London/Boston, Edition 5, Chapter 11 pp.288-305
- Henkel T, Brunne RM, Muller H, and Reucgek, F (1999). Statistical investigation into the structural complementarity of natural products and synthetic compounds. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 38, 643-647.
- John W (2000), *Clinical Bacteriology, Mycology and Paracytology*; Spicer -Science; pp. 28-30.
- Lawrence MT, Stephen JM, Manine A (2001). *Treatment of Pharyngitis, Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment, International Edition*, San Francisco, California, 751 – 764 - 2000.
- Manzoor A, Waqar A, Mansoor A, Obaidullah, Muhammad Z, Farzana S (2008). Norditerpenoid alkaloids from the roots of *Aconitum heterophyllum* Wall. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 23(6): 1018-1022.
- Pechère J, Kaplan E (2004). *Streptococcal Pharyngitis: Optimal Management*; pp. 3-12, 22-24, 49-60.
- Peltola H (1982). Observations on the seasonal variation of the most common acute pediatric diseases in the Helsinki area (Finland). *J Community Health* 7(3): 159-170
- Robert L, Souham I, John M (2002), *Pharyngitis Textbook of Medicine - 4th edition*; pp. 622-632
- Sinnatamby CS (1999). Mouth and hard palate, in *Last's Anatomy, Regional and Applied*, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, Edition 5, Chapter 13, pp 375-382
- Verdine GL (1996). The combinatorial chemistry of nature. *Nature*, 384;11-13.