

African Journal of Medicine and Surgery ISSN 2756-3324 Vol. 10 (2), pp. 001-004, February, 2023. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

The Impact of Septal Surgery on Patients' Quality of Life

Mohammed Ayub Musani*, Itrat Javed, Yousuf Khambaty, Faheem Ahmed Khan and Syed Wadood UI Hasnain

Department of ENT, Karachi Medical and Dental College, KARACHI, Pakistan.

Accepted 30 November, 2022

To assess the quality of life in patients who have been through the surgical intervention related to nasal septum in ENT department of Abbasi Shaheed Hospital, Karachi. This study was done from January 2008 to August 2008. Case based study, with post-interventional quality of life assessment questionnaire. One hundred patients undergoing nasal septum related surgery, after their full informed and written consent, were included in this study. The age limit was above 18 years and both the sexes were included. They were given a questionnaire (Glasgow benefit inventory score-GBI) to be fulfilled on the first follow up day after a week's time from the day of surgery and then subsequently on the second visit that is, at about two week's time from their first visit and the last one after the lapse of 1 month from the time of second visit. Average score of patients as per the GBI on the first follow up visit was 17; on second subsequent visit was 39 and on the last visit it was 50 thereby showing a positive correlation between the improvement in quality of life and septal surgery. Septal surgery has a definite and positive impact on improving the health related quality of life of patients.

Key words: Quality of life (QOL) after surgery, outcome assessments.

INTRODUCTION

Nasal septal deformity is a frequent clinical entity, and septoplasty comprises one of the most common procedures performed by otolaryngologists today (Samad et al., 1992). Septal surgeries are performed to improve the nasal airways by correcting the deviations of the nasal septum. They are often done alone or in combination with sub-mucosal diathermy (SMD) to the inferior turbinate or other turbinate surgery.

Deviation of nasal septum can result in nasal obstruction, sinus disease, crooked nose deformity and other structural problems. Substantial deviations of the nasal septum may also affect the humidification, olfaction, and air filtering and temperature regulation of the nose. The development of septal surgery, or septoplasty, has passed through many phases over the past 100 years. Contemporary septal surgery began when Cottle et al. (1958) and Cottle and Loring (1948). Goldman (1956) and Gubisch (1995) described the disadvantages of radical septal surgery. A conservative philosophy was developed that favoured limited tissue excision and the preservation or reconstitution of the supporting septal components. These conservative techniques, collectively called as septoplasty, were more reliable than sub-mucosal resection, which healed unpredictably. The decision to perform sub-mucosal resection is no longer controversial. Contemporary septal surgery incorporates both techniques, a blend of conservative septal surgery and judicious resection of the non-supporting septal components.

Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQL) measures the impact of a pathologic condition on patient's daily life. There are two aspects which are measured: (i) Disease or condition specific outcome measures which assess nasal symptoms and (ii) General health status outcome measures which are generic and assess a broad range of health status indicators and effects of illness (Calder and Swan, 2007). Disease specific outcome measures which

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: ayubmusani@yahoo.com. Tel: 0333-3394015.

Much	A little or	No	A little or	Much
worse	somewhat	change	somewhat	better
worse		tter	4	_
1	2	3	4	5
ve the results of the c	operation/intervention	* made your over	all life better or worse?	
Much	A little or	No	A little or	Much
better	somewhat	change	somewhat	worse
better	comonnat	worse	oon on at	
5	4	3	2	1
nce your operation/inte	ervention*, have you	felt more or less	optimistic about the future)?
Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
optimistic	optimistic	change	optimistic	optimistic
5	4	3	2	1
nce your operation/inte	rvention*, do you fee	el more or less en	nbarrassed when with a gr	oup of people?
Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
embarrassed	embarrassed	change		rrassed
1	2	3	4	5
nce your operation/inte	rvention*, do you ha	ve more or less s	elf-confidence?	
Much more	More self-	No	Less self-	Much less
elf-confidence				self-confidence
en-conndence	confidence	change	confidence	sen-confidence
5	4	3	2	1
nce your operation/inte	rvention*, have you f	found it easier or	harder to deal with compa	any?
Much	Faciar	No	Hordor	Much
Much	Easier	No	Harder	harder
easier 5	1	change 3	2	
-	4	-		
ice your operation/inte	ervention*, do you fee	el that you have h	nore or less support from	your friends?
Much	More	No	Less	Much
more support	support	change	support	less support
5	4	3	2	1 ''
ve you been to your fa	amily doctor, for any	y reason, more o	r less often, since your op	eration/intervention*?
Much more	Moro	No		Much loss
Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
often	often 2	change 3	often	often
ice vour operation/inte			4 Infident about job opportu	5 nities?
Much	More	No	Less	Much
re confident	confident	change	confident	less-confident
-		0	2	4
5	4	3	2	1
ince your operation/int	ervention*, do you fe	ei more or less s	eit-conscious?	
Much more	More self-	No	Less self-	Much less
	conscious	change	conscious	self-conscious
self-conscious	CONSCIOUS			
self-conscious	conscious	onango		

Figure 1. The GBI questionnaire (all-purpose).

have been used to include the nasal obstruction septoplasty effectiveness study (NOSE) (Stewart et al., 2004), the sinonasal outcome test (SNOT) (Buckland et al., 2003), the Fairlay nasal symptom score (Arunachalam et al., 2001) and the nasal health survey (Seigel et al., 2000). The genera health status questionnaire which was used includes the Nottingham health profile and Glasgow benefit inventory (GBI).

The Glasgow benefit inventory (GBI) is a post intervention questionnaire that contains 18 questions (Figures 1 and 2) which are completed by the patients or an interviewer. The scores range from +100 to -100. The

10. Since your operation/intervention*, do you feel more or less self-conscious?

	Much more self-conscious	More self- conscious	No change	Less self- conscious	Much less self-conscious
	1	2	3	4	5
1. Since	e your operation/interv	vention*, are there mor	re or fewer pe	ople who really care a	bout you?
	Many	More	No	Fewer	Many
	more people 5	people 4	change 3	people 2	fewer people 1
12. Sinc	e you had the operati	ion/intervention*, do yo	u catch colds	s or infections more or	less often?
	Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
	often	often	change	often	often
12 Hove	1	2 ro or loco modicino fo	3	4 since your operation/ii	5
IS. Have	you had to take mor	re or less medicine to	r any reason,	since your operation/it	nervennon", f
	Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
	medicine 1	medicine 2	change	medicine 4	medicine
14. Sinc	•	vention*, do you feel b	3 etter or wors	•	5
				· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Much	Better	No	Worse	Much
	better 5	4	change 3	2	worse
	-	-	-		I
5. Since	e your operation/interv	<u>/ention*, do you feel th</u>	hat you have	had more or less supp	ort from your family?
	Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
	support	support	change	support	support
	5	4	3	2	1
			VOU moro	or loss inconveni	
16. Sin health*	ce your operatior problem?	n/intervention*, are	you more	or less inconvenie	enced by your
16. Sin health*	problem?				
l6. Sin health*	problem? Much more	More	No	Less	Much less
16. Sin health*	problem? Much more		No change	Less inconvenienced	Much less inconvenienced
health* 17. Sin	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1	More inconvenienced 2	No change 3	Less inconvenienced 4	Much less
health* 17. Sin	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1 ice your operation activities?	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav	No change <u>3</u> /e you bee	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer
health* 17. Sin	Much more inconvenienced 1 ince your operation activities? Many more	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav	No change 3 /e you bee No	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer
health* 17. Sin	Much more inconvenienced 1 ince your operation activities? Many more activities	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav More activities	No change <u>3</u> /e you bee No change	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer activities	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer activities
health* 17. Sin social a	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1 ce your operation activities? Many more activities 5	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav More activities 4	No change <u>3</u> /e you bee No change <u>3</u>	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer activities 2	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer activities 1
health* 17. Sin social a	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1 ce your operation activities? Many more activities 5	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav More activities 4	No change <u>3</u> /e you bee No change <u>3</u>	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer activities 2	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer activities
health* 17. Sin social a	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1 ince your operation activities? Many more activities 5 ince your operation	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav More activities 4	No change <u>3</u> /e you bee No change <u>3</u>	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer activities 2	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer activities 1
health* 17. Sin social a	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1 ince your operation activities? Many more activities 5 ince your operation	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav More activities 4	No change <u>3</u> /e you bee No change <u>3</u>	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer activities 2	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer activities 1
health* 17. Sin social a	problem? Much more inconvenienced 1 ice your operation activities? Many more activities 5 ice your operation situations?	More inconvenienced 2 n/intervention*, hav More activities 4 n/intervention*, hav	No change 3 /e you bee No change 3 /e you bee	Less inconvenienced 4 n able to participa Fewer activities 2 n more or less inc	Much less inconvenienced 5 te in more or fewer Many fewer activities 1 lined to withdraw from

Figure 2. The GBI questionnaire (all-purpose); a continuation of the questionnaires.

calculation is as follows: Total score is divided by 18; then from the result 3 is subtracted from this result with multiplication by 50 to give the final score http://www.ihr.mrc.ac.uk/scottish/products (MRC Institute of Hearing Research, 2008). GBI is a valuable tool for the assessment of benefit from nasal septal surgery for nasal obstruction and may be applicable in clinical practise (Uppal et al., 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The aim of this study was to measure the change in patients' overall health status following the nasal septal surgery with or without turbinate

surgery.

The patients who were admitted for the nasal septal surgery were included in the study after their full written and informed consent was taken. Patients of age below 18 years were not included; both sexes were included in the study. Those patients who were having a second or subsequent revision septal surgery were not included in the study. Patients were either given the GBI to be filled out or were completed by us after asking the patients. This was done on three occasions – at one week, three weeks, and seven weeks postoperatively.

The venue was the ENT department, Abbasi Shaheed Hospital, Karachi and it was done form January 2008 to August 2008. The number of cases enrolled in the study was 100 of which the males were 82 (82%) while females were 12 (12%). The mean age was 22.5 years.

The outcome measure was GBI score. It was recorded, giving a measure of change in the health status of the patients. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS [statistical program for

social sciences] software version 10.

RESULTS

Average score of patients on the first follow up visit was 17; on second subsequent visit was 39 and on the last visit it was 50 thereby showing a positive correlation between the improvement in quality of life and septal surgery.

DISCUSSION

In the available literature, it is clear that most of the times the focus of study has been the disease specific symptoms like catarrh, obstruction etc while the general health related status was seldom determined and even if it did- it failed to show any significant improvement. In our study, we have found that not only in the disease specific symptoms but also in the general health related areas patients found significant improvement (average GBI on first visit is 17, then 35 and finally 50).

Studies like that of Buckland et al., (2003), concentrated mostly on the disease specific symptoms while those of Arunachalam et al. (2001) and Konstantinidis et al. (2005) assessed the general health status by using the Nottingham health profile and general health questionnaire and GBI respectively but failed to show any significant improvement in their study.

In our study, it is evident that there is a significant improvement in the general health status of the patients and, although not measured, there has also been a dramatic improvement in the disease specific symptoms. No authors have used the GBI to assess outcome measure for the septoplasty but few have used it as an outcome measure for rhinoplasty McKeiman et al. (2001) and others like endoscopic sinus surgery, post tonsillectomy, laser palatoplasty.

Conclusion

Our surgery suggests that, if septal surgery is wellperformed and for the correct indications, it improves the overall health related quality of life.

REFERENCES

- Arunachalam PS, Kitcher E, Gray J, Wilson JA (2001). Nasal septal surgery: evaluation of symptomatic and general health outcomes. Clin. Otolaryngol., 26: 367-70.
- Buckland JR, Thomas S, Harries PG (2003). Can the sino nasal outcome test (SNOT-22) be used as a reliable outcome measure for successful septal surgery? Clin. Otolaryngol., 28: 43-7.
- Cottle MH, Loring RM (1948). Newer concepts of septum surgery: present status. Eye Ear Nose Throat Monthly, 27: 403.

- Cottle MH, Loring RM, Fischer GG, Gaynon IE (1958). Maxillapremaxilla approach to extensive nasal septum surgery. Arch. Otlaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 60: 301.
- Goldman IB (1956). New technique in surgery of the deviated nasal septum. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 64: 183.
- Gubisch W (1995). The extracorporeal septum plasty: a technique to correct difficult nasal deformities. Plast. Reconstr. Surg., 95: 672. Calder NJ, Swan IRC (2007). Outcomes of septal surgery. J. Laryngol. Otol., 121: 1060-3.
- Konstantinidis I, Triaridis S, Triaridis A, Karagiannidis K, Kontzoglou G (2005). Long term results following nasal septal surgery. Focus on patients' satisfaction. Auris Nasus Larynx., 32(4): 369-74.
- McKiernan DC, Banfield G, Kumar R, Hinton AE (2001). Patient benefit from functional and cosmetic rhinoplasty. Clin. Otolaryngol., 26: 50-2.
- MRC Institute of Hearing Research (2008). http://www.ihr.mrc.ac.uk/scottish/products
- Samad I, Stevens HE, Maloney A (1992). The efficacy of nasal septal surgery. J. Otolaryngol., 21(2): 88-91.
- Seigel NH, Gliklich RE, Taghizadeh F, Chang Y (2000). Outcomes of septoplasty. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 122: 228-32.
- Stewart MG, Smith TI, Weaver EM, Witsell DL Yueh B, Hannley MT (2004). Outcomes after nasal septoplasty: results from the Nasal Obstruction Septoplasty Effectivenss (NOSE) study. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg., 130: 283-90.
- Uppal S, Mistry H, Nadiq S, Back G, Coatesworth A (2005). Evaluation of patient benefit from nasal septal surgery for nasal obstruction. Auris Naus Larynx, 32(2):129-37.