

Full Length Research Paper

***In vitro* antifungal activity of allicin alone and in combination with two medications against six dermatophytic fungi**

Farzad Aala¹, Umi Kalsom Yusuf^{1*}, Alireza Khodavandi², and Farida Jamal³

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

³Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Accepted 17 December, 2014

Dermatophytes are fungi capable of invading keratinized tissues of humans and animals, causing dermatomycosis. Azole antifungal drugs are often used in the treatment of dermatomycosis. Because of increased use of these medications, azoles are known to cause drug resistance; hence this study investigated an alternative anti-dermatophyte which is plant-based, and biodegradable natural product. Allicin is a pure bioactive compound derived from garlic, which is known worldwide for its antifungal activities. This study evaluated the *in vitro* efficacy of pure allicin alone against six dermatophyte isolates and the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ ranged from 0.098 – 25.0 µg/ml. Results of this study showed that the order of efficacy based on the MICs values was fluconazole > allicin > ketoconazole at 28°C for both 7 and 10 days incubation. On the other side, most of tested drug combinations demonstrated synergistic or additive interaction for all isolates for both 7 and 10 days incubation at 28°C. In conclusion allicin alone showed very good potential as an antifungal compound against mycoses-causing dermatophytes, performing better than the synthetic drug fluconazole, and almost the same as ketoconazole, furthermore allicin in combination with ketoconazole or with fluconazole frequently showed synergistic or additive interaction against dermatomycosis.

Key words: Allicin, antifungal drugs, dermatophytes, MIC (minimal inhibitory concentration).

INTRODUCTION

Dermatomycosis is amongst the most prevalent infectious disease which has increased in recent years that caused by dermatophytes. Dermatophytic fungi are able to invade keratinized tissues (skin, hair, and nail) of humans and animals (Barros et al., 2007). The azoles group of antifungal drugs such as ketoconazole and fluconazole have been used for the treatment of various fungal infections especially dermatomycosis. This groups are synthetic drugs and although effective, but, because of increased use of these medications, an incidence of drug resistance to all agents in the azoles group has been reported (Al-Mohsen and Hughes, 1998; Odds et al., 2003; Pyan and Shin, 2006). Furthermore, they are

known generally to cause side effects (Al-Mohsen and Hughes, 1998), hence this study investigated the use of a plant-based, biodegradable natural product as an alternative. The data showed that the extracts of garlic (*Allium sativum*), were the most potent plant material tested against fungal and bacterial pathogens. Garlic includes organosulphur groups, so consists in antimicrobial features (Woods-Panzaru et al., 2009). The antimicrobial effect of garlic related to interaction of sulphur compounds (such as allicin) with sulphur (thiol-containing enzymes) groups of microbial enzymes (such as trypsin and other proteases), causing to an inhibition of microbial growth (Shadkchan, 2004; Wilson and Demmig, 2007). Allicin is a pure, bioactive and the most powerful medicinal compound isolated from garlic. It is manufactured via an enzymatic response from freshly crushed garlic (Gardner et al., 2007). Alliinase, the

*Corresponding author; E-mail: umikay@science.upm.edu.my

enzyme that is stocked in isolated section in garlic, is mixed with a compound named alliin in fresh garlic and makes allicin. Allicin has been reported to show antibacterial properties (Cai et al., 2007) and antifungal activities (Shadkchan, 2004; Pyan and Shin, 2006; Gardner et al., 2007; Woods-Panzaru et al., 2009). Ajoene is the ingredient from allicin that has antifungal action against *Aspergillus niger* and *Candida albicans* (Yoshida et al., 1987). Currently, pure grade allicin is available commercially. Many organisms can be inhibited with garlic, and some of them were inhibited much more strongly by allicin compared to antibiotics (Wilson and Demmig, 2007). Pyan and Shin (2006) compared the activity of allicin with the activities of essential oils from *Allium* plants and demonstrated weak performance of the oil fractions in comparison with allicin against *Trichophyton* spp. Currently a standardized *in vitro* protocol for susceptibility testing is available from the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) established in 2002. Barros et al. (2007) have reported that the susceptibility tests to antifungal agents were suitable and reliable. This method is based on the calculation of the MIC, with more calculations of FICI (fractional inhibitory concentration index) for drug combinations. Pyun and Shin (2006) used broth microdilution method to investigate the activity of allicin alone and the combined effects of *Allium* oils with ketoconazole against *Trichophyton* spp.

In the present study, *in vitro* antifungal activity of allicin alone and in combination with ketoconazole and fluconazole against six dermatophytic fungi was investigated. This study sought to evaluate the microdilution susceptibility testing for the determination of MICs and FICIs of allicin and two antifungal medications, as a potential alternative treatment of dermatomycosis using a plant-based product.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

Six isolates of dermatophytic fungi were examined for their interactions with allicin, ketoconazole and fluconazole respectively; following the protocol outlined NCCLS susceptibility testing guidelines for filamentous fungi M38-A (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 2002). Besides; it was assessed two incubation periods up to 7 and 10 days.

Isolates

A total of 5 isolates were used, namely *Trichophyton rubrum* (6443), *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (1233), *Trichophyton verrucosum* (5213), *Microsporum canis* (1437), and *Epidermophyton floccosum* (883). All isolates were kept in sterile saline (0.85%) v/v NaCl at 4°C until required for bioassays. *T. rubrum* (ATCC-10218) was used as a control strain. Isolates were selected from the culture collection of clinical isolates preserved at the laboratory of Medical Mycology Department in Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

Media

The standard RPMI 1640 medium according to NCCLS guidelines was prepared by adding 10.4 g of powdered RPMI 1640 (Sigma) medium in 1000 ml distilled water. Then, it was buffered with 0.165 mol/L 3- [N-morpholino] propane sulfonic acid (MOPS) at 34.54 g per liter and use magnetic stirrer until it was dissolved. It was adjusted to PH 7.0 at 25°C and sterilized by Millipore filter (0.22 µm) and stored at 4°C until use.

Antifungal compounds and dilutions

Three antifungal drugs were used namely, allicin (Alexis–Biochemicals Co, San Diego, USA) was dissolved in 10 mg/ml in methanol / water / formic acid (60:40:0.1), then stored at -20 to -70°C. Ketoconazole and fluconazole (Sigma chemicals Co, USA), were dissolved in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 1.5 mg/ml and 5 mg/ml respectively, in accordance with the protocol of NCCLS M38-A, and prepared as a stock solution of 1000 µg/ml. The stock was 2x diluted in RPMI 1640 when the antifungal compound was assayed singly and 4x when it was used in combination with another compound to give the same final strength needed for the test (Santos et al., 2006). A series of twofold dilutions were prepared at 100 times higher than the highest desired test final concentration (intermediate concentration). The DMSO solutions were diluted 1:50 in RPMI 1640 medium to produce twice the final intensity needed for the test (final concentration). The stock solutions were stored at -70°C until use. The concentration of allicin, ketoconazole, and fluconazole drug dilution ranged from 0.05 to 25.0 µg/ml, 0.03 to 16.0 µg/ml, and 0.03 to 64.0 µg/ml respectively.

Inoculum preparation

All isolates were removed from sterile saline (0.85%) v/v NaCl solution to potato dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan) and incubated at 28°C for 7 days to induce conidia formation. The seven-day-old colonies were covered with about 5 ml of sterile saline (0.85%) v/v NaCl solution, and the culture surface was gently probed with the tip of a Pasteur pipette to dislodge the spores. The resulting mixture of conidial and hyphal fragments was filtrated with a Whatman's filter no. 40 (pore size: 8 µm), which filtered the hyphal fragments but allowed the passage of microconidia into the collecting flask below as recommended by Santos and Hamdan (2005); Santos et al. (2006); Barros et al. (2007). The densities of the conidial suspensions in cuvettes were adjusted with a UV spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 520 nm that ranged from 0.15 to 0.17 (70% to 72% transmittance). The inoculum concentrations ranged from 2- 4 × 10⁶ conidia/ ml. The inoculum quantifications were prepared by counting microconidia using a hemacytometer and by plating 0.01 ml of each inoculum suspensions on sabouraud dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan). The plates were kept at 28°C and were observed daily for the presence of colony from units (CFU) and counted as soon as growth becomes visible. These suspensions were diluted 1:50 in RPMI 1640 medium, which corresponded to 2-4×10⁵ the density required in about 2- 4×10⁶ conidia/ ml. The test inoculums were prepared in enough volume to inoculate directly each well with 100 µl of the corresponding diluted inoculum suspensions.

Test procedure

U-bottomed 96 well microdilution plates (Brand 781660, Wertheim, Germany) were used; according to the NCCLS protocol to determine the *in vitro* susceptibility of fungal organisms to allicin, keto-

nazole and fluconazole, and to evaluate the *in vitro* activity and trend of interaction of allicin in combination with ketoconazole or fluconazole.

Antifungal susceptibility testing: 100 µl of the corresponding fungal inoculum suspension prepared earlier and 100 µl of the twofold drug concentration was pipetted into each microdilution well. Each test plate contained two drug-free controls, one of them with only RPMI 1640 medium (negative control) and another with 100 µl of RPMI 1640 with 100 µl of the inoculum suspension (positive control).

***In vitro* drug interactions:** Two drug interactions were examined. The first combination of allicin with ketoconazole and the second was allicin with fluconazole on all of 6 isolates. Preparation of inoculum and the medium were similar to the susceptibility testing. Santos et al. (2006) used of one microplate to examine each kind of inoculum of each strain for each pair of drug combinations based on the method of Gupta et al. (2003).

Incubation time and temperature

All microdilution trays were incubated at 28° C without agitation and were read visually after 7 days to observe the growth colonies as recommended by Santos and Hamdan, (2005), Santos et al. (2006), and Barros et al. (2007). The plates were then read with a spectrophotometer (Optizen 14/2v, Mecasys Co., Ltd, Korea) set at a wavelength of 520 nm that ranged from 0.15 to 0.17 (70 to 72% transmittance) after 7 and 10 days of incubation. The MIC were determined as the lowest concentration of the drug that gave a 50 and 90% reduction in optical density when compared with the turbidity of the growth control well (Rex et al., 2001; Cai et al., 2007). Spectrophotometric readings were done by measuring the OD at this wavelength, after agitation of the plates. The raw OD readings were converted into measurements of growth as percentages of control readings, as suggested by Pelletier et al. (2002), and Swinne et al. (2005).

Evaluation of the MIC and FICI

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MICs) is the lowest concentration of an antifungal agent that considerably prevents visible growth of an organism on an agar or broth dilution susceptibility test. MIC results were recorded in micrograms per milliliter. For drug combinations, endpoint determination was achieved visually as the lowest concentration showing noticeable growth inhibition for each pair of drug concentration. To determine the endpoint in microdilution procedure, all growths in the microdilution wells were compared to the growth control. For ketoconazole and fluconazole, the MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of the antifungal agent showed about 80% growth inhibition when compared to growth control results (Ghannoum et al., 2004; Santos and Hamdan, 2005; Barros et al., 2007). To compare the actions of the tested drugs, the MIC of all drugs were acquired, including readings of the MIC₅₀ that is the MIC at which 50% of the isolates were inhibited; correspondingly, the MIC₉₀ is the reading at which 90% of the isolates were inhibited (Santos and Hamdan, 2005; Santos et al., 2006).

The fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) can be classified as synergistic, additive, indifferent (autonomous), or antagonistic based on the formula for calculation of FICI: (MIC of drug A in combination/ MIC of drug A alone) + (MIC of drug B in combination/ MIC of drug B alone). The drug interaction was defined as synergistic when the FICI value was < 1.0, additive when the FICI was 1.0, indifferent when the FICI was 1.0 < FICI < 2.0,

and antagonistic when the FICI was > 2.0 (Mosquera et al., 2002; Ozawa et al., 2005). Determination of all the MICs and FICIs were performed in triplicate.

RESULTS

The results of the study showed that the MICs of allicin was obtained at 0.098 – 25.0, ketoconazole 0.0625 – 4.0, and fluconazole 0.5 – 64.0 µg/ml, so the order of efficacy was as follow: fluconazole > allicin > ketoconazole. As for the response of dermatophytes to allicin, the MICs attained for *T. mentagrophytes* > *T. rubrum* > *T. verrucosum* > *Microsporum canis*, and *Epidermophyton floccosum*. The same tendency was observed for ketoconazole and fluconazole at 28°C at both 7 and 10 days incubation (Tables 1 and 2). As a result of the study, FICI of allicin with ketoconazole or with fluconazole was found to be different 0.375– 3.0. Besides, the results also showed that FICIs for combination of allicin/ketoconazole were lower than the FICIs for combination of allicin/fluconazole at 28°C for 7 and 10 days after the incubation. Furthermore, the results revealed that about 54% of the treated samples with combination of allicin/ ketoconazole and allicin/fluconazole after 7 days incubation at 28°C had synergistic or additive properties. Minority of the treated samples displayed indifferent reaction (Table 1). Moreover, about 33.5% of the treated samples with drug combinations after 10 days incubation at 28°C had synergistic or additive properties. Most of the treated samples showed indifferent reaction (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Dermatophytes are a group of fungi able to invade keratinized tissues of human and animals, causing dermatomycosis (Barros et al., 2007). Dermatophytosis is one of the popular and prevalent infectious diseases worldwide (Santos and Hamdan, 2005; Barros et al., 2007). The azole antifungal drugs such as imidazole (e.g. ketoconazole) and triazole (e.g. fluconazole) are commonly used in the treatment of dermatomycosis. These antifungal agents are effective, but with increasing usage of these medications, an incidence of drug resistance to the azoles group has been increased. In addition, they generally have toxic effects such as itching, allergic rash, hepatotoxicity (for ketoconazole) and gastrointestinal complaints, elevated liver enzyme (for fluconazole) (Al-Mohsen and Hughes, 1998), as a consequence the use of the natural safe products are necessary. Therefore we investigate the use of a plant-based, biodegradable natural product as an alternative namely allicin. Allicin is a pure, bioactive and the most powerful medicinal compound isolated from garlic and based on the results of several studies, allicin has different biological properties such as antimicrobial and antifungal activities. These studies showed that it could be used as the treatment of fungal infections (Shadkchan, 2004; Cai et al., 2007). This

Table 1. Effects of allicin, ketoconazole, and fluconazole alone and in combination on dermatophytes at 28°C at 7 days incubation.

Dermatophyte species	Allicin		Ketoconazole		Fluconazole		A + K				A + F ²			
	MIC 50	MIC90	MIC50	MIC90	MIC50	MIC90	MIC50 ³	FICI	MIC90	FICI	MIC50	FICI	MIC90	FICI
<i>T.rubrum</i>	0.78	6.25	0.5	2.0	2.0	8.0	0.39/0.125	0.75	3.125/1.0	1.0	0.39/1.0	1.0	3.125/8.0	1.5
<i>T.rubrum</i> (ATCC)	0.78	6.25	0.5	2.0	2.0	8.0	0.39/0.125	0.75	3.125/1.0	1.0	0.78/1.0	1.5	3.125/8.0	1.5
<i>T.mentagrophytes</i>	1.56	25.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	64.0	0.78/1.0	1.5	12.5/4.0	1.5	1.56/4.0	2.0	25.0/64.0	2.0
<i>T.verrucosum</i>	0.39	1.56	0.25	1.0	1.0	4.0	0.098/0.0313	0.375	0.39/0.25	0.5	0.098/0.25	0.5	0.195/1.0	0.375
<i>M.canis</i>	0.098	0.195	0.0625	0.125	0.5	2.0	0.049/0.0313	1.0	0.049/0.0625	0.75	0.098/0.25	1.5	0.39/2.0	3.0
<i>E.floccosum</i>	0.098	0.195	0.0625	0.125	0.5	2.0	0.049/0.0313	1.0	0.049/0.0313	0.5	0.098/0.25	1.5	0.39/2.0	3.0

¹A+ K: Allicin + Ketoconazole. ³ MIC₅₀ is the MIC at which 50% of the isolates were inhibited (µg/ml). Fractional inhibitory concentration index. ²A+ F: Allicin + Fluconazole. MIC₉₀ is the MIC at which 90% of the isolates were inhibited (µg/ml).

Table 2. Effects of allicin, ketoconazole, and fluconazole alone and in combination on dermatophytes at 28°C at 10 days incubation.

Dermatophyte species	Allicin		Ketoconazole		Fluconazole		A + K				A + F ²			
	MIC50	MIC90	MIC50	MIC90	MIC50	MIC90	MIC50 ³	FICI	MIC90	FICI	MIC50	FICI	MIC90	FICI
<i>T.rubrum</i>	1.56	12.5	0.5	2.0	4.0	16.0	0.78/0.25	1.0	6.25/2.0	1.5	0.78/4.0	1.5	12.5/16.0	2.0
<i>T.rubrum</i> (ATCC)	1.56	12.5	0.5	2.0	4.0	16.0	0.78/0.25	1.0	6.25/2.0	1.5	1.56/4.0	2.0	12.5/16.0	2.0
<i>T.mentagrophytes</i>	6.25	25.0	1.0	4.0	16.0	64.0	6.25/1.0	2.0	25.0/8.0	3.0	6.25/8.0	1.5	25.0/64.0	2.0
<i>T.verrucosum</i>	0.78	6.25	0.25	1.0	2.0	8.0	0.195/0.125	0.75	1.56/0.25	0.5	0.39/0.5	0.75	0.78/4.0	0.625
<i>M.canis</i>	0.098	0.195	0.0625	0.125	1.0	4.0	0.049/0.0313	1.0	0.098/0.125	1.5	0.098/1.0	2.0	0.195/8.0	3.0
<i>E.floccosum</i>	0.098	0.195	0.0625	0.125	0.5	2.0	0.049/0.0313	1.0	0.098/0.25	2.5	0.098/1.0	3.0	0.195/4.0	3.0

¹A+ K: Allicin + Ketoconazole. ³ MIC₅₀ is the MIC at which 50% of the isolates were inhibited (µg/ml). Fractional inhibitory concentration index. ²A+ F: Allicin + Fluconazole. MIC₉₀ is the MIC at which 90% of the isolates were inhibited (µg/ml).

study used allicin as an antifungal agent against dermatophytes species in comparison with two antifungal medications namely, ketoconazole and fluconazole. The MICs of the allicin, ketoconazole and fluconazole against the dermatophytes spp., assessed by broth microdilution assay were listed in Tables_1 and 2.

Yamada and Azuma (1977) proved that the MICs of allicin obtained at 28°C for 5 days incubation are 0.78 – 6.25 µg/ml. Result of the present study showed that the MICs of allicin obtained at

28°C for 7 days incubation are MIC₅₀ with 0.098 – 1.56 µg/ml and MIC₉₀ with 0.195 – 25.0 µg/ml. Also the MICs of ketoconazole attained at 28°C for 7 days incubation are equal to MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ of ketoconazole with 0.0625 – 1.0 µg/ml and 0.125 – 4.0 µg/ml respectively. The results were in agreement with Santos and Hamdan study (2005) and Korting et al. (1995), but proved to be different from the study of Fernandez-Torres et al. (2003). Besides, the MICs of fluconazole obtained at 28°C for 7 days incubation are MIC₅₀ and

MIC₉₀ of fluconazole with 0.5 – 4.0 µg/ml and 2.0 – 64.0 µg/ml respectively which are in agreement with Ghannoum et al. (2004); Santos and Hamdan (2005); Santos et al. (2006); and Barros et al. (2007), but demonstrated to be different from the study of Korting et al. (1995). Finally, Santos and Hamdan (2005) demonstrated that ketoconazole is much more effective than fluconazole. Meanwhile, the results of this study revealed that the order of efficacy based on the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ was fluconazole > allicin > ketoconazole at 28°C

for 7 and 10 days incubation respectively. The MICs attained in this study could be presented as *T. mentagrophytes* > *T. rubrum* > *T. verrucosum* > *Microsporum canis*, and *Epidermophyton floccosum* for all tested medications separately at 28°C for 7 and 10 days incubation respectively. They were in agreement with the studies by Odds et al. (2004) and Fernandez-Torres et al. (2003). As a concluding remark, allicin showed to be potentially very good as antifungal compound against dermatophytosis, doing better than the synthetic medicine such as fluconazole and almost the same as ketoconazole.

This study revealed that the MICs for 10 days incubation increased from 1 to 2 dilutions for drugs tested separately in comparison with the MICs for 7 days incubation except for ketoconazole. The results are in agreement with an extant study by Santos and Hamdan (2005) who proved that an increased incubation time of 10 days compared to 7 days increases MICs from 1 to 2 dilutions with the same medium, 7 days incubation time compared to 4 days proved to generate similar results.

In this study, antifungal susceptibility tests were performed with allicin, ketoconazole and fluconazole. Isolates were resistant *in vitro* to fluconazole, with the MICs > 51.2 g/ml, and resistant to ketoconazole, with the MICs > 0.8 g/ml (Therese et al. 2006). The results of this study revealed that the isolates were all susceptible to ketoconazole in MIC50 except for *T. mentagrophytes*, but for MIC90 isolates were all resistant to ketoconazole except for *Microsporum canis*, and *Epidermophyton floccosum*. Besides, isolates were all susceptible to fluconazole except for *T. mentagrophytes*. The results of this study have been agreed with earlier articles by Korting et al. (1995); Kantarcioglu and Yücel (2002); Ghannoum et al. (2004); Santos et al. (2006); Therese et al. (2006); Barros et al. (2007).

On the other hand, our results showed that the FICIs of allicin with ketoconazole or with fluconazole was found to be different 0.375– 3.0. Furthermore, the FICIs for combination of allicin and ketoconazole were also lower than the combination of allicin and fluconazole.

As in line with Pyun and Shin (2006) reported that ketoconazole combined with allicin against other isolates of *Trichophyton* spp. resulted in additive effects (FICI=0.53 up to 0.75). The results indicate that 54% of the tested medication combinations after 7 days incubation and about 33.5% of the treated samples with drug combinations after 10 days incubation at 28°C represented synergistic or additive interaction (FICI 1.0) for all tested isolates. The previous studies showed that utilizing from the combination of natural products with synthetic drugs decrease the side effects of these medicines and it is useful in the treatment of the patients (Pengelly, 2004). Consequently it could be concluded that allicin alone revealed to be potentially very good as antifungal compound against dermatomycosis, performing better than the synthetic drug (fluconazole) and almost the same as ketoconazole, so this antifungal agent

probably appears to be suitable alternative for the treatment of dermatomycosis. Moreover, allicin in combination with ketoconazole or with fluconazole often showed synergistic or additive interaction against mycoses-causing dermatophytes. Since, FICI results are new study in this field, further studies must be conducted *in vitro* or *in vivo* and also tested other combinations as a result of insufficient data in the field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Research University Grants Scheme (RUGS) from University Putra Malaysia. We thank Dr. Sassan Rezaie, Associate Professor of Department of Medical Mycology in Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran for sending the isolates of dermatophytes used in this investigation.

REFERENCES

- Al-Mohsen I, Hughes WT (1998). Systemic antifungal therapy: past, present and future. *Ann. Saudi. Med.* 18: 1: 28–38.
- Barros M, Santos D, Hamdan J (2007). Evaluation of susceptibility of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* and *Trichophyton rubrum* clinical isolates to antifungal drugs using a modified CLSI microdilution method (M38-A). *J. Med. Microbiol.* 56: 514–518.
- Cai Y, Wang R, Pei F, Liang BB (2007). Antibacterial activity of allicin alone and in combination with β -lactams against *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antibiot.* 60: 5: 335–338.
- Fernandez-Torres B, Inza I, Guarro J (2003). Comparison of *in vitro* antifungal susceptibilities of conidia and hyphae of dermatophytes with thick-wall macroconidia. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47: 3371–3372.
- Gardner CD, Lawson LD, Block E, Chatterjee LM, Kiazand A, Balise RR, Kraemer HC (2007). Effect of raw garlic vs. commercial garlic supplements on plasma lipid concentrations in adults with moderate hypercholesterolemia: a randomized clinical trial. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 167: 4: 346-353.
- Ghannoum MA, Chaturvedi V, Espinel-Ingroff A, Pfaller MA, Rinaldi MG, Lee-Yang W, Warnock DW (2004). Intra- and interlaboratory study of a method for testing antifungal susceptibilities of dermatophytes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42: 2977–2979.
- Gupta AK, Kohli Y (2003). *In vitro* susceptibility testing of ciclopirox, terbinafine, ketoconazole and itraconazole against dermatophytes and nondermatophytes, and *in vitro* evaluation of combination antifungal activity. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 149: 296–305.
- Kantarcioglu A, Yücel A (2002). The presence of fluconazole-resistant *Candida dubliniensis* strains among *Candida albicans* isolates from immunocompromised or otherwise debilitated HIV-negative Turkish patients. *Rev. Iberoam. Micol.* 19: 44 – 4.
- Korting HC, Ollert M, Abeck D (1995). The German Collaborative Dermatophyte Drug Susceptibility Study Group. Results of German multicenter study of antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Trichophyton rubrum* and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* strains causing tinea unguium. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 39: 1206–1208.
- Mosquera J, Sharp A, Moore CB, Warn PA, Denning DW (2002). *In vitro* interaction of terbinafine with itraconazole, fluconazole, amphotericin B and 5-flucytosine against *Aspergillus* spp. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 50: 189-194.
- National Committee For Clinical Laboratory Standards (2002). Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi. Approved standard M38 -A. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne Pa.
- Odds F, Ausma J, Van Gerven F, Woestenborghs F, Meerpoel L, Heeres J, Bossche H, Borgers M (2004). *In vitro* and *in vivo* activities of the novel azole antifungal agent R126638. *Antimicrob. Agents*

- Chemother. 48(2): 388–391.
- Odds FC, Brown AJP, Gow NAR (2003). Antifungal agents: mechanisms of action. Trends Microbiol. 11: 272–279.
- Ozawa H, Okabayashi K, Kano R, Watanabe S, Hasegawa A (2005). Antifungal activities of the combination of tacrolimus and itraconazole against *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 67(6): 629–630.
- Pelletier R, Loranger L, Marcotte H, Carolis E (2002). Voriconazole and fluconazole susceptibility of *Candida* isolates. J. Med. Microbiol. 51: 479–483.
- Pengelly A (2004). The Constituents of Medicinal Plants: An introduction to the chemistry and therapeutics of herbal medicines. 2nd. UK:CABI publishing. pp. 1-12, 25, 78, 101.
- Pyun M, Shin S (2006). Antifungal effects of the volatile oils from *Allium* plants against *Trichophyton* species and synergism of the oils with ketoconazole. Phytomed. 13: 394–400.
- Rex JH, Pfaller MA, Walsh TJ (2001). Antifungal susceptibility testing: practical aspects and current challenges. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 14: 643–658.
- Santos DA, Hamdan, JS (2005). Evaluation of broth microdilution antifungal susceptibility testing conditions for *Trichophyton rubrum*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43: 1917–1920.
- Santos DA, Barros MES, Hamdan JS (2006). Establishing a method of inoculum preparation for susceptibility testing of *Trichophyton rubrum* and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44(1): 98-101.
- Shadkchan Y, Shemesh E, Mirelman D, Miron T, Rabinkov A, Wilchek M, Osherov N (2004). Efficacy of allicin, the reactive molecule of garlic, in inhibiting *Aspergillus* spp. *in vitro*, and in a murine model of disseminated aspergillosis. J. Antimicrobial. Chemother. 53: 832–836.
- Swinne D, Watelle M, Nolard N (2005). *In vitro* activities of voriconazole, fluconazole, itraconazole and amphotericin B against non *Candida albicans* yeast isolates. Rev. Iberoam. Micol. 22: 24-28.
- Therese KL, Bagyalakshmi R, Madhavan HN, Deepa P (2006). *In vitro* susceptibility testing by agar dilution method to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration of amphotericin B, fluconazole and ketoconazole against ocular fungal isolates. Indian J. Med. Microbiol. 24(4): 273-279.
- Wilson EA, Demmig-Adams B (2007). Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial properties of garlic and onions. Nutr. Food Sci. 3: 178-183.
- Woods-Panzaru S, Nelson D, McCollum G, Ballard LM, Millar C (2009). An examination of antibacterial and antifungal properties of constituents described in traditional Ulster cures and remedies. Ulster Med. J. 78: 1: 13-15.
- Yamada Y, Azuma K (1977). Evaluation of the *in vitro* antifungal activity of allicin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 11(4): 743-749.
- Yoshida S, Kasuga S, Hayashi N, Ushiroguchi T, Matsuura H, Shizutoshi N (1987). Antifungal activity of ajoene derived from garlic. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53(3): 615-617.